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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Teachers and workers in educational research, school and college admissions work, deans and student counselors, both on the secondary and college level, are frequently faced with the problem of reconciling mental ability ratings of intelligence tests with scores achieved on standardized aptitude and achievement tests such as the School and College Ability Tests (SCAT) and the Scholastic Aptitude Test of the College Entrance Examination Board (SAT). It is commonly recognized that results derived from various measures of intelligence cannot be directly compared to those results attained on the SCAT or SAT, and this is so for a number of reasons:

a. Different standardization populations.

b. Different factorial compositions of the test.

c. Different methods of computing intelligence quotients and standard scores.

Whatever the reasons may be, it is generally agreed that there is need for data that will permit correlation of scores from one test to comparable measures derived from other tests.
Purpose of this Study

The purpose of this study is to determine the correlational and predictive validity of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test, 1961 Revised Edition, College Level, with the School and College Ability Test; the College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test; High School Percentile Rank; and the Freshmen Year Grade Point Average for the 1962 Freshmen Class of Loyola University, Lake Shore Campus.

This study will consider the following questions:

1) What is the correlation between the Henmon-Nelson Test and the School and College Ability Test and the Scholastic Aptitude Test of the College Board?

2) What is the correlation between each one of the three tests and High School Percentile Rank with Freshmen Year Grade Point Average?

3) Which of these is the best predictor of academic success for a student applying for admission to Loyola?

4) What is the predictive validity of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test insofar as scores on the School and College Ability Test and the Scholastic Aptitude Test of the College Board are concerned? Also, what is the predictive validity of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test insofar as Freshmen Year Grade Point Average is concerned?
5) What is the feasibility of using the Hemmon-Nelson Intelligence Test for late registrants?

**Scope and Limits of This Study**

This study, of necessity, will be limited to the 1962 Freshmen Class at Lake Shore Campus, Loyola University, because the Hemmon-Nelson was not given to the Freshmen Class at Lewis Towers, Loyola University.

**Research Design and Procedures**

The type of experimental design used for this study was that of correlational design. The basic design of correlational research is simple — the collection of two or more scores on the same group of subjects and computing correlation coefficients. Although the design is simple, the amount of labor put forth in collecting the data can, at times, be tedious.

Although all correlational studies are concerned with the discovery and clarification of relationships, they can be broadly classified as either relationship studies or prediction studies depending on their emphasis. The objectives of this thesis would encompass both classifications. As a relationship study, the author directed his research to establishing the degree of relationship between the newly developed or revised Hemmon-Nelson Intelligence Test and concurrent criterion measures such as the School and College Ability Tests, the College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test and Grade Point Average. This type of study, known as Concurrent Validity, is often preliminary to predictive validity studies. Having completed the former,
the author thought it highly relevant to complete the work for which he had laid the foundation -- i.e., to use the information gained in his relationship study as a basis for a predictive validity study.

Prediction studies, although aimed at a basic correlational goal of seeking relationships, are usually carried out in areas where a firmer basis of previous knowledge is present than is the case with exploratory relationship studies. Prediction through the use of correlational technique is based on the assumption that at least some of the factors that will lead to the behavior we wish to predict are present and measurable at the time we wish to make the prediction.

Since the nature of this particular predictive study is more complex, the author used multiple correlation and multiple regression equations.

The ideal approach to the determination of equivalent or comparable scores on several different tests is to administer the several tests to the same group of students in such a fashion as to allow for practice effect and within a sufficiently short span of time, so that no appreciable growth in the functions measured takes place between the testings. The many difficulties of this method, particularly in a group large enough to yield dependable results, constitute one of the principle reasons that so few studies of this kind have been made. However, because of the
position at Loyola University which the author held, the aforementioned problems were for all practical purposes eliminated.

Practically every college has an Orientation Week for incoming Freshmen, during which time the new students become familiarized and acquainted with the various facilities which the school has to offer and to learn the names of its various department heads and deans. Loyola also offers this type of program, but it has something more -- Education 001 (or Academic Orientation). This is a non-credit course which is mandatory for all Freshmen and runs for a period of ten to twelve weeks. During one of these weeks, the Henmon-Nelson Test is administered to the Freshmen in the Orientation Class. In this way, the whole Freshmen Class takes the same test within a period of one week. Also, the same group took the College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test and/or the School and College Ability Test within a year previous to the taking of the Henmon-Nelson. The Orientation Class itself is rather a low pressure and casual meeting between the Freshmen and a member of the Dean's Staff and since the Henmon-Nelson is not administered until the sixth week of class, the tone has already been set. Thus, the students enter the test situation just as though it were another Orientation Class. In addition, the students in the class are informed during the first week of class when the test will be and that the results have absolutely no bearing on their tenure at Loyola. At this time, they are also told the results of the test will be made available to them on an individual basis.
sometime in the second semester. All of these factors would seem to reduce tension or anxiety to a minimum.

The College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test results and the School and College Ability Test results were procured by the author in the Office of Psychological Services, Loyola University. The results of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test were made accessible to the author through the Orientation Class which was conducted under his auspices. Finally, the Quality Point Averages for the group used in this study were obtained from the Office of the Dean of Arts and Sciences at Loyola University. The author held the position of Assistant to the Dean.

The Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test was administered to the entire Freshmen Class of 1962 at Lake Shore Campus, Loyola University, in November of 1962. The students were divided into groups of forty to forty-five, who met once a week for the first ten weeks of the semester.

The College Board Scholastic Aptitude and/or the School and College Ability Tests had been taken by this same group within a year previous to the taking of the Henmon-Nelson. The results of these tests were obtained from the various sources in the University, which have already been cited.
**Definition of Terms**

The following is a list of abbreviations for the subject matter of this study:

- HNV -- Henmon-Nelson Verbal
- HNQ -- Henmon-Nelson Quantitative
- HNT -- Henmon-Nelson Total
- SV -- School and College Ability Test Verbal
- SQ -- School and College Ability Test Quantitative
- ST -- School and College Ability Test Total
- CBV -- College Board Verbal
- CBM -- College Board Math
- CAR -- College Ability Rating
- GPA -- Grade Point Average
- RIC -- High School Percentile Rank

"The level of statistical significance of the correlation is determined to a great degree by the number of cases upon which the correlation is based. For example, with 22 cases, a Pearson correlation of .54 is needed to be significant at the one per cent level. If we have 100 cases, however, a correlation of .25 is significant at the one per cent level, and with 1000 cases a correlation of only .08 is significant at the one per cent level."

---

When the author states that a correlation coefficient is statistically significant, he means that this coefficient is sufficiently high so that you may be reasonably confident that some relationship exists between the variables he has correlated. If the correlation is significant at the one per cent level, it may be interpreted as indicating that there is only one chance in one hundred that the correlation is due to chance errors. As the author has stated above, the correlation coefficient may be significant even though it is quite low if there are a large number of cases. The size of the correlation coefficient is indicative of the degree of relationship between the variables, and a low correlation is significant at the one per cent level.

"Prediction studies are concerned with the use of correlational techniques to predict certain kinds of future behavior and require higher correlations than those usually found in exploratory relationship studies. In prediction studies, statistical significance is of little consequence because correlations must far exceed this point to be of practical value in most prediction problems."²

The following rules are provided by the author for the reader, as a basis for the interpretation of a correlation coefficient obtained in his research:

"A correlation of .20 to .35 indicates that only four per cent of the variance in the two measures that have been correlated is common to both. Correlations in this range may have limited meaning in exploratory research where relationships are being sought out using crude measures. Correlations at this level, however, are of no value in either individual or group prediction."³

²Ibid., pp. 281-284.

³Ibid., pp. 281-284.
"Correlations ranging from .35 to .65 are statistically significant beyond the one per cent level. With correlations around .50 crude group predictions may be achieved. As a correlation of .50 between a test and the performance predicted only indicates twenty five per cent common variance, it is obvious that predictions based on a correlation this low can be expected to be frequently in error."

"Correlations ranging from .65 to .85 make possible group predictions that are accurate enough for most purposes. As we move toward the top of the range, group predictions can be made very accurately. Near the top of this correlation range individual predictions can be made that are considerably more accurate than would occur if no such selection procedure were used."

"Correlations at the over .85 level indicate a close relationship between the two variables correlated. A correlation of .85 indicates that the measure used for prediction has about seventy two per cent variance in common with the performance being predicted. Prediction studies in education very rarely yield correlations this high. When correlations at this level are obtained, however, they are very useful for either individual or group predictions."

The purpose of this chapter was not only to explain the author's aims, but also to familiarize the reader with the terms used in this study. In the next chapter, the reader can examine a number of studies which are similar to the author's, thus further acclimating himself to the schema of correlational and predictive studies.

4Ibid., pp. 281-284.
5Ibid., pp. 281-284.
6Ibid., pp. 281-284.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE

As far as the author has been able to determine, from his research and also from the correspondence he has carried on over the last year, no research has yet been done on the correlation of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test, 1961, Revised Edition, College Level, and the School and College Ability Test, and/or the College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test, although numerous studies have been found concerning the predictive validity and correlation of the latter two tests. In a letter recently received from Dr. Paul C. Kelso, who along with Mr. M. J. Nelson, is responsible for the 1961 revision of the Henmon-Nelson, the following information was sent:

"The College Board and the Henmon-Nelson were administered to the Freshmen Class of 1958 in the fall of that year at the State College of Iowa. A correlation study was made with the Grade Point Average achieved by their Freshmen students at the end of the fall semester, 1958-59. The correlations of 864 Freshmen students directly from High School were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson versus Grade Index</td>
<td>r.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEEB Verbal versus Grade Index</td>
<td>r.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEEB Math versus Grade Index</td>
<td>r.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The studies which are cited in the following pages of this chapter have objectives, methods and/or procedures similar to the author's, but none were found which correlated the same group of tests and achieved the
same results. Thus, the purpose of this chapter is to give the reader an understanding of the previous work that has been done in the area of the thesis in order that he will have the insight needed to understand the thesis and to fit its findings into the overall picture.

Correlational and Predictive Studies:

The study carried on by Anderson and Stegman at Fort Hays Kansas State College was to determine the validity of a battery of tests used in the prediction of achievement of students completing the first year of college work at Fort Hays State College. One of the tests used was the ACE Psychological Examination for College Freshmen. The test battery was administered to all entering Freshmen in September, 1949. The Grade Point Average of the 227 participating students was used as the criterion for this study. The correlation between the ACE and GPA was .499.¹

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Semester, 1955-56</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Semester, 1955-56</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In 1958, Cannon correlated scores on the College Entrance Examination Board Scholastic Aptitude (SAT) and SCAT for 291 Freshmen and found the following correlations:²

| SAT Verbal | SCAT Verbal | .79 |
| SAT Math   | SCAT Quantitative | .74 |

Norman S. Endler and Danny Steinberg administered standardized SCAT aptitude and STEP achievement tests to sixty-nine college students, forty-five males and twenty-four females, and these measures were intercorrelated with their grade twelve high school average and first year college average for male and female samples. Male-Female comparisons were made for the level of performance and for the relationship between predictors (HSA and standardized aptitude and achievement tests) and criteria (FGA and individual course grades). Endler and Steinberg reached the following conclusions:

1) The best predictor of FGA and individual college grades was HSA (0.84).

2) Females had a significantly higher Freshmen Year Grade Point Average than males, although they did not differ appreciably on their high school performance and college aptitude (SCAT) Total.

3) Females were more predictable than males in that correlations between predictors and FGA were higher for females than for males.

²D. J. Cannon, "SCAT Validity," (Unpublished study, College of William and Mary, 1959.)
Endler and Steinberg attributed the higher level of performance and the greater predictability of females to the following factors:

a) Females may have been more intrinsically interested in the academic aspects of their courses than males, who perceived their courses as a means to an occupational end.

b) Males may have spent more time on extra-curricular activities and less time on their studies than females.³

In a general article on equating scholastic aptitude tests and group intelligence scores, M. D. Engelhart describes the equating of the SCAT Total (administered to first year entering students at a Chicago City Junior College) to the Otis Quick-Scoring Mental Ability Test — Gamma. The purpose of the equating was to make it possible to use the Otis Gamma in situations where only limited testing time is available. Two groups of entering students (N = 455 and 428) were tested with both tests and correlations of .77 and .81 between the tests were obtained for the two groups.⁴

The effectiveness of the ACE as a predictor of success is the problem of this study. Its authors, Norman B. Henderson and Evelyn Malnag, found that while all studies show a positive correlation between ACE and college success, in none is the correlation between ACE scores and college success high enough to rule out the usefulness of other


predictors of success such as high school rank. The correlation between ACE scores and Grade Point Average was .58. On the basis of all criteria of college success investigated in this study, the ACE Psychological Examination for college Freshmen proved to be an effective predictor of college success. Students who received high scores on the ACE examination averaged higher Grade Point Averages than those with low examination scores.5

In a study made by the authors of the 1961 Revised Edition of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test, College level, the Henmon-Nelson was correlated with the Freshmen first semester GPA at Iowa State Teachers College (N = 95) with 95 Freshmen. The following correlations were found between the Henmon-Nelson and the GPA.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson</th>
<th>GPA Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNQ</td>
<td>.461</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNV</td>
<td>.470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNT</td>
<td>.541</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Phyllis E. Kennedy obtained SCAT scores, from May through September in July of 1960, from entering Freshmen. She correlated these scores with first semester Grade Point Averages (N = 290). The correlations with first semester Grade Point Averages were as follows: 7

Verbal .40 Quantitative .17 Total .37

Jacinta M. Mann reported and compared the results of two studies of the validity of the CEEB - SAT and the CSAT - SCAT tests when they are combined with high school rank for predicting first year grades at Seton Hill College, a liberal arts college for women. A random sample of 200 girls were divided into two samples of 100. First year averages were used as the criterion variable. A matter which was noteworthy was the parity between the SAT and SCAT for prediction. Apparently both tests say about the same thing for those who take it. 8

In a study by W. F. Michaels in 1962, at the University of Southern California, an attempt was made to determine the predictive validity of high school Grade Point Average, verbal scores, math scores and total scores of the SAT of the CEEB relative to a criterion of Grade Point Average earned by 209 men and 233 women during their 1960-61 Freshmen year.


The findings may be summarized as follows:

1. Consistently, the record of academic achievement in high school has been more predictive of success in college work for both men and women students than have been either part or total scores on the SAT of the CEEB.

2. For both sexes, the verbal score of the SAT has been about as predictive of college success of first year students in liberal arts as has been the total score.9

In a study published in the 1962 SCAT supplement, the SAT of the CEEB and the SCAT were correlated. All of the students were in the twelfth grade; they took the SCAT in the fall of 1955 and the SAT in 1956.10 The following are the results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>560</td>
<td>SCAT-V</td>
<td>302.73</td>
<td>13.06</td>
<td>.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAT -V</td>
<td>516.79</td>
<td>100.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Correlation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>513</td>
<td>SCAT-Q</td>
<td>313.36</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAT -M</td>
<td>538.43</td>
<td>104.41</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9W. B. Michaels, "High School Record and College Board Scores as Predictors of Success in a Liberal Arts Program During the Freshman Year in College." Educational and Psychological Measurement, 22, (1962), pp. 399-400.

In an unpublished study by Sister Mary John Catherine in 1958 at Clarke College, the following relationships were found between the SCAT and SAT. (N = 164 Freshmen).\footnote{11}

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{SAT-V} & = .84 \\
\text{SCAT-V} & = .84 \\
\text{SAT-M} & = .82 \\
\text{SCAT-Q} & = .82
\end{align*}
\]

Having examined the related literature, the reader will next examine in detail the methodology used by the author for purposes of this study.

\footnote{11Sister Mary John Catherine, "Relationships Between SCAT and SAT." (Unpublished study; Clarke College, 1958.)}
CHAPTER III

METHOD

Description of Subjects

The group used for this study was the 1962 Freshmen class of Loyola University, Lake Shore Campus, numbering 675 students -- 612 of whom were used for this study. (Sixty-three were lost because of incomplete test records.) Of the 612 subjects, 505 were males and 107 were females. All 612 students had to take the Henmon-Nelson. The following is a breakdown of the number of males and females who took the SCAT, the SAT, or both:

Number of males who took the SCAT . . . . . . 410
Number of females who took the SCAT . . . . . 81
Number of males who took the SAT . . . . . . 192
Number of females who took the SAT . . . . . 50
Number of males who took both . . . . . . . . . 97
Number of females who took both . . . . . . . 24
Henmon-Nelson Tests of Mental Ability:

These tests are designed to measure those aspects of mental ability which are important for success in academic work and in similar endeavors outside the classroom. The tests are published as a continuous, integrated series of measuring instruments in four levels of difficulty. The first level is designed for grades three to six; the second, for grades six to nine; the third, for grades nine to twelve, and the fourth -- the college level -- for grades thirteen to sixteen and the first year of graduate school. Two forms -- A and B -- which are similar in construction and difficulty, are available for each level of the test.

Each form of the college level test contains 100 items arranged in order of increasing difficulty. Quantitative (Q) and Verbal (V) scores may be obtained as well as a Total (T) score. The tests are very easily administered, and instructions are given only once -- at the beginning of the testing period. There is a single working time limit of forty minutes. The raw scores for the Quantitative run from three and below to thirty-seven and above; the Verbal scores from six and below to fifty-two and above; the Total scores from fifteen and below to eighty-five and above.
The population upon which the norms for the college level test are based include all college freshmen in public and private colleges and universities throughout the United States. The norms reflect the performance of students attending college for the first time, and tested within two months of their admission in the fall of 1958. The norms are based on a random sample of 1002 papers for each form of the test. The sample of 1002 was stratified by location, size and type of institution, so that it closely resembles the college population.

**College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test:**
This test is a three hour objective test designed to measure how well the subjects have developed their verbal and mathematical skills. The verbal sections of the SAT measure what they read. The mathematical sections measure their ability to understand and reason with mathematical symbols and to use them in solving problems. The subjects receive one score for the verbal sections of the test and another for the mathematical sections.

The SAT is divided into five or six separately timed sections of multiple choice questions. Within each of these sections there are groups of questions, each group representing a different kind of question. Within each of these groups the questions are arranged in their approximate order of difficulty, beginning with the easiest ones.
The SAT assesses your verbal abilities through four kinds of objective test questions: antonyms; sentence completions; analogies and reading comprehension. The mathematical sections of the SAT are designed to measure the abilities closely related to college level work in the Liberal Arts and Engineering.

The Cooperative School and College Ability Tests:

These tests are intended primarily to aid in estimating the capacity of students in grades four to fourteen to undertake additional schooling. Four operational skills are measured: Part 1) Getting the meaning of isolated sentences; Part 2) Performing numerical computations rapidly; Part 3) Associating meaning of isolated words; Part 4) Solving arithmetic problems. Parts one and three are combined to obtain a verbal score; parts two and four to obtain a quantitative score; and all four parts to obtain a total aptitude score.

Collection of Data

The procedure of gathering and organizing all the necessary data was as follows:

1. Each subject's name was placed on a 4 x 6 index card.

2. The Henmon-Nelson scores (raw and percentile rank) verbal, quantitative and total were listed.

3. The College Board Scholastic Aptitude scores (standard and percentile rank) verbal, mathematical and CAR were listed.
4. The School and College Ability Test scores (standard and percentile rank) verbal, quantitative and total were listed.

5. The Grade Point Average earned by each subject during his freshman year was listed.

6. The High School Percentile Rank of each subject was listed. (It was felt by the author and his advisor that this would be more accurate than attempting to list each subject's Grade Point Average, since all high schools do not have the same grading system.)

The data for each subject were divided into two groups -- male and female. The females were numbered from one to one hundred and seven and the males from three hundred to eight hundred and four, for purposes of clarity and identification. Upon the completion of the recording of data, the cards were brought by the author to the Data Processing Department of Loyola University to be programmed by the author and the I.B.M. representative. During the period when the program was being written up, an I.B.M. card was punched-out for each subject, listing the information cited above. The Pearson Product Moment method was used for the correlation of the data. Also indicated will be the Mean and Standard Deviation for all scores used in the study. Finally, a Multiple Regression Equation was used in order to predict on a group basis the SCAT Total, the College Board CAR and the Grade Point Average.

All data were computed on an I.B.M. 8K-1401 machine, having one 1311 disc (no tapes) with fortran capability.
Regression Equation Used in This Thesis

\[ b = r \frac{s_y}{s_x} \]

\[ \bar{y} = \bar{y} + b \bar{y} (x - \bar{x}) \]
CHAPTER IV

ANALYSIS OF THE DATA

Group I

Males and Females who took the Henmon-Nelson and SCAT. (N = 491)

Group II

Males and Females who took the Henmon-Nelson and College Board. (N = 242)

Group III

Males and Females who took the Henmon-Nelson, College Board and SCAT. (N = 121)
Group I

a) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Henmon-Nelson, SCAT, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank.

The correlation with the highest significance was that between the HNT and the SCAT-T for females (r = .774). In general, female scores correlated more highly than males, except in the case of verbal scores. As usual, high school rank proved to be the best single predictor of freshmen year grade point average both for males and females.

b) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Actual SCAT-Total and the SCAT-Total as Predicted from the Henmon-Nelson Total.

The correlation between the actual SCAT-Total and the predicted SCAT-Total for females was higher than that for males. (r = .773 for females; r = .763 for males.) This was also true for the correlation between the actual Grade Point Average and the predicted Grade Point Average. (r = .475 for females; r = .499 for males.)

c) Mean and Standard Deviation for All Variables.

The females had a higher mean on all scores, grade point average and high school rank in class, than the males.
TABLE I

Group I

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Henmon-Nelson, SCAT, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Males and Females. N = 491.

### Male: (N = 410)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNQ</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.408</td>
<td>.893</td>
<td>.765</td>
<td>.245</td>
<td>.675</td>
<td>.434</td>
<td>.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>.774</td>
<td>.371</td>
<td>.648</td>
<td>.599</td>
<td>.299</td>
<td>.285</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>.711</td>
<td>.188</td>
<td>.651</td>
<td>.448</td>
<td>.313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.313</td>
<td>.872</td>
<td>.441</td>
<td>.252</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.729</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>.344</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.487</td>
<td>.366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.491</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.

### Female: (N = 81)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNQ</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.369</td>
<td>.873</td>
<td>.756</td>
<td>.244*</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.402</td>
<td>.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>.775</td>
<td>.383</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.620</td>
<td>.386</td>
<td>.109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>.715</td>
<td>.543</td>
<td>.774</td>
<td>.475</td>
<td>.416</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>.365</td>
<td>.881</td>
<td>.383</td>
<td>.278</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.747</td>
<td>.415</td>
<td>.123</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.494</td>
<td>.118</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.550</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level, except those indicated by an asterisk -- and they are significant beyond the .05 level.
TABLE II

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between SCAT Total (Both Actual and Predicted), Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Males and Females. N = 491.

Males: (N = 410)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Act.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SCAT Actual</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SCAT Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. GPA Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.449</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.

Females: (N = 81)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Act.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. SCAT Actual</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. SCAT Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.775</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.473</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. GPA Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.475</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.

The predicted scores and predicted GPA are ascertained from the Henmon-Nelson Total according to the regression equation cited in the Appendix.
TABLE III

The Mean and Standard Deviation for the Hemmon-Nelson, SCAT, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Males and Females. N = 491.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>Males (N = 410)</th>
<th>Females (N = 81)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>40.839</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>23.392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>64.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Verbal</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>304.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Quantitative</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>320.585</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Total</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>312.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>2.276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class*</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>69.020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All high schools do not list "Rank in Class."

Females: (N = 81)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>43.099</td>
<td>9.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>23.494</td>
<td>7.409</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>66.593</td>
<td>14.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Verbal</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>306.777</td>
<td>11.497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Quantitative</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>321.888</td>
<td>13.326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Total</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>314.235</td>
<td>10.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2.442</td>
<td>0.556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class*</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>83.845</td>
<td>14.774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All high schools do not list "Rank in Class."
### TABLE IV

**Frequency Distribution of Henmon-Nelson Percentile Ranks for Those Who Took the SCAT. N = 491.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men (N = 410)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women (N = 81)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men (N = 410)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women (N = 81)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Total</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men (N = 410)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Women (N = 81)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intervals for the distribution of scores was suggested by the author's major advisor.
Group II

a) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the

Hollomon-Nelson, College Board Freshmen Year Grade

Point Average and High School Percentile Rank.

The correlation with the highest significance was that between
the HNV and the CBV for males (r = .750). In general, female scores
related less highly than males, except in the case of mathematical or
quantitative scores. As might be expected, the best single predictor
of freshmen year grade point average was high school rank — both for
males and females.

b) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the

Actual College Board CAR and the College Board

CAR as Predicted from the Hollomon-Nelson Total.

The correlation between the actual CAR and the predicted CAR
for males was significantly higher than that for females (r = .671 for
males; r = .076 for females). This was also true for the correlation
between the actual grade point average and the predicted grade point
average (r = .496 for males; r = .246 for females). An explanation of
the low female correlations will be given at the end of this chapter.

c) Mean and Standard Deviation for all Variables.

The females had a higher mean on all scores, grade point average
and high school rank in class than the males.
TABLE V

Group II


Male: (N = 192)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNQ</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.375</td>
<td>.892</td>
<td>.750</td>
<td>.358</td>
<td>.616</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.753</td>
<td>.386</td>
<td>.679</td>
<td>.439</td>
<td>.504</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.565</td>
<td>.679</td>
<td>.495</td>
<td>.337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.551</td>
<td>.775</td>
<td>.395</td>
<td>.239</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.546</td>
<td>.566</td>
<td>.333</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>.542</td>
<td>.708</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.572</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.

Female: (N = 50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNQ</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.483</td>
<td>.863</td>
<td>.559</td>
<td>.341</td>
<td>.091</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.858</td>
<td>.362</td>
<td>.700</td>
<td>.017</td>
<td>.314</td>
<td>.291</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.536</td>
<td>.603</td>
<td>.065</td>
<td>.315</td>
<td>.310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.217</td>
<td>.621</td>
<td>.186</td>
<td>.197</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.272</td>
<td>.463</td>
<td>.455</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>.130</td>
<td>.075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>.522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level, except those indicated by an Asterisk and they are significant beyond the .05 level. Those which have two Asterisks are not significant at the .05 level.

The correlations between the CBV and CAR and the CAR and RIC are invalid because CAR is found by adding the percentile rank of the CBV score and the high school percentile rank. The total is then divided by 2.
TABLE VI

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Henmon-Nelson, College Board, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Females. N = 43.

Female: (N = 43)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNV</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>.537</td>
<td>.889</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.387</td>
<td>.491</td>
<td>.272**</td>
<td>.275**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>.863</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td>.681</td>
<td>.457</td>
<td>.378</td>
<td>.346*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.600</td>
<td>.542</td>
<td>.368*</td>
<td>.352*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.524</td>
<td>.764</td>
<td>.302*</td>
<td>.281**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.591</td>
<td>.480</td>
<td>.511</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.478</td>
<td>.765</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.551</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All *'s are significant beyond the .01 level, except those indicated by an Asterisk and they are significant beyond the .05 level. Those which have two Asterisks are not significant at the .05 level.

The correlations between the CBV and CAR and the CAR and RIC are invalid because CAR is found by adding the percentile rank of the CBV score and the high school percentile rank. The total is then divided by 2.
TABLE VII

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the College Board CAR (Both Actual and Predicted), Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Males and Females. N = 240.

Males: (N = 191)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CAR Actual</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Act.</th>
<th>CAR Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.671</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.496</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.

Females: (N = 49)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CAR Actual</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Act.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.999*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant at the .01 level.

*aAll schools do not list "Rank in Class" which is necessary in determining the CAR.
TABLE VIII

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the College Board CAR (Both Actual and Predicted), Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Females. N = 43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td>.571</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td>.493</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td>.572</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>CAR Predicted</td>
<td>.301*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>CAR Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>GPA Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.293*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level, except those indicated by an Asterisk and they are significant beyond the .05 level.

### Male: (N = 192)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>43.192</td>
<td>9.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>25.068</td>
<td>6.348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>68.260</td>
<td>13.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>518.906</td>
<td>92.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>554.625</td>
<td>93.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>67.417</td>
<td>16.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>2.453</td>
<td>0.595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class*</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>72.953</td>
<td>20.894</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Female: (N = 50)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46.300</td>
<td>7.859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.840</td>
<td>7.713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>72.140</td>
<td>13.411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>551.280</td>
<td>106.592</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>565.200</td>
<td>91.588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>96.775</td>
<td>117.743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.567</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class*</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>85.306</td>
<td>16.358</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All high schools do not list "Rank in Class" which is necessary to determine the CAR.*
TABLE X

The Mean and Standard Deviation for the Henmon-Nelson, College Board, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Females. N = 43.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45.884</td>
<td>8.401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>25.953</td>
<td>7.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>71.837</td>
<td>14.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>566.465</td>
<td>83.763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>569.373</td>
<td>90.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>80.690</td>
<td>11.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>2.65745</td>
<td>0.60857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class*</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>86.000</td>
<td>16.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All high schools do not list "Rank in Class" which is necessary in order to determine the CAR.
TABLE XI


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 192)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>104</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (N = 50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 192)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (N = 50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Henmon-Nelson Total</th>
<th>Scores</th>
<th>Frequencies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Men (N = 192)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>116</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women (N = 50)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91-99</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-90</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Group III

a) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Henmon-Nelson, SCAT, College Board, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank.

The correlation with the highest significance was that between the HNV and SCAT-V for males ($r = .769$). In general, female scores correlated more highly than males, except in a few cases. The best single predictor of freshmen year grade point average was high school rank, both for males and females.

b) Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Actual College Board CAR and the College Board CAR as Predicted from the Henmon-Nelson Total.

The correlation between the actual CAR was higher for males than for females ($r = .657$ for males; $r = .604$ for females); whereas the correlation between the actual SCAT-Total and the predicted SCAT-Total was higher for females than for males ($r = .748$ for females; $r = .747$ for males). The correlation between the actual Grade Point Average and the predicted Grade Point Average was higher for males than for females ($r = .474$ for males; $r = .051$ for females*). *An explanation of the low female correlations will be given at the end of this chapter.

c) Mean and Standard Deviation for all Variables.

The females had a higher mean on all scores, grade point average and high school rank in class than the males.
TABLE XII

Group III

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Henmon-Nelson, SCAT, College Board, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Males. N = 97.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNV</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNV</td>
<td>.279</td>
<td>.879</td>
<td>.720</td>
<td>.294</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.769</td>
<td>.160*</td>
<td>.666</td>
<td>.438</td>
<td>.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNT</td>
<td>.703</td>
<td>.261</td>
<td>.575</td>
<td>.355</td>
<td>.255</td>
<td>.616</td>
<td>.500</td>
<td>.297</td>
<td>.337</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV</td>
<td>.663</td>
<td>.503</td>
<td>.665</td>
<td>.695</td>
<td>.124</td>
<td>.741</td>
<td>.472</td>
<td>.523</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>.497</td>
<td>.781</td>
<td>.843</td>
<td>.302</td>
<td>.788</td>
<td>.365</td>
<td>.298</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>.426</td>
<td>.444</td>
<td>.608</td>
<td>.626</td>
<td>.382</td>
<td>.243*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV</td>
<td>.681</td>
<td>.447</td>
<td>.744</td>
<td>.422</td>
<td>.701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>.249*</td>
<td>.878</td>
<td>.482</td>
<td>.301</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>.670</td>
<td>.395</td>
<td>.419</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>.563</td>
<td>.442</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level, except those with an Asterisk and they are significant beyond the .05 level.

The correlations between the CBV and CAR and the CAR and RIC are invalid because CAR is found by adding the percentile rank of the CBV score and the high school percentile rank. The total is then divided by 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNV</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNV</td>
<td>.403*</td>
<td>.847</td>
<td>.663</td>
<td>.374**</td>
<td>.498</td>
<td>.667</td>
<td>.245**</td>
<td>.576</td>
<td>.422*</td>
<td>.398*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNT</td>
<td>.827</td>
<td>.259**</td>
<td>.739</td>
<td>.527</td>
<td>.433*</td>
<td>.717</td>
<td>.687</td>
<td>.141*</td>
<td>.521</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV</td>
<td>.557</td>
<td>.658</td>
<td>.611</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>.566</td>
<td>.751</td>
<td>.514</td>
<td>.526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>.442*</td>
<td>.735</td>
<td>.772</td>
<td>.300**</td>
<td>.677</td>
<td>.558</td>
<td>.441*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>.409*</td>
<td>.805</td>
<td>.744</td>
<td>.491*</td>
<td>.972</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV</td>
<td>.732</td>
<td>.563</td>
<td>.822</td>
<td>.571</td>
<td>.833***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>.325**</td>
<td>.799</td>
<td>.378**</td>
<td>.471</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>.802</td>
<td>.476*</td>
<td>.567</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>.576</td>
<td>.666</td>
<td>.623</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level except those which have an Asterisk and they are significant at the .05 level. Those which have two Asterisks are not significant at the .05 level. Three Asterisks: CAR is computed by averaging the College Board Verbal Percentile Rank and the High School Percentile Rank.

The correlations between the CBV and CAR and the CAR and RIC are invalid because CAR is found by adding the percentile rank of the CBV score and the high school percentile rank. The total is then divided by 2.
TABLE XIV

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between the Hemon-Nelson, SCAT, College Board, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Females. N -- 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HNQ</th>
<th>HNT</th>
<th>CBV</th>
<th>CBM</th>
<th>CAR</th>
<th>SV</th>
<th>SQ</th>
<th>ST</th>
<th>GPA</th>
<th>RIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNV</td>
<td>.466</td>
<td>.883</td>
<td>.692</td>
<td>.435*</td>
<td>.513</td>
<td>.684</td>
<td>.255**</td>
<td>.610</td>
<td>.195*</td>
<td>.394*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNQ</td>
<td>.825</td>
<td>.258**</td>
<td>.674</td>
<td>.468*</td>
<td>.375**</td>
<td>.682</td>
<td>.634</td>
<td>.490*</td>
<td>.547</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNT</td>
<td>.578</td>
<td>.633</td>
<td>.574</td>
<td>.634</td>
<td>.523</td>
<td>.724</td>
<td>.575</td>
<td>.540</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV</td>
<td>.413*</td>
<td>.783</td>
<td>.804</td>
<td>.302**</td>
<td>.717</td>
<td>.514</td>
<td>.456*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBM</td>
<td>.592</td>
<td>.364**</td>
<td>.794</td>
<td>.719</td>
<td>.500</td>
<td>.608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>.710</td>
<td>.519</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.671</td>
<td>.843</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SV</td>
<td>.268**</td>
<td>.784</td>
<td>.437*</td>
<td>.459*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SQ</td>
<td>.777</td>
<td>.525</td>
<td>.565</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>.657</td>
<td>.674</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>.697</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level except those indicated by an Asterisk and they are significant beyond the .05 level. Those which have two Asterisks are not significant at the .05 level.

The correlations between the CBV and CAR and the CAR and RIC are invalid because CAR is found by adding the percentile rank of the CBV score and the high school percentile rank. The total is then divided by 2.
**TABLE XV**

Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between College Board CAR (Both Actual and Predicted), SCAT Total (Both Actual and Predicted) and Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Males. (N = 97).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CAR Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Actual</th>
<th>GPA Actual</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td>.667</td>
<td>.667</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.665</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.747</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.563</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.742</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.174</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Predicted</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA Actual</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.174</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.
Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between College Board CAR (Both Actual and Predicted), SCAT Total (Both Actual and Predicted) and Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Females. (N -- 24)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CAR Actual</th>
<th>CAR Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Actual</th>
<th>GPA Actual</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.617</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.745</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.748</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.576</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.752</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.505</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.999</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.0516*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level, except those indicated by an Asterisk and they are not significant beyond the .05 level.
Pearson Product Moment Correlation Between College Board CAR (Both Actual and Predicted), SCAT Total (Both Actual and Predicted) and Freshmen Year Grade Point Average (Both Actual and Predicted) for Females. (N = 22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CAR Pred.</th>
<th>CAR Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>SCAT Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Actual</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
<th>GPA Pred.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAR Actual</td>
<td>.580</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.562</td>
<td>.671</td>
<td>.575</td>
<td>.734</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Actual</td>
<td>.580</td>
<td>.803</td>
<td>.671</td>
<td>.575</td>
<td>.734</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
<td>.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA Actual</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
<td>.573</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All r's are significant beyond the .01 level.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>42.958</td>
<td>8.874</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>24.825</td>
<td>5.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>67.784</td>
<td>11.983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>508.588</td>
<td>90.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>551.536</td>
<td>88.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>67.670</td>
<td>16.777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Verbal</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>306.556</td>
<td>10.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Quantitative</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>324.020</td>
<td>11.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Total</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>314.618</td>
<td>9.289</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2.430</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>73.500</td>
<td>20.355</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Female: (N = 24)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>45.541</td>
<td>8.423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>26.125</td>
<td>7.949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hennon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71.667</td>
<td>13.722</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>546.334</td>
<td>80.877</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>544.791</td>
<td>96.653</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>78.458</td>
<td>14.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Verbal</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>309.625</td>
<td>8.242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Quantitative</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>325.375</td>
<td>15.817</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCAT Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>317.416</td>
<td>9.131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.652</td>
<td>0.6098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank in Class</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>84.041</td>
<td>19.604</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE XIX

The Mean and Standard Deviation for the Henmon-Nelson, College Board, SCAT, Freshmen Year Grade Point Average and High School Percentile Rank for Females. N -- 22.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Female: (N - 22)</th>
<th>Var. Name</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Verbal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>45.273</td>
<td>8.764</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Quantitative</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>26.318</td>
<td>7.259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Henmon-Nelson Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71.591</td>
<td>13.739</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College Board Verbal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>550.000</td>
<td>83.525</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>College Board Math</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>549.818</td>
<td>89.476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CAR</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>78.091</td>
<td>13.910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCAT Verbal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>309.500</td>
<td>8.339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCAT Quantitative</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>325.409</td>
<td>15.700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SCAT Total</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>317.364</td>
<td>8.936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2.71682</td>
<td>0.59643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rank in Class</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>83.637</td>
<td>20.335</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Summary of Analysis of Data

The females had a higher mean on all scores, grade point average and high school percentile rank in class than the males. The higher level may be due to the following:  

a) In order for a female to attend Lake Shore Campus, she must major in mathematics, the natural sciences or nursing; whereas, a male has the alternative of majoring in the sciences or liberal arts -- and since it is generally accepted that the sciences attract a higher caliber of student for the most part, it is not surprising that females were better achievers.  

b) Males may have spent more time on the pursuit of extra-curricular aspects of college life than females and less time on academic pursuits.  
c) Females may have been more intrinsically interested in the pure academics of their courses; whereas, males may have looked upon their course-work as a means of avoiding the draft, or, perhaps, as an unpleasant, but necessary means of attaining an occupational end.  

The correlations established indicate a high degree of relationship between the Henmon-Nelson Total and the SCAT Total, both for males and females; the latter group established the higher correlation of the two. Thus, the females who took the Henmon-Nelson and the SCAT are a little more predictable than the males. However, the correlations for the females who took the Henmon-Nelson and College Board were noticeably lower than those of the males, except in the correlation between the Henmon-Nelson Quantitative and the College Board Mathematics. In this
instance, the females established a higher correlation than the males. The author has found that the male verbal scores always correlate higher than those of the females — and that the female quantitative or mathematics scores always correlate higher than those of the males. The explanation of this phenomenon may be due to the fact that all the females are majoring in the sciences where mathematics play a very large roll; whereas, all the males are not majoring in the sciences.

The best predictor of freshmen year grade point average for both men and women was high school rank. The finding of the high school rank as the best predictor of freshmen year grade point average is not surprising — traditionally, it has been the best single index of academic success in the first year of college. The best predictor of freshmen year grade point average for Group #1 was the SCAT-Total ($r = .487$ for males; $r = .494$ for females). The Hermon-Nelson Total was much lower in its correlation with freshmen year grade point average ($r = .313$ for males; $r = .416$ for females).

The best predictor of freshmen year grade point average for Group #2 was the College Board CAR ($r = .542$ for males; $r = .465$ for females). The Hermon-Nelson Total was much lower in its correlation with freshmen year grade point average ($r = .496$ for males; $r = .344$ for females).

The best predictor of freshmen year grade point average for Group #3 was the SCAT-Total ($r = .563$ for males; $r = .576$ for females). The Hermon-Nelson Total was much lower in its correlation with the freshmen
year grade point average \((r = .472 \text{ for males}; r = .441 \text{ for females})\).

The correlation between the actual and predicted SCAT-Total and the actual and predicted grade point average was higher for females than for males; whereas, the correlation for the actual and predicted CAR scores and the actual and predicted grade point average was higher for males than for females. Thus, it would appear that the SCAT is a more valid test for females and the College Board a more valid test for males.

Particularly noteworthy are the low correlations for the females in Groups II and III. However, these low correlations may be best explained by the very small number of females in each group \((N = 50; N = 24)\), and also a particularly unusual group of seven girls within these two groups. A check was made on the correlation between the Hemmon-Nelson Verbal and the Grade Point Average for these seven girls. The correlation was found to be \(0.408\). Thus, the reason for the low correlation for Groups II and III as a whole. Upon securing this information, the author immediately pulled the data for these seven females and re-correlated the data for the remaining forty-three females.

The forty-three females who took the Hemmon-Nelson and the College Board were considered a sub-group of Group II. Of this group of forty-three, there were twenty-two females who also took the College Board; they were considered a sub-group of Group III. The difference in the correlations for Groups II and III, without the somewhat erratic group of seven females, can be seen by examining the charts on pages 32, 33, 34, 40, 41, 43 and 44.
The mean of the grade point average for this group of seven females was 2.017. For purposes of comparison, a chart was set up for the sub-group of Group II (N = 43 females) between the HNV and GPA. After examining this chart, the reader can easily see the marked difference of the GPA for this group of seven females.

Of the seven females, two completely withdrew from school after their freshman year, but were never on probation. One transferred to the University College, although she also was not on probation. Four changed from science majors to liberal arts; of these four, only two were on probation at the end of their freshman year.
### TABLE XX

Henmon-Nelson Verbal Scores and Grade Point Averages for Fifty Female Subjects in Groups II and III.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HNV</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>I</th>
<th>II</th>
<th>III</th>
<th>Mean of GPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>56-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>2.16 for N-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.01 for N-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>III</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36-</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31-</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26-</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPA</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>3.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The purpose of this study was to determine the correlational and predictive validity of the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test with the School and College Ability Test; the College Board Scholastic Aptitude Test; High School Percentile Rank; and the Freshmen Year Grade Point Average for the 1962 Freshmen Class of Loyola University, Lake Shore Campus.

The group used for this study numbered 612 students. Of the 612, 505 were males and 107 were females. All 612 students had to take the Henmon-Nelson. The following is a breakdown of the number of males and females who took the SCAT, the SAT, or both:

- Number of males who took the SCAT: 410
- Number of females who took the SCAT: 81
- Number of males who took the SAT: 192
- Number of females who took the SAT: 50
- Number of males who took both: 97
- Number of females who took both: 24

This study proposed to determine the correlation between the Henmon-Nelson, College Board and SCAT. It also proposed to determine the correlation between the Henmon-Nelson, College Board, SCAT and High School Percentile Rank with Freshmen Year Grade Point Average. In addition, this study proposed to determine the best predictor of academic success of the variables used. Further, the author wished to ascertain
the predictive validity of the Henmon-Nelson for the College Board, SCAT and Grade Point Average. Finally, the author wished to investigate the possibility of using the Henmon-Nelson for late registrants in place of the College Board or SCAT.

(All data were correlated on an I.B.M. 1401 machine.)

The findings indicated a high positive relationship between the Henmon-Nelson and the SCAT for both males and females; a slightly less high positive correlation for males between the Henmon-Nelson and College Board; and, finally, an even lower correlation for the females between the Henmon-Nelson and College Board before the seven female subjects, already referred to, were taken out. Then the correlations for the females between the Henmon-Nelson and College Board were significantly higher.

The findings also indicated that High School Percentile Rank is the best single index of academic success (freshmen year GPA in this case). Also, of the tests used in this study, the findings indicated that the SCAT Total score is the best predictor of freshmen year GPA.

The findings further indicated that the correlation between the actual and predicted SCAT of College Board scores were always higher than those between the actual and predicted GPA.

Finally, the findings indicated that the Henmon-Nelson might well be used for testing late registrants, but that the probability of its success would be greatly enhanced if it were only given to those enrolling at Lake Shore Campus.
CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FURTHER RESEARCH

Conclusions:

Females at Lake Shore Campus for the 1962 Freshmen class had a higher mean score for the Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test, the SCAT and Grade Point Average; whereas, the males were superior in the College Board score. However, this is only what one would expect, i.e., females attending Lake Shore Campus must major in the Natural Sciences or Mathematics, but males can major in the Liberal Arts or a Science curriculum. Thus, the intellectual caliber of males would not be as high as that of the females since, in order to enter a science curriculum, a student's entrance examination score and high school percentile are of necessity higher than a student who wishes to enter a liberal arts curriculum.

Because of the higher correlation between the Henmon-Nelson score and Grade Point Average, the females attained a higher degree of predictability.

Since there is a high positive correlation between the Henmon-Nelson and the SCAT and a slightly lower positive correlation between the Henmon-Nelson and the College Board, it can be said that the correlations are high enough so that College Board and SCAT scores could be safely and accurately approximated on the basis of Henmon-Nelson scores.

Of the tests used in this study, the SCAT-Total is the best index
of academic success was High School Percentile Rank.

The correlations between the actual scores and those predicted from the Henmon-Nelson Total ranged in general from moderately positive to highly positive for the subjects in Groups I, II and III. The females in Group II (N = 50) had especially low correlations. However, when the somewhat erratic group of seven females in Groups II and III were taken out and the data for remaining subjects in Groups II and III were re-correlated, the correlations were significantly higher. Apparently the correlations between the Henmon-Nelson and the SCAT are higher because both the Henmon-Nelson and SCAT were given at the post high school level; whereas, the College Board is administered almost exclusively to high school seniors.

There is little doubt that this latest revision of the college level Henmon-Nelson Intelligence Test is a much better measure of scholastic ability in general than its earlier versions. Objections formerly made to this test through the years have to a large extent been overcome. Although it is true that some criticisms can still be made, it is also true that this test approximates the ideal for tests of its kind. It is easily administered and scored, relatively short, acceptably reliable and reasonably valid.

Recommendations for Further Research:

A follow-up should be made on this particular freshmen class and others to be used in future studies, e.g., how many were placed on probation; how many dropped out of school; how many graduated. Of those
who dropped out of school for academic reasons, were their scores higher or lower than those who graduated?

A longitudinal study of freshmen taking the Henmon-Nelson at Loyola University should be made in order to more accurately ascertain the worth of the Henmon-Nelson.

An attempt should be made to determine what motivational factors were decisive in a student doing "poorly" or "well" in these tests and in his Freshmen Year Grade Point Average. This would especially be true for the group of seven females to which the author previously referred.
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80. 4, 6, 7, 3, 2, 8, 9. Square the fourth number and subtract the third unless the fourth is odd and the fifth even; in that event square the fourth and subtract the second; in either case add 1 unless the first number is a multiple of 3 in which case add 2. Indicate the answer which is numbered the same as the result.

81. 16, 4, 1, 6, 24, 6, 3, 8, 32, .... , . . . .. What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 8 and 13  (2) 8 and 4  (3) 8 and 5  (4) 29 and 34  (5) 8 and 2

82. 1440, 240, .... , 12, 4. What number should appear in the blank?
   (1) 16  (2) 24  (3) 20  (4) 48  (5) 72

83. If 3 is 6% of a number, what is the number?
   (1) 30  (2) 90  (3) 50  (4) 15  (5) 31

84. A neophyte is a:
   (1) woman (2) rock formation (3) planet (4) beginner (5) soldier

85. Furtive means about the same as:
   (1) distant (2) stealthy (3) wicked (4) removed (5) advanced

86. 1, 5, 2, 3, 15, 12, 13, . The next number would be:
   (1) 14 (2) 10 (3) 60 (4) 65 (5) 45

87. Intimidate is to frighten as entreat is to:
   (1) beseech (2) mollify (3) introduce (4) give (5) seek

88. Pulchritude means:
   (1) well-dressed (2) new (3) overly large (4) physical beauty (5) out of season

89. To covenant is to:
   (1) imitate (2) contract (3) discover (4) negotiate (5) fulfill

90. A sodality is a:
   (1) fellowship (2) sex offense (3) hard substance (4) fight (5) drink

91. $\frac{3}{4}$ is to 4 as 45 is to:
   (1) $\frac{3}{2}$ (2) 180 (3) 360 (4) 36 (5) 72

92. What is the average rate per hour of a vehicle that travels 403.2 miles in 3 hours and 12 minutes?
   (1) 132.3 (2) 134.4 (3) 47.1 (4) 126 (5) 101.2

93. 3, 19, 2, 6, 9, 31, 17. If the square root of the second number is greater than the cube root of the fifth, square the third and subtract the first; if not, square the first and subtract the third unless the first is odd, in which case square the fourth and subtract the sixth. Indicate the answer which is numbered the same as the resulting difference.

94. 360, 72, .... , 6, 3. What number should appear in the blank?
   (1) 18 (2) 9 (3) 36 (4) 12 (5) 15

95. A recrudescence disease is:
   (1) fatal (2) non-recurring (3) active again (4) not curable (5) caused by filth

96. 33, 28, .... , 21, 19. What two numbers should appear in the blanks?
   (1) 23 and 17 (2) 26 and 14 (3) 24 and 18 (4) 25 and 16 (5) 23 and 15

97. A rapacious person is:
   (1) hasty (2) boisterous (3) delighted (4) greedy (5) pleasing

98. An obsequious person is:
   (1) popular (2) servile (3) wealthy (4) open-minded (5) witty

99. 6, 3, 4, 16, 8, 5, 6, 24, 12, .... , .... What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 9 and 10 (2) 15 and 16 (3) 9 and 36 (4) 13 and 52 (5) 6 and 7

100. Sexagesimal pertains to:
    (1) sex (2) the number 60 (3) the number 16 (4) elderly people (5) yachting
DIRECTIONS TO STUDENTS

Do not turn this page until directed to do so.

No marks of any kind are to be made on this test booklet. Answers are to be marked on a separate answer sheet, where there is also room for any rough figuring you may need to do.

Failure to observe the following rules may lower your score:

- If you were given a special pencil to use in recording your responses on the answer sheet, that pencil must be used.
- If you are using the IBM answer sheet which requires you to mark your answers by filling in spaces between dotted lines, be sure to make each mark heavy and black. Mark only one answer for each question. If you change your answer, you must erase the first mark completely. Then mark your corrected choice.
- If you are using the self-marking answer sheet, you will indicate your answers by marking an X in a small box numbered the same as the answer of your choice. Mark only one answer for each question. Use some pressure in making your X so it will be sure to register. If you wish to change an answer on these answer sheets, do not erase your first answer but simply draw a circle around it. Then mark your corrected choice.
- Attempt to answer each question. Do not spend too much time on any one question; if a given question puzzles you, go on to the next one, which may be easier. You may guess at the right answer if you think you know it, but if you have no idea what the right answer is, avoid wild guessing and omit the question.
- As you work on the test, keep your place on the answer sheet. Make certain that the answer you are marking is numbered the same as the item you are answering.

The three practice exercises below are given so that you may see how to do the test.

Practice 1.

Oak is a kind of:

(1) wood  (2) stone  (3) metal  (4) glass  (5) liquid

Which word tells what oak is? Yes, wood is the answer. This answer has been correctly marked for you on the answer sheet.

Practice 2.

1, 2, 3, 4, 5, . . . . . . What two numbers should come next?

(1) 1 and 2  (2) 9 and 1  (3) 10 and 7  (4) 22 and 20  (5) 6 and 7

On your answer sheet, mark the answer you think is correct. You should have marked response number 5 for practice question 2, since the answer (5) is correct.

Practice 3.

Scales are to fish as wool is to:

(1) cotton  (2) sheep  (3) birds  (4) silk  (5) lakes

What is the number of the best answer? Mark the response space numbered the same as the answer you think is correct. You should have marked number 2 for practice question 3, since scales are to fish as wool is to sheep.

You will have 40 minutes to work on this test. Do not begin work until you are told to do so.
60. **Facility means:**
   (1) firmness (2) surface (3) duplicity (4) expression (5) ease

61. **Ambiguous is about the opposite of:**
   (1) definite (2) small (3) genuine (4) enigmatic (5) perpetual

62. **3, 14, 5, 12, 8, 2.** If Christmas and New Year invariably fall on the same day of the week, square the first number and subtract half the second; if not, square the third number and subtract twice the fourth. Indicate the answer numbered the same as the difference.

63. **The difference between two numbers is \( \frac{1}{4} \). Their sum is 1. What are the two numbers?**
   (1) \( \frac{1}{4} \) and \( \frac{1}{4} \) (2) \( \frac{1}{4} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \) (3) \( \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{4} \) (4) \( \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \) (5) \( \frac{1}{2} \) and \( \frac{1}{2} \)

64. **Superlative means:**
   (1) superior (2) unlimited (3) the lowest (4) sensitive (5) unlike

65. **3, 9, 12, 36, 39, 117, ...** What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 120 and 360 (2) 120 and 234 (3) 234 and 236 (4) 351 and 354 (5) 121 and 363

66. **A debonair person is:**
   (1) wealthy (2) old (3) hungry (4) courteous (5) disillusioned

67. **A lucid question is:**
   (1) debatable (2) clear (3) lengthy (4) difficult (5) important

68. **By how much must 12 be increased to stand in the same ratio to 21 as 30 does to 35?**
   (1) 6 (2) 9 (3) 3 (4) 14 (5) 5

69. **John had $120. He spent part of his money and now has only $15. What per cent of his money did he spend?**
   (1) 92\(\frac{1}{2}\)\% (2) 89\%\(_0\) (3) 91\% (4) 85 (5) 87\(\frac{1}{2}\)

70. **Viniculture is to vines as aviculture is to:**
   (1) trees (2) bees (3) birds (4) farming (5) fish

71. **To embellish is to:**
   (1) deface (2) beautify (3) destroy (4) blind (5) publish

72. **A discerning person is:**
   (1) intrepid (2) immune (3) discriminating (4) radical (5) fearful

73. **To disdain is to:**
   (1) pity (2) check (3) despise (4) discard (5) challenge

74. **Hypocrisy is a form of:**
   (1) gambling (2) worship (3) sham (4) government (5) surgery

75. **If the square root of forty-nine less the square root of two is a number less than the square of three, indicate the first answer; if it is more, indicate the fifth answer.**

76. **A decibel measures:**
   (1) electric current (2) temperature (3) walking distance (4) volume of sound (5) readability

77. **Heinous is to odious as commendable is to:**
   (1) secret (2) affable (3) perplexing (4) laudable (5) act

78. **A precocious child is:**
   (1) sickly (2) fretful (3) angelic (4) advanced (5) awkward

79. **3, 6, 8, 24, 27, 108, ...** What number should appear in the blank?
   (1) 432 (2) 112 (3) 324 (4) 132 (5) 216
1. A person of integrity is:
   (1) upright (2) neutral (3) prejudiced (4) ungainly (5) profound

2. To delude is to:
   (1) mislead (2) carry away (3) bring (4) seek (5) demand

3. If a silver kopek is worth 40¢, how many kopeks can one buy for $216?
   (1) 540 (2) 360 (3) 864 (4) 5400 (5) 3600

4. 6, 12, 27, 36, 46. What number should appear in the blank?
   (1) 18 (2) 19 (3) 24 (4) 15 (5) 14

5. Acute means:
   (1) permeable (2) mighty (3) morose (4) inadequate (5) keen

6. To diverge is to:
   (1) come together (2) amuse (3) branch off (4) plant (5) agree

7. 19, 16, 14, 11, 9, 6, .... What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 3 and 0 (2) 3 and 1 (3) 4 and 1 (4) 8 and 11 (5) 5 and 2

8. Conservative is the opposite of:
   (1) vigilant (2) liberal (3) reserved (4) inherent (5) discriminative

9. A stripling is a:
   (1) voter (2) highlander (3) tribesman (4) youth (5) vassal

10. An ingenious person is:
    (1) vehement (2) stately (3) noble (4) sinless (5) inventive

11. A synopsis is a:
    (1) nerve part (2) disease (3) refutation (4) condensation (5) preface

12. Vigilant is the opposite of:
    (1) negligent (2) tactful (3) pungent (4) typical (5) rampant

13. 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 32, .... What two numbers should come next?
    (1) 36 and 40 (2) 58 and 59 (3) 33 and 34 (4) 48 and 64 (5) 64 and 128

14. Revoke is to cancel as elude is to:
    (1) refer (2) embark (3) await (4) evade (5) exalt

15. An azure sky is:
    (1) clouded (2) threatening (3) reddish (4) starry (5) blue

16. Arabian is to horse as Bengal is to:
    (1) tiger (2) sheep (3) Arabia (4) vegetable (5) Africa

17. The sum of two numbers is 5; their product is 0. What are the two numbers?
    (1) 2½ and 2½ (2) 2 and 3 (3) 0 and 5 (4) 5 and 10 (5)½ and 5½

18. If the square of six less the square of four is an odd number divisible by 5, indicate the third answer; if not, indicate the fifth answer.

19. If the sum of the squares of the successive odd numbers from 1 to 5 inclusive is less than seven times six, indicate the second answer; if more, indicate the third answer.

20. Meticulous is to slovenly as turbulent is to:
    (1) noisy (2) awesome (3) desirable (4) peaceful (5) vacillating
21. To blight is to:
(1) shine (2) disappear (3) ruin (4) compress (5) ignore

22. House residence President United White of called States the of the the the is
If these words were arranged to make a good sentence, what would be the first letter of the second word in the sentence?
(1) H (2) p (3) o (4) r (5) t

23. 93, 82, ..., 63, 55, 48. What number should appear in the blank?
(1) 71 (2) 70 (3) 74 (4) 75 (5) 72

24. An eccentric person is:
(1) dishonest (2) thrifty (3) skeptical (4) peculiar (5) foolish

25. Oblivion is a state of:
(1) worry (2) fear (3) poverty (4) forgetfulness (5) thanksgiving

26. Reimburse is to embezzle as regurgitate is to:
(1) steal (2) swallow (3) specify (4) count (5) revivify

27. Premeditation involves:
(1) sleeping (2) curing (3) planning (4) looking back (5) hesitation

28. To metamorphose is to:
(1) leap (2) see (3) occupy (4) liken (5) change

29. If eight is less than nine but more than six, indicate the fifth answer; if less than nine and less than six, indicate the fourth answer.

30. 9, 18, 15, 30, 27, .... , .. What two numbers should come next?
(1) 24 and 21 (2) 64 and 61 (3) 54 and 108 (4) 54 and 51 (5) 36 and 33

31. 9, 10, 5, 6, 3, 4, ..., ..... What two numbers should come next?
(1) 3 and 4 (2) 1 and 2 (3) 2 and 3 (4) 8 and 9 (5) 5 and 2

32. Ponderous means:
(1) concise (2) impotent (3) unconscious (4) weighty (5) mischievous

33. 3, 18, 6, 36, 12, .... , .... What two numbers should come next?
(1) 72 and 9 (2) 72 and 26 (3) 72 and 36 (4) 72 and 24 (5) 72 and 432

34. 13, 19, .... , 34, 43, .... What two numbers should appear in the blanks?
(1) 25 and 52 (2) 28 and 49 (3) 24 and 33 (4) 26 and 53 (5) 25 and 53

35. A bulwark is a:
(1) marker (2) fortification (3) monument (4) scaffold (5) guidepost

36. 1, 4, 9, 16, 25, 36, .... , .... What two numbers should come next?
(1) 48 and 61 (2) 49 and 64 (3) 39 and 54 (4) 41 and 46 (5) 49 and 65

37. A supercilious person is:
(1) monstrous (2) illicit (3) humble (4) miserly (5) arrogant

38. Sodden means:
(1) upturned (2) grassy (3) worthless (4) leaden (5) soaked

39. To be apathetic is to be:
(1) enthused (2) informed (3) ignorant (4) indifferent (5) sincere
40. What per cent of $400 is 4% of $500?
   (1) 16⅔% (2) 5% (3) 10% (4) 2⅓% (5) 3%

41. If three plus five is greater than seven and less than nine, indicate the fifth answer unless six is greater than five, in which case indicate the first answer.

42. Base is to noble as lewd is to:
   (1) noisy (2) think (3) coarse (4) chaste (5) sensitive

43. 1529, 1478, 1427, 1376, 1325, ....... What number should come next?
   (1) 1274 (2) 1275 (3) 1254 (4) 1225 (5) 1224

44. \(\frac{5}{6}\) are how many thirds?
   (1) 3 (2) 3\% (3) 2\% (4) 2\% (5) 1\%

45. Diamond is to jewel as gold is to:
   (1) ring (2) silver (3) element (4) mine (5) plentiful

46. Resuscitate is to revivify as copy is to:
   (1) imitate (2) originate (3) model (4) prepare (5) serve

47. A bauble is a:
   (1) mistake (2) model (3) cup (4) trinket (5) shawl

48. A seismograph records:
   (1) music (2) blood pressure (3) writing (4) speed (5) quakes

49. 11, 7, 10, 12, 24, 20, 23, 25, ....... The next number should be:
   (1) 21 (2) 50 (3) 28 (4) 27 (5) 5

50. One who is deft is:
   (1) careful (2) dumb (3) skillful (4) hard of hearing (5) destructive

51. Dissonance is a term most often used in:
   (1) art (2) music (3) law (4) medicine (5) sociology

52. A trite saying is:
   (1) commonplace (2) brilliant (3) short (4) unusual (5) witty

53. To recapitulate is to:
   (1) take (2) offend (3) solve (4) surrender (5) summarize

54. Excruciating means about the same as:
   (1) returning (2) leaving (3) assembling (4) exhibiting (5) torturing

55. 20, 18, 24, 8, 6, 12, 4, ....... What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 2 and 8 (2) 6 and 12 (3) 2 and 4 (4) 8 and 16 (5) 2 and 6

56. Anger is to violence as love is to:
   (1) caress (2) hate (3) temper (4) hope (5) happiness

57. 88, 76, 74, 62, 60, 48, ....... What number should appear in the blank?
   (1) 36 (2) 50 (3) 52 (4) 98 (5) 46

58. Defray is to expense as discharge is to:
   (1) cancel (2) obligation (3) salary (4) weapons (5) surface

59. 7, 4, 8, 5, 10, 7, ....... What two numbers should come next?
   (1) 4 and 8 (2) 11 and 8 (3) 13 and 10 (4) 12 and 8 (5) 14 and 11
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Form A
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Q</th>
<th>V</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>68</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>79</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>87</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>92</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>93</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>98</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Directions for Scoring

1. **V Score**
   - Starting at the upper left hand corner, count the marks that fit within the blue boxes in all five columns. Do not count any that are encircled. Record the number of correct answers in the box marked Score at the end of the five columns.

2. **Q Score**
   - Starting at the upper right hand corner, count the marks that fit within the red boxes in all five columns. Do not count any that are encircled. Record the number of correct answers in the box marked Score at the end of the five columns.

3. **Total Score**
   - Add the Q Score and the V Score. The result is the Total Raw Score. Record this total in the center box.

4. **Percentiles**
   - Transfer the scores to the color for Raw Scores on the front page of the answer sheet. Percentile ranks for beginning-of-year college freshmen may be obtained from the conversion table on the opposite page. These norms are taken from Tables 1 and 2 in the Examiner's Manual.
## HENMON-NELSON
### COLLEGE LEVEL

### PRACTICE EXERCISES

1. ✗ 3 5 6 5
2. 1 3 6 6
3. 1 3 6 6

**Please circle any answer you wish to change; then place your mark in the other box.**

---

**USE THIS SPACE FOR ROUGH FIGURING**
General Directions

This is a test of some of the skills you have been learning ever since you first entered school. You should take it in the same way that you would work on any other new and interesting assignment.

The test is divided into four parts, which you will take one at a time. Give each one your close attention and do your best on every question. You probably will find some of the questions quite easy and others more difficult. You are not expected to answer every question correctly.

There are a few general rules for taking this test that will help you to earn your best score:

- Work carefully, but do not spend too much time on any one question. It usually is better to answer first all of the questions in the part that you know well and can answer quickly. Then go back to the questions that you want to think about.

- If you work at average speed you will have plenty of time to read and answer all of the questions. By leaving until last the questions that are most difficult, you will make best use of your time.

- You may answer questions even when you are not perfectly sure that your answers are correct. Your score will be the number of correct answers you mark.

- Put all of your answers on the answer sheet. This test booklet should not be marked in any way. Your examiner will give you an extra sheet of scratch paper to use when you do the number problems.

- Fill in all the information called for on the answer sheet and PRINT your name so that it can be read.

- Make sure that you understand instructions before you start work on any part. Ask the examiner to repeat the instructions if you do not understand exactly what you are to do.

- Make your answer marks on the answer sheet heavy and black. If you change your mind about an answer, be sure to erase your first mark completely.

If you give this test your best effort, your score will provide a good estimate of your ability in these important skills.
DIRECTIONS FOR PART I

Each question in Part I consists of a sentence in which one word is missing; a blank indicates where the word has been removed from the sentence. Beneath each sentence are five words, one of which is the missing word. You are to select the missing word by deciding which one of the five words best fits in with the meaning of the sentence.

Sample Question

We had worked hard all day so that by evening we were quite

A small  B tired  C old
D untrained  E intelligent

If you understand the sample sentence you will realize that “tired” is the missing word because none of the other words fits in with the meaning of the sentence. Next, on the answer sheet, you find the line numbered the same as the question and blacken the space which has the same letter as the missing word. Because “tired” is the correct word to use in the sample sentence, and its letter is B, the space marked B on the answer sheet is blackened. See how it has been marked on the answer sheet. Do not make any marks in your test booklet.

Do not turn this page until you are told to do so.
1 The heavy red earth is so rich in this area that ( ) is practically unknown.
A fertilizer  B sickness  C cultivation
D irrigation  E toiling

2 Considering how late the helicopter has been in arriving, it is ( ) to learn how early its basic idea was discovered.
F easy  G superfluous  H surprising
J hard  K desirable

3 There are things that the government may do ( ) that no individual would be allowed to do.
A surreptitiously  B proudly  C occasionally
D legally  E precisely

4 The ( ) for hoarding may be excessive precaution against possible future needs or it may be the mere satisfaction gained from possession.
F need  G justification  H expenditure
J disdain  K motive

5 Since the cattle business required comparatively few persons for its successful operation, the cow country was populated ( ).
A densely  B inadequately  C sparsely
D tardily  E early

6 The scientists of the past had investigated nature, but almost always by observation and not by ( ), for they had never made elaborate apparatus.
F theory  G experiment  H memory
J logic  K records

7 Encouraged by his own success, he ( ) to tell the same kind of story.
A started  B needed  C hesitated
D loved  E continued

8 The advent of cheap ( ) helped make it possible to conduct an industry on a large scale by making available a wide market.
F transportation  G products  H machines
J land  K power

9 He seemed ( ) to everything around him; nothing could rouse him to an awareness of his environment.
A oblivious  B receptive  C adjusted
D attuned  E disposed

10 Because he insisted upon publishing only that which was true, he was relieved of his position and replaced by a more ( ) man.
F literary  G progressive  H fluent
J intelligent  K subservient

11 Freedom is not permission to flout the truth but to ( ) your life by knowledge of it.
A regulate  B ransom  C prolong
D justify  E re-examine

12 Because subtlety of expression is an ( ) quality, it may not be appreciated by those who prefer music that wears its heart on its sleeve.
F individual  G elusive  H insidious
J elementary  K extraneous

13 Because of the ( ) views of the factions composing the party, the convention adjourned without adopting a platform.
A liberal  B outspoken  C irrelevant
D divergent  E untenable

14 The best education is one that equips the learner for a life which will bring satisfaction to himself and ( ) to society.
F compliance  G interest  H pleasure
J honor  K profit

15 In arguing that any interruption was a cardinal offense, Oliver Wendell Holmes exhibited an extremely autocratic view of conversation, which would be ( ) to a highly democratic people like the French.
A monotonous  B intolerable  C fascinating
D unfavorable  E disheartening

16 He had ( ) the entire story and only later did we learn that Betty was in fact an excellent swimmer.
F recited  G elucidated  H read
J concocted  K heeded

17 The Knights of Labor held that the mechanization of industry was steadily ( ) craft distinctions and thus putting all wage earners on the same level.
A dominating  B belittling  C increasing
D consolidating  E erasing

18 The acceptance by the Republicans of so much of the Federalist program left the Federal party nothing ( ) to stand for.
F honest  G acceptable  H distinctive
J popular  K constructive

Go on to the next page.
19 The hibernation of the woodchuck, involving as it does a retarding of the life processes, is less \( \boxed{\text{A rapid}} \) than the migratory flight of the hummingbird or the flash of shooting stars, but it is not much less remarkable.

\[ \text{A rapid} \quad \text{B spectacular} \quad \text{C puzzling} \quad \text{D constant} \quad \text{E frequent} \]

20 A man often refrains from doing evil so that he will be able to contemplate himself without \( \boxed{\text{F fear}} \).

\[ \text{F fear} \quad \text{G disgust} \quad \text{H pity} \quad \text{J envy} \quad \text{K hope} \]

21 As soon as it was recognized that sedimentary rocks were deposits, it followed that their relative position indicated their relative \( \boxed{\text{A origin}} \).

\[ \text{A origin} \quad \text{B age} \quad \text{C size} \quad \text{D content} \quad \text{E structure} \]

22 The \( \boxed{\text{F continuity}} \) of dramatic composition in \textit{Lohengrin} is well illustrated by the fact that the celebrated passages from this opera that appear in anthologies all need some kind of makeshift ending supplied by the editor, without which the piece would merge with the next "number."

\[ \text{F continuity} \quad \text{G appeal} \quad \text{H melodiousness} \quad \text{J logic} \quad \text{K climax} \]

23 From the purely \( \boxed{\text{A practical}} \) point of view the scene presented by the storm was one of undiluted splendor and magnificence.

\[ \text{A practical} \quad \text{B scientific} \quad \text{C aesthetic} \quad \text{D meteorological} \quad \text{E objective} \]

24 This is a type of satire in which the emotion comes first and then seeks a \( \boxed{\text{F medium}} \) on which to vent itself.

\[ \text{F medium} \quad \text{G victim} \quad \text{H stage} \quad \text{J crisis} \quad \text{K level} \]

25 For me, wanting to be happy is a \( \boxed{\text{A superfluous}} \) ambition, for I find it very difficult to be unhappy.

\[ \text{A superfluous} \quad \text{B permanent} \quad \text{C primary} \quad \text{D dangerous} \quad \text{E futile} \]

26 The savage, experiencing the regularly recurring events of nature's cycles, mistakes the desired recurrence for an \( \boxed{\text{A illusion}} \) of his own will and the dreaded recurrence for that of the will of his enemies.

\[ \text{F illusion} \quad \text{G illustration} \quad \text{H accident} \quad \text{I implement} \quad \text{K effect} \]

27 Nothing hides the differences in \( \boxed{\text{A behavior}} \) between one person and another so much as differences in income.

\[ \text{A behavior} \quad \text{B power} \quad \text{C beliefs} \quad \text{D merit} \quad \text{E conscience} \]

28 Some members of the privileged classes feared that universal suffrage would lead to government by \( \boxed{\text{F default}} \) since power would rest on the ignorance of the voters.

\[ \text{F default} \quad \text{G proxy} \quad \text{H demagogues} \quad \text{J fiat} \quad \text{K bureaucracy} \]

29 The attitude of men toward money is often so bizarre and totally different from their other characteristics that to \( \boxed{\text{A profit}} \) from it is impossible.

\[ \text{A profit} \quad \text{B detract} \quad \text{C retreat} \quad \text{D generalize} \quad \text{E recover} \]

30 In the case of the ancient Polynesian navigators we have some basis for \( \boxed{\text{A alarm}} \), for we can study their descendants today and so find hints of the methods that led the ancient colonizers across the Pacific.

\[ \text{F alarm} \quad \text{G conjecture} \quad \text{H exploration} \quad \text{J imitation} \quad \text{K proficiency} \]

STOP. If you finish before time is called, check your work on this part. Do not go on to Part II until you are told to do so.

**DIRECTIONS FOR PART II**

There are 25 problems in Part II of the test. Following each problem there are five suggested answers. Work each problem in your head or on a piece of scratch paper. Then look at the five suggested answers and decide which one is correct. Blacken the space under its letter on the answer sheet.

**Sample Problem**

\[
\begin{align*}
5413 & \quad \text{F 586} \quad \text{G 596} \quad \text{H 696} \\
-4827 & \quad \text{J 1586} \quad \text{K None of these}
\end{align*}
\]

Because the correct answer to the sample problem is 586, which is lettered F, the space marked F on the answer sheet is blackened. See how it has been marked on the answer sheet. Do not make any marks in your test booklet.

Do not turn this page until you are told to do so.
1 68081
   - 18984
   = 49097
A  49,097
B  49,197
C  50,107
D  59,097
E  None of these

2 Which of the following is “four hundredths”?
F  \( \frac{1}{400} \)
G  \( \frac{1}{4} \)
H  .04
J  .4
K  400
None of these

3 \( 1 \frac{1}{2} \times 275 \)
A  300
B  320
C  325
D  350
E  None of these

4 1 yard 1 foot 6 inches
\[ \times 2 \]
F  2 yards 2 feet
G  2 yards 2 feet 1 inch
H  2 yards 2 feet 6 inches
J  3 yards
K  3 yards 2 feet

5 \( \frac{7}{13} \)
A  \( \frac{5}{13} \)
B  \( \frac{5}{2} \)
C  \( \frac{6}{2} \)
D  \( \frac{6}{3} \)
E  None of these

6 \( 8.1 \div 81.081 \)
F  .1001
G  1.001
H  1.01
J  10.01
K  10.1

7 7 hours 12 minutes
   - 2 hours 55 minutes
   = 4 hours 17 minutes
A  4 hours 17 minutes
B  4 hours 57 minutes
C  4 hours 67 minutes
D  5 hours 17 minutes
E  None of these

8 \( 2 \frac{1}{4} \times 3 \frac{3}{7} \)
F  \( 6 \frac{1}{2} \)
G  \( 6 \frac{5}{7} \)
H  \( 7 \frac{1}{2} \)
J  \( 7 \frac{5}{7} \)
K  \( 8 \frac{7}{12} \)

9 .091 \times .091
A  .008281
B  .08281
C  .182
D  .8281
E  None of these

10 \[ \begin{array}{c}
709 \frac{1}{2} \\
9486 \frac{3}{8} \\
\hline
\end{array} \]
   \[ + \]
   \[ \begin{array}{c}
\frac{32}{4} \\
\frac{1}{4} \\
\hline
\end{array} \]
F  10,217 \( \frac{3}{4} \)
H  10,227 \( \frac{7}{12} \)
G  10,227 \( \frac{1}{12} \)
J  10,228 \( \frac{1}{12} \)
K  None of these

11 What is 25% of 16%?
A  .4%
B  4%
C  40%
D  156.25%
E  400%

12 \( 1 \frac{3}{8} + 1 \frac{3}{8} \)
F  \( \frac{23}{8} \)
G  \( \frac{27}{3} \)
H  \( \frac{59}{15} \)
J  \( \frac{27}{24} \)
K  None of these

13 \( \frac{3}{5} \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} \)
A  0
B  \( \frac{1}{5} \)
C  2
D  3
E  None of these

14 .9 - .006
A  .3
G  .814
H  .894
J  .896
K  .906

15 \( 3 \frac{2}{6} + \frac{9}{10} \)
A  \( \frac{2}{7} \)
B  \( \frac{2}{5} \)
C  \( \frac{2}{6} \)
D  \( \frac{2}{8} \)
E  \( \frac{3}{7} \)

16 .625 \times 924
F  515
G  576.5
H  577.4
J  577.5
K  None of these

17 101 - 1.01
A  99.09
B  99.99
C  100.99
D  109.99
E  None of these

18 \( 33 \frac{3}{5} + 3 \frac{1}{3} \)
F  10
G  10\( \frac{1}{3} \)
H  11
J  11\( \frac{1}{6} \)
K  None of these

19 2 hours 10 minutes 20 seconds
   - 10 minutes 30 seconds
A  1 hour 50 seconds
B  1 hour 59 minutes 50 seconds
C  2 hours 10 seconds
D  2 hours 59 minutes 50 seconds
E  None of these

Go on to the next page.
Change $\frac{3}{4}$ to a per cent.

F 11.75%
G 56%
H 12.5%
J 18.75%
K None of these

21. $\frac{7}{8}$ is equal to which of the following?

A $\frac{7}{8}$
B $\frac{7}{5}$
C 14
D 35
E None of these

22. The average of $\frac{5}{6}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$ is

A $\frac{5}{7}$
B $\frac{1}{24}$
C $\frac{25}{48}$
D $\frac{3}{4}$
E None of these

24. Change 37500 to a per cent.

F .02%
G .05%
H .2%
J .5%
K None of these

25. How many inches (to the nearest inch) is 22% of 3 feet?

A 1
B 6
C 7
D 8
E 9

Stop. If you finish before time is called, check your work on this part. Do not go back to the previous part. Do not go on to Part III until you are told to do so.

DIRECTIONS FOR PART III

Each of the questions in Part III consists of one word in large letters followed by five words or phrases in small letters. Read the word in large letters. Then pick, from the words or phrases following it, the one whose meaning is closest to the word in large letters. For example:

Sample Question

chilly

A tired  B nice  C dry  D cold  E sunny

In order to find the correct answer you look at the word chilly and then look for a word or phrase below it that has the same or almost the same meaning. When you do this you see that “cold” is the answer because “cold” is closest in meaning to the word “chilly.” Next, on the answer sheet you find the line numbered the same as the question and blacken the space which has the same letter as the word you have selected as the correct one. Because “cold” is the correct answer to the sample question, the space marked D on the answer sheet is blackened. See how it has been marked on the answer sheet. Do not make any mark in your test booklet.

Do not turn this page until you are told to do so.
1 meddle
   A hurt
   B sharpen
   C interfere
   D shake
   E pat

2 quadrangle
   F form of dance
   G musical instrument
   H four-footed animal
   J four-sided figure
   K sharp corner

3 flog
   A stun
   B beat
   C bother
   D soak
   E tread

4 anticipate
   F supplant
   G foresee
   H take part in
   J oppose
   K endorse

5 propulsion
   A driving forward
   B attraction
   C rhythmic motion
   D movement upward
   E strong inward feeling

6 diminish
   F flatten
   G default
   H undermine
   J finish
   K lessen

7 bias
   A prejudice
   B horizontal line
   C basis
   D ridicule
   E restraint

8 finesse
   F trickery
   G delicate skill
   H cleanliness
   J originality
   K good quality

9 despond
   A speak in whispers
   B lay bare
   C daydream
   D lose hope
   E answer negatively

10 maim
   F scold
   G cripple
   H discipline
   J torture
   K shackle

11 boycott
   A ration
   B make a bargain
   C strike
   D corner a market
   E withhold business

12 acquiesce
   F agree to
   G argue
   H stipulate
   J exaggerate
   K compromise

13 affront
   A plan
   B alarm
   C insult
   D startle
   E sham

14 dauntless
   F sunny
   G courageous
   H rude
   J splendid
   K defenseless

15 tepid
   A tasteless
   B hesitant
   C lukewarm
   D glistening
   E sour

16 deteriorate
   F grow worse
   G disappear
   H alter
   J discredit
   K contradict

17 requisition
   A service for the dead
   B recompense
   C memorandum
   D interrogate
   E order

18 adage
   F mental weakness
   G later years
   H proverb
   J normal condition
   K custom

19 affix
   A deface
   B repair
   C adjust
   D attach
   E conspire

20 regime
   F diet
   G happy period
   H government
   J rule of a queen
   K legal code

21 bravado
   A sham courage
   B gesture
   C self-conceit
   D profuse liberality
   E creativeness
DIRECTIONS FOR PART IV

There are 25 problems in Part IV of the test. Following each problem there are five suggested answers. Work each problem in your head or on a piece of scratch paper. Then look at the five suggested answers and decide which one is correct. Blacken the space under its letter on the answer sheet.

Because the correct answer to the sample problem is 8, which is lettered H, the space marked H on the answer sheet is blackened. See how it has been marked on the answer sheet.

Do not make any marks in your test booklet.

Sample Problem

Four $10-bills are equal to how many $5-bills?

F 20  G 10  H 8
J 40  K 2.
PART IV/TIME: 25 MINUTES

1. How many inches are there in 2 ½ feet?
   A 24
   B 26
   C 28
   D 30
   E 32

2. On 5 successive days the attendance at a certain school was 416, 420, 400, 434, and 390, respectively. What was the average daily attendance during this period?
   F 400
   G 412
   H 416
   J 1030
   K 2060

3. How many miles per hour must you average in order to travel 810 miles in 18 hours?
   A 36
   B 45
   C 54
   D 145.8
   E 180

4. Four $20 bills are equal to how many $5 bills?
   F 16
   G 20
   H 25
   J 40
   K 400

5. For the first 4 days of the week a boy paid 55 cents each day for lunch. If his lunch allowance was $3.00 for 5 days, how many cents did he have left to spend for lunch the fifth day?
   A 60
   B 65
   C 70
   D 75
   E 80

6. What is the interest for one year on $60 if the interest rate is 2 ½% per year?
   F $1.50
   G $4.20
   H $12.50
   J $15.00
   K $24.00

7. If 4 pencils cost 10 cents, how many pencils can be bought for 40 cents?
   A 10
   B 16
   C 40
   D 100
   E 160

8. John works at the store 2 hours each day on school days and 8 hours on Saturday. If he makes $14.40 per week, how much does he earn per hour?
   F $ .72
   G $ .80
   H $ .90
   J $1.44
   K $1.60

9. How many minutes are there from ten minutes of three to a quarter past six?
   A 155
   B 175
   C 185
   D 200
   E 205

10. Ordinary brass is made up of two parts copper to one part zinc. How many pounds of copper are there in 30 pounds of brass?
    F 10
    G 12
    H 15
    J 18
    K 20

11. How much larger than 39 is 3900?
    A 100
    B 390
    C 1000
    D 3861
    E 3961

12. If the price of canned milk is reduced from 3 cans for 40 cents to 2 cans for 25 cents, how many cents does one save on a dozen cans?
    F 5
    G 10
    H 15
    J 20
    K 30
13 How many $\frac{2}{3}$-foot paces will it take to cover the same distance that 120 3-foot paces cover?

A 96
B 100
C 125
D 140
E 144

14 A jacket marked $27 was sold for $22.95 during a sale. What was the per cent reduction?

F 15
G 16
H 17
J 18
K 20

15 John has saved $24, which is 33\(\frac{1}{3}\)% of the cost of a certain bicycle. How much more must he save to buy the bicycle?

A $8
B $32
C $48
D $72
E $80

16 If Allen plowed $\frac{3}{4}$ of the rectangular garden, how many square yards did he plow?

F $11\frac{1}{4}$
G 15
H 30
J 45
K 90

17 Fred and Allen planted six 15-foot rows of seeds. If they planted seeds on the average of 1 every 8 inches, about how many seeds did they plant?

A 60
B 135
C 270
D 720
E 1125

18 Fencing cost 10 cents per square foot. If they put a fence 2 feet high all around the garden, how much did the fence cost them?

F $1.80$
G $1.08$
H $2.70$
J $5.40$
K $10.80$

2 pints = 1 quart / 4 quarts = 1 gallon

19 What part of a gallon is $2\frac{1}{2}$ pints?

A $\frac{1}{10}$
B $\frac{5}{16}$
C $\frac{5}{12}$
D $\frac{5}{8}$
E $\frac{4}{5}$

20 The square root of 8125 is about

F 28
G 90
H 95
J 280
K 905

21 Mr. Glass plans to rent a truck to move his furniture. For the use of the truck he must pay 10 cents per mile plus $1.50 per hour, with a minimum charge of $15.00. If he expects to drive the truck a total of 45 miles, how many hours can he keep it without paying more than the minimum charge?

A 5\(\frac{1}{2}\)
B 6
C 6\(\frac{1}{2}\)
D 7
E 7\(\frac{1}{2}\)

22 X, Y, and Z did a job together. X did $\frac{1}{10}$ of the work, which was $\frac{1}{3}$ as much as Y did. What fraction of the work did Z do?

F $\frac{1}{20}$
G $\frac{1}{5}$
H $\frac{1}{10}$
J $\frac{1}{5}$
K $\frac{1}{7}$

23 One acre is equal to approximately .405 hectare. One hectare is equal to approximately how many acres?

A .164
B .247
C .595
D 1.64
E 2.47

Go on to the next page.
A man earns $390 per month and spends $27 per week on food. What per cent of a year's earnings does he spend on food?

F 20  
G 25  
H 30  
J 35  
K 40  

If a factory's output were increased by 3000 units per year, its annual output would be tripled. What is the factory's present annual output?

A 750  
B 1000  
C 1500  
D 2000  
E 4500  

If you finish before time is called, check your work on this part. Do not go back to any previous part.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>CAT</th>
<th>School and College Ability Tests • SCAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperative Test Division, Educational Testing Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Princeton, New Jersey Berkeley 4, California</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Test Form

**PART I**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART II**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART III**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PART IV**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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