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ABSTRACT

During the Genesis mission solar wind was implanted in collector materials for analysis by various instrumental methods. Unfortunately the spacecraft crash landed upon return to Earth shattering the collectors into small fragments and exposing them to desert soil and spacecraft debris. Thus only small fragments are available for analysis with each having different degrees of contamination present at and embedded within the surface. Cleaning procedures were developed and applied to remove the contamination. To aid in this process bench top total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) was used to characterize a sample surface before and after various cleaning steps. In contrast to TXRF, synchrotron grazing incidence X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (GI-XRF) is capable of probing at the surface and below the surface thus providing information about surface deposits as well as implanted material. A number of samples were subjected to both, TXRF and GI-XRF analysis and it was observed that some elements detected by TXRF were present not on top of but below the surface of the collector fragment. This suggested the possibility of using laboratory TXRF to distinguish between surface deposits and ion-implanted subsurface material. The feasibility of this approach was tested with a surface deposited and an ion implanted control sample. In addition a careful TXRF angle scan was also executed with one Genesis flight sample and compared to GI-XRF measurements, confirming the ability of bench top TXRF to distinguish between surface and subsurface material.

INTRODUCTION

Solar wind material originates from the outer layer of the sun, the corona, and provides information about initial solar nebular composition and how differences in planetary composition came about. Current data suffer from large uncertainties as they are derived either by optical spectroscopy or by means of meteoritic analysis. (Anders and Grevesse, 1989). In order to obtain more accurate data and model initial solar composition more precisely, the NASA Genesis mission collected solar wind material at LaGrange point 1 for 854 days from November 30, 2001 to April 1, 2004. (Burnett et al., 2003, 2011; Reisenfeld et al., 2005). The solar wind was embedded in a variety of high purity collector materials including silicon, sapphire (Al₂O₃) and silicon on sapphire. (Jurewicz et al., 2003). Unfortunately, the spacecraft crash landed upon return to Earth, which not only shattered all collectors into small fragments, but also exposed...
them to desert soil and space craft debris. As a result only small and contaminated samples are available, which have to be cleaned individually as contamination is not uniform. Moreover contamination is not only present at the surface, but also embedded within it dislodged in small crevices and pits, presenting additional challenges. Different cleaning procedures, mostly of chemical nature, were developed and are continuously improved to address these challenges. (Allton et al., 2007; Calaway et al., 2009; Kuhlman and Burnett, 2007). To aid in the cleaning process bench top total reflection X-ray fluorescence spectrometry (TXRF) is used to characterize the surface before and after a cleaning step. (Schmeling, 2010; Schmeling et al., 2011, 2012, 2013). This includes checking for removal of contaminants and monitoring whether the sample surface has been altered during a cleaning process. In contrast to TXRF synchrotron grazing incidence X-ray fluorescence (GI-XRF) can discriminate between material present at and below the surface of a smooth sample. Thus GI-XRF analysis is suitable for analysis of solar wind which is embedded well below the surface. (Kitts et al., 2009a,b). However, high concentrations of surface contaminants may impact GI-XRF data by creating sum peaks, which can interfere with peaks of ion implanted solar wind species. To reduce such peak interference, bench top TXRF is used as a pre-selection tool of samples suitable for subsequent GI-XRF analysis. In this study we demonstrate the capability of bench top TXRF to determine whether a cleaning method is appropriate in removing surface contaminants from a Genesis flight sample. We also show that it is possible to employ bench top TXRF to distinguish between surface contaminants and implanted material by using standards and a Genesis flight sample.

**EXPERIMENTAL**

Sample preparation

Control Samples

20 μl of a standard solution containing 100ng/g Ti, Fe, Ni, Zn and Se and pipetted onto a cleaned quartz reflector and dried at 60°C served as a surface deposited control sample. A reference ion implant with 5x10^{14} Fe atoms/cm^2 implanted at a depth of 395±130Å in epitaxially grown silicon (1000Å) on a sapphire substrate was used as a subsurface control sample. (Jurewicz, 2012)

Genesis Flight Samples:

Two Genesis flight samples were cleaned initially using a routine procedure of megasonication in ultrapure water and UV/ozone at Johnson Space Center (Allton et al., 2007; Calaway et al., 2009). The next cleaning steps varied for the samples. In case of sample 60966 the subsequent steps consisted of a) CO₂ snow jet b) ultrapure concentrated hydrochloric acid and hydrogen peroxide and c) ultrapure concentrated nitric acid at California Institute of Technology (Burnett, 2011, Lin, 2010). As a last cleaning step an organic cellulose acetate film was placed over the sample, wetted with acetone and the dried residue was peeled off later at the Planetary Sciences Institute. (Kuhlman and Burnett, 2007)

Genesis flight sample 60234 was cleaned with ultrapure concentrated hydrochloric acid at California Institute of Technology (Burnett, 2011).
Instrumentation and Analysis

Bench top TXRF analysis of Genesis and control samples was carried out with a PicoTax® and S2 PicoFox® TXRF spectrometer (BrukerNano, Berlin, Germany) in 90 degree tilted position to load the sample horizontally at Loyola University Chicago. Operating conditions were 1mA, 40kV for the PicoTax and 600μA and 50kV for the S2 PicoFox. Counting times were 7200 seconds (PicoFox) and 3600 seconds (S2PicoTax), respectively.

GI-XRF analysis was done at the GeoSoilEnviro Consortium for Advanced Radiation Sources (GSECARS) at the Advanced Photon Source of Argonne National Laboratory. The 13-ID-C undulator beamline features a cryogenic Si(111) double crystal monochromator and a Vortex® Si drift detector. The samples were mounted in a He flow chamber with a Kapton® window.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to demonstrate the capability of bench top TXRF in gauging the suitability of a cleaning step, Genesis flight sample 60966 was selected. The sample consisted of epitaxially grown silicon on a sapphire substrate and underwent multiple cleaning steps, which are listed in table 1 along with the color of the spectra shown in figure 1a and b. Please note that the initial and the CO2 data of the sample were recorded with the PicoTax TXRF spectrometer (figure 1a).

Table 1: Cleaning procedures applied to Genesis flight sample 60966.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cleaning Process</th>
<th>Spectrum Color</th>
<th>TXRF Instrument used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UPW/O3</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td>PicoTax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2</td>
<td>Magenta</td>
<td>PicoTax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl/H2O2</td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>S2PicoFox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNO3</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>S2PicoFox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose Acetate Peel</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>S2PicoFox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The initial sample (figure 1a, green) showed only germanium on the surface, originating from germanium collectors, which were pulverized as a result of the crash landing. In the second step a new cleaning approach was tested on the sample by using CO2 snow shown in figure 1a in magenta. (Lin, 2010). It is evident that this cleaning step introduced contaminants instead of removing them. Upon further investigation it was found that the sample was held with a stainless steel tweezers during the CO2 application process, which accounts for the chromium, iron and nickel. The next two cleaning steps involving concentrated mineral acids were able to reduce the added metal contaminants (figure 1b, black and red) as well as the germanium. Nitric acid (red) appears also to have a smoothing effect on the surface, which manifests itself in the lower background signal. The last spectrum (blue) was collected after application of a cellulose acetate peel procedure, which was found to be successful in removing organic particles on other collector materials (Kuhlman and Burnett, 2007). In this case, it appears that some material from the peel remained on the surface accounting for the substantially elevated background signal. Also the concentrations of contaminants did increase, which might have been due to different reasons. The sample itself showed scratches and surface damage so that some material which was dislodged in the scratches might have been dissolved by the liquid organic film. It was then re-deposited at different locations when dried. Another possibility is that the film itself contains...
inorganic impurities. In conclusion the cellulose acetate peel does not seem to be effective in removal of contaminants as is the CO₂ snow when using stainless steel tweezers. Later studies using a specially designed CO₂ snow cleaning set-up at Argonne National Laboratory showed more successful results for this cleaning method. (Schmeling et al., 2013).

Due to its capability of probing at and below the surface, synchrotron GI-XRF measurements are employed to calculate concentrations of embedded solar wind in a variety of collector materials. (Kitts et al., 2009a,b.). A number of samples were pre-selected for GI-XRF measurements using TXRF to avoid highly contaminated ones and maximize beam time for relevant samples. During this process it was noticed that some elements detected with TXRF were present below the surface and not on top of it according to GI-XRF data. This suggested the possibility of using simple bench top TXRF to discriminate between the surface deposits and the implanted material (solar wind) by careful recording of fluorescence intensity (count rate) as a function of incident X-ray beam angle. To test the feasibility of such measurements manual angle scans of a surface deposited multi-element standard (100ng/g per element) and an implant of known concentration were executed with the bench top TXRF spectrometer. Figures 2 and 3 show the results for surface deposited standard and implant with the critical angle of total reflection indicated as a dotted line. The substrate of the standard was quartz (SiO₂) and the implant consisted of a 100nm silicon layer on a sapphire (Al₂O₃) substrate with 5x10¹⁴ atoms/cm² of iron implanted in silicon. Two critical angles exist for the latter sample, one for Si at 0.1degree and one for aluminum at about 0.2degree and Ca can be identified as a surface contaminant. Hence the angle scans of both samples follow the behavior described in deBoer et al. (1995) and Schwenke et al. (1999) suggesting that simple bench top TXRF indeed can be used to differentiate between the surface dirt and the implanted solar wind in Genesis flight samples.
To check this hypothesis, Genesis flight sample 60234 was measured with both TXRF and GI-XRF. In Figure 4, fluorescence intensity versus incident beam angle is graphed for bench top TXRF and in figure 5 - for synchrotron GI-XRF of the same sample (60234). Not surprisingly bench top TXRF is far less sensitive than GI-XRF when probing below the surface, but it was possible to clearly identify barium as a surface contaminant with TXRF. The data on iron are less conclusive, and iron might be present on and also below the surface. The two critical angles for the layered sample are well noticeable. GI-XRF measurements confirm barium as a surface contaminant and show that iron is below the surface confined to the silicon layer, but some iron is also on the surface.

Figure 2: Fluorescence Intensity as counts in dependency of incident X-ray beam angle for a surface deposited standard containing 100ng/g Ti, Fe, Ni, Zn and Se.

Figure 3: Fluorescence Intensity as counts in dependency of incident X-ray beam angle for a 5x10^14 atoms/cm^2 Fe implant. Implanted depth at 395±130Å (Kitts et al., 2009a,b).

Figure 4: Fluorescence Intensity versus incident beam angle recorded with bench top TXRF for Genesis flight sample 603234.

Figure 5: Fluorescence Intensity versus incident beam angle recorded with synchrotron GI-XRF for Genesis flight sample 60234.
In summary simple bench top TXRF is a very powerful analytical tool, which enables evaluation of efficiency of a surface cleaning procedure for Genesis flight samples when used in surface analysis mode at the critical angle. Moreover, it is also capable of discrimination between surface deposited material and subsurface material by employing manual angle scans, which further enhances its utility in Genesis surface cleaning studies.
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