

Loyola University Chicago Loyola eCommons

Master's Theses

Theses and Dissertations

1966

The Text of Chrysostom's Homily 46 on Matthew in the Light of the Codex Guelferbytanus

John Patrick Langan Loyola University Chicago

Follow this and additional works at: https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses



Part of the Classics Commons

Recommended Citation

Langan, John Patrick, "The Text of Chrysostom's Homily 46 on Matthew in the Light of the Codex Guelferbytanus" (1966). Master's Theses. 2153.

https://ecommons.luc.edu/luc_theses/2153

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Theses and Dissertations at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Master's Theses by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact ecommons@luc.edu.



This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License. Copyright © 1966 John Patrick Langan

THE TEXT OF CHRYSOSTOM'S HOMILY 46 ON MATTHEW IN THE LIGHT OF THE CODEX GUELFERBYTANUS

py

John P. Langan, S.J.

A Thesis Submitted to the Faculty of the Graduate School
in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Arts of Loyola University

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Chapter | | Page |
|--------------|--|------|
| I. | PROLEGOMENA TO THE TEXT | 1 |
| II. | TEXT OF HOMILY 46 OF CHRYSOSTOM'S HOMILIES ON THE GOSPEL OF SAINT MATTHEW | 17 |
| III. | TRANSLATION OF THE TEXT | 149 |
| IV. | COMMENTARY ON THE TEXT | 60 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | | 71 |

LIFE

John Patrick Langan was born in Hartford, Connecticut, on August 10, 1940. He graduated from the University of Detroit High School in June, 1957. In July, 1957, he entered the Society of Jesus at the Novitiate of St. Isaac Jogues, Wernersville, Pennsylvania. In August, 1959, he transferred to Colombiere College of the University of Detroit and in August, 1961, to West Baden College of Loyola University. In June, 1962, he received the degree of Bachelor of Arts from Loyola University and entered the Graduate School of Loyola University. From September, 1964, to June, 1965, he taught Latin and Greek at St. Ignatius High School, Cleveland, Ohio. Since August, 1965, he has been an instructor in philosophy at the University of Detroit.

CHAPTER I

PROLEGOMENA

St. John Chrysostom, the great preacher and doctor of Antioch and Constantinople, was, after his death, one of the most influential and admired of the Greek Fathers. The holiness of his life, and the persecutions that led to his death, together with the stylistic excellence of his sermons and their moral earnestness, ensured him a high place among the leaders and writers of the Christian East and were doubtless responsible for the preservation of his extensive writings and their continued popularity down through the ages.

James Marshall Campbell, in his little introduction to The Greek Fathers, has observed of Chrysostom: "More of him has survived, he has been translated more frequently and more widely and has been published more extensively than any other Father of the Orient." In Migne's Patrologia Graeca, his works fill eighteen volumes. These eighteen volumes contain, along with treatises like De Sacerdotio and letters, one of the most extensive and most admired collections of sacred oratory in the world.

One of the central works of this collection is the <u>Homilies on Matthew</u>, which are one of the great monuments of Chrysostom's exegetical oratory and which form the earliest complete commentary on the first Gospel preserved to us. These homilies, ninety in all, were probably delivered at Antioch in the

James Marshall Campbell, The Greek Fathers (New York: Longmans, Green and Co., 1929), p. 72.

year 390, when Chrysostom was serving as preacher in the patriarchal church of that city. However, as Dom Chrysostomus Baur points out, these homilies have not come down to us in the form in which they were originally delivered. Baur summarizes his position on the date and character of these homilies thus:

Soon after the sermons on Genesis [which Baur dates to the first half of 388] Chrysostom must have begun the explanation of St.
Matthew's Gospel. The relatively small number of concrete characteristics makes it clear that this composition is simply a literary product. In the pulpit itself, the preacher may have introduced many penetrating observations which are lacking in the written text. The St. Matthew commentary is generally supposed to have been composed in the year 390, and this date may actually be the correct one."

In these homilies, Chrysostom stresses the continuity between the Old

Testament and the New against the Manichaeans and the unity of nature between

the Father and the Son against the Arians. However, his main concern in these
homilies, as in the great bulk of his works, is moral exhortation rather than
dogmatic instruction or speculation.

The <u>Homilies on Matthew</u>, then, are significant in a number of ways.

First, they are a major example of Chrysostom's oratorical skill and moral intensity. Second, they are one of the major surviving monuments of the Antiochene school of exegesis. Third, they ascupy an important place in the history of exegesis as the first complete commentary on Matthew. Fourth, they

²Johannes Quasten, <u>Patrology</u>, Vol. III: <u>The Golden Age of Greek Patristic Literature</u>: <u>From the Council of Nicasa to the Council of Chalcedon</u> (Witrecht: Spectrum Publishers, 1960), p. 437.

³Chrysostomus Baur, O.S.B., <u>John Chrysostom and His Time</u>, Vol. I: <u>Antioch</u>, trans. Sr. M. Gonzaga, R.S.M. (Westminster, Md.: Newman Press, 1959), pp. 288-289.

Quasten, III, 437.

are of central importance in determining the text of the New Testament as it was read by Chrysostom and his contemporaries. Fifth, they reflect the ordinary dogmatic teaching of the Antiochene church at a time which was relatively free from major doctrinal controversies. Sixth, they cast much incidental light on the customs, attitudes, and history of the late fourth century. Perticularly interesting in this regard are Homilies 69 and 70, which describe contemporary monastic life. The importance and influence of the Homilies on Matthew in later ages can be estimated from the large number of manuscripts in which they are preserved either in whole or in part. Quasten estimates that there are at least 175 of these manuscripts, dating from the ninth to the sixteenth centuries. Because of their intrinsic value and their historical importance, the Homilies on Matthew are of continuing significance and justify the effort to establish a more exact text, which is undertaken in this thesis.

The Homilies on Matthew have appeared in the following editions:

Commelin, Hieronymus. Expositio perpetua in Nouum Jesu Christi Testamentum. 4 vols. Heidelberg: in Bibliopolio Commeliniano (Jud. et Nicol. Bonuitii), 1603.

Savile, Henry. S. Joannis Chrysostomi Opera omnia. 8 vols. Eton: John Norton, 1613.

Ducaeus, Fronto, S.J. <u>Sancti Joannis Chrysostomi Opera omnia in</u>
<u>12 tomos distributa</u>. Paris: apud Carolum Morellum, 1636-1642.

Montfaucon, Bernard de. Sancti Joannis Chrysostomi Opera omnia quae exstant vel ejus nomine circumferuntur. 13 vols. Paris: spmptibus L. Guerin, C. Robustel, et al., 1718-1738.

Montfaucon, Bernard de. Sancti Joannis Chrysostomi Opera Omnia.
Editio altera (ed. Theobald Fix). 13 vols. Paris: apud Gaume Fratres, 1834-1839.

⁵Ibid., 438.

Field, Frederick. <u>Joannis Chrysostomi Homilies in Matthaeum</u>. 3 vols. Cambridge: University Press, 1839.

Field Frederick. Sancti Joannis Chrysostomi Homiliae in Matthaeum. Editio novissima accurante et denuo recognascente J.P. Migne. 2 vols. Paris: J.P. Migne, 1863.6

The edition of Commelin was based on manuscripts from the Palatine library and from libraries in Bavaria and Augsburg. Commelin confined his editing to reproducing the fullest reading found in his manuscripts and bracketing those words which did not occur in all of the manuscripts. After the appearance of Savile's edition, Commelin's edition was reissued unchanged with the date 1617, a fact which Field attributes to the publisher's desire to prevent the edition from appearing obsolete.

Commelin's edition was used by Sir Henry Savile (1549-1622) in the preparation of his edition of the complete works of Chrysostom. Though Field's edition of the Homilies on Matthew supersedes that part of Savile's work, Savile's edition still stands as a major accomplishment, which has been described as "the first work of learning on a great scale published in England." Field, however, points out the difficulties under which Savile labored in editing the Homilies on Matthew, particularly the lack of sound manuscripts with which to correct the readings of Commelin's edition. In his view, Savile

The bibliographical information for those works which I have not been able to consult (the editions of Commelin, Savile, and Fronto Ducaeus) has been taken from the preface to Field's edition and from Paul W. Harkins, "The Text Tradition of Chrysostom's Commentary on John," Theological Studies, XIX (1958), pp. 404-412.

⁷Frederick Field, <u>Joannis Chrysostomi Homiliae in Matthaeum</u>, (Cambridge: University Press, 1839), III, vi.

William Carr, "Sir Henry Savile," <u>Dictionary of National Biography</u>, ed. Sir Leslie Stephen and Sir Sidney Lee, XVII (1921) 858.

had no complete, Sound manuscript of the homilies of the second half of the commentary. Though I have not been able to consult Savile's edition, the readings of his text can be gathered from Field's apparatus criticus.

The edition of Fronto Ducaeus is of little value for establishing the text of the homilies since it simply reproduces the text of the Commelin edition. Actually, this edition has precious little to do with Fronto Ducaeus, a French Jesuit, who edited Chrysostom's homilies on the Old Testament, and whose name was then used by the printer Charles Morel to adorn the title page of the edition of Chrysostom's works which he published from 1636 to 1642, although Ducaeus had died in 1624. Field observes that this edition differs from Commelin's in no more than ten places; he used it rather than Commelin's in preparing hiw own edition and refers to it in his apparatus criticus as Morel's edition.

The edition of Montfaucon, though it long enjoyed the reputation of being the best edition, was actually a rather unsatisfactory product of the old age of that great scholar. Field's basic criticism of it is that, though Montfaucon professed to recognize the superiority of Savile's text to Commelin's, he actually based his own text on Commelin's and neglected Savile's. Field further observes that the second Benedictine edition, which reproduced Montfaucon's text with some alterations, did something to correct this by using Savile's edition more heavily, though not heavily enough. 12

⁹Field, III, ix-x.

¹⁰ Harkins, p. 405.

¹¹ Field, III, xii.

¹² Ibid., xv.

The single most important edition of the Homilies on Matthew, however, is that of Frederick Field (1801-1885), an Anglican clergyman and fellow of Trinity College, Cambridge, from 1824 to 1839. During this time he prepared his edition of the Homilies on Matthew, which appeared in 1839. William Greenhill observes of him: "In his own line of learning he was certainly not surpassed by any scholar of his age." His edition is a model of clear and precise scholarship and has become the standard text of the homilies, being reprinted by J. P. Migne in Volumes 57 and 58 of the Patrologia Graeca. It was used in the preparation of Prevost's translation of the homilies in the Oxford Library of Fathers.

In preparing his edition, Field used only 13 of the approximately 175 manuscripts of the homilies; and none of those that he uses contained all ninety of the homilies. Most of the major manuscripts contain either the first half of the commentary, which usually runs up to Homily 42, 44, or 45 inclusively, or the second half. Consequently, in preparing the text of Homily 46, Field was principally dependent on three manuscripts, which he designed as G, H, and K. G was a manuscript from the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, in folio, a parchment of the eleventh century, which contained Homilies 45 to 90. It had been somewhat damaged by moisture and lacked its last page. H and K were both eleventh-century parchments in folio from the Moyal Library of Paris. Field called H, which contained Homilies 45-90 complete, a "codex elegans et diligenter scriptus," and K, which con-

¹³william Alexander Greenhill, "Frederick Field," <u>Dictionary of</u>
National <u>Biography</u>, ed. Sir Leslie Stephen and Sir Sidney Lee, VI (1921), 1267.

tained the same homilies, a "codex pulcherrimus et accurate descripțus." K unfortunately lacks one page, which runs from EKEIVOUS on page 481C to TUALE LATE on page 482D in Homily 46. He Field also makes reference to a "Codex Regius 688," or Codex P as he refers to it elsewhere, which contained homilies 43 to 90 complete. However he did not use it consistently to determine the text of Homily 46, though he does refer to it on one occasion in his apparatus criticus. 15

Field's means of determining the text were not limited, however, to these four manuscripts and to the previous editions. In determining the text of the New Testament citations in the homily, he was able to use Matthei's collation of the Moscow manuscripts. 16 He was also able to use an Epitome of the entire commentary, which eliminated the Ethica or moral exhortations with which the homilies concluded and presented only Chrysostom's exegesis of the Biblical text in a compressed form, which, however, often preserved Chrysostom's own words. Field uses a manuscript in quarto of this Epitome from the Library of Trinity College, Cambridge, a carefully written parchment of the early tenth century. He also consulted the commentaries on Matthew of Theophylactus and of Euthymius Zigabenus, which were derived from Chrysostom's commentary. He also uses the Latin translation of the Homilies on Matthew which Annianus of Celeda, a Pelagian deacon of the early fifth century, had undertaken. This translation included only the first twenty-

¹⁴ Field, III, xx.

¹⁵ Thid., xxdi.

¹⁶ Ibid.

five homilies and of these only the first eight have ever been printed. 17

For Homily 46, however, Field did have available the Latin translation made by Georgius Trapezuntius (1396-1485 or 1486) and revised by Philippus Montanus in an edition published at Paris in 1570. Since Georgius, a Greek humanist who had come to Italy in the early part of the fifteenth century, had incurred disgrace and expulsion from the papal court because of the infidelity of his translations, the value of this source is not what it might have been. 18

Field further made use of an Armenian translation of Homilies 1 to 53, edited by the Mechitarist Fathers at Venice in 1826. 19

The Codex Guelferbytanus 95 is a manuscript from the Ducal Library at Wolfenbuttel and is of particular interest in that it is the oldest manuscript of any of the writings of Chrysostom that we possess. Dom Chrysostomus Baur dated it to the seventh century. Father Edgar Smothers, S.J., following Tischendorf and Gregory, dates it to the sixth century, as does Heinemann in his catalogue of the Wolfenbuttel manuscripts. Heinemann described it thus in his catalogue:

Pergam. 33 x 27 cm. 186 Bll., in bezeichneten Lagen zu 8 Ell., zweispaltig 6. Jahrh. . . . Schone griechesche Uncial-und Kapitalhandschrift, mit rothen Ueberschriften, ohne alle

¹⁷ Ernest Honigmann, Patristic Studies ("Studi e Testi," Vol. CLXXIII; Citta del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1953), p. 55.

¹⁸R. Janin, "Georges de Trebizonde," <u>Dictionnaire de Théologie</u>
<u>Catholique</u>, ed. A. Vacant and E. Mangenot, VI (1920), cols. 1235-1237.

¹⁹ Field, III, xxv-xxvi. 20 Baur, II, 470.

Edgar Smothers, S.J., "Four Greek Hymns," <u>Mélanges Joseph de Ghellinck</u>, <u>S.J.</u> (Gembloux: Editions J. Duculot, 1951), p. 323.

Wortabbrechung. Mit einselnen Bemerkungen und Zusatzen von späteren Händen. Hier und da hat auch eine Hand des 10 Jahrh. lateinische Wörte hinsufügt.²²

Though Tischendorf used the Scriptural references and texts in the Codex Guelferbytamus in preparing his edition of the New Testament and Father Smothers edited four Greek hymns found in the margins of this manuscript, it has never been used in any edition of the Homilies on Matthew.

The Godex Guelferbytamus is, however, of special importance in the establishment of a sound text of the Homilies, not only because of its age but also because of the fact that, unlike the manuscripts that have been used in previous editions, it contains neither the first half of the complete Homilies nor the second half, but a selection of eighteen homilies from both halves of the commentary. Of these eighteen homilies, seventeen are preserved to us; the missing one is the minth in the series. The quaternion containing it and the last part of the eighth homily, which is Homily 46 in the whole commentary and which is the subject of this thesis, has unfortunately disappeared.

After inspecting the homilies in this manuscript, I have been unable to arrive at any hypothesis as to why these particular eighteen homilies were chosen by the original editor of the collection. The homilies that he chose and their contents are given here in summary form:

33. Mt 10. 16-22. Christ's discourse on sending out the Twelve.

Ethicon: Chrysostom argues the superiority of the Apostles to the Greeks,
insists on our weakness, and praises the patience of Job.

Wolfenbuttel (Wolfenbuttel, 1884), I, no. 95, cited by Smothers, p. 321.

- 35. Mt 10. 34-42. Christ's discourse on sending out the Twelve.

 Ethicon: Chrysostom insists on the necessity of almsgiving and replies to difficulties about whether or not the poor deserve alms.
- 36. Mt 11. 1-6. Christ speaks to a delegation sent by John the Baptist. Ethicon: Chrysostom discusses the problem of the selvation of those who died without knowing Christ and argues that our culpability is greater than that of the heathen.
- 37. Mt 11. 7-24. Jesus speaks to the people about John. He curses the cities of Galilee. Ethicon: Chrysostom denounces the theater and its sinful spectacles.
- 41. Mt 12. 25-32. Jesus is accused of casting out devils in the name of Beelzebub. Ethicon: Chrysostom says that the threat of eternal punishment should lead us to afflict ourselves in this life by remembrance of our sins and repentance joined with good works.
- 42. Mt 12. 33-37. In reply, Jesus denounces the Pharisees. Ethicon: Chrysostom deplores our tendency to neglect our true spiritual welfare.
- 43. Mt 12. 38-45. Jesus denounces the unbelieving generation and offers it the sign of Jonah. In his exposition of the text, Chrysostom denounces the Marcionites, the Jews, and Julian the Apostate. Ethicon: Chrysostom insists on the reality of hell and the necessity of conversion.
- 46. Mt 13. 24-33. The parables of the wheat and the tares, of the leaven and of the mustard seed. Ethicon: Chrysostom exhorts us to imitate the Apostles in rightness of life.
- 73. Mt 23. 14-28. Jesus denounces the Pharisees. Ethicon: Chrysostom engages in a denunciation of sexual immorality and an exhortation to

marry good women, adding a warning against marrying women for their money.

79. Mt 25.31-26.5 Christ proclaims that he will judge men according to their works of charity. Ethicon: Chrysostom exhorts us to forgiveness of enemies and speaks against revenge.

80. Mt 26.6-16. A woman anoints the feet of Jesus at Bethany; Judas agrees to betray Jesus. Ethicon: Chrysostom warns us against covetousness and urges us to accept poverty.

85. Mt 26.67-27.10. The Jews mock Jesus; Peter denies him; Judas commits suicide. Ethicon: Chrysostom exhorts us to almsgiving and deplores the fact that priests are now obliged to attend to temporal concerns.

86. Mt 27. 11-26. Jesus is tried before Pilate; the Jews prefer Barabbas to Jesus. Ethicon: Chrysostom warns us against yielding to the passions, even to a small extent: for this gives a foothold to the devil.

87. Mt 27. 27-44. The way of the cross and the crucifixion. Ethicon: Chrysostom urges us to bear insults with self-control and without anger.

88. Mt 27. 45-61. The death and burial of Jesus. Chrysostom here refers to an eclipse of the sun "in our generation." Ethicon: Chrysostom urges us not to forsake Jesus in his members but to give them alms; he defends himself against criticism for always talking of almsgiving.

89. Mt 27.62-28.10. The priests set a guard over the tomb; Jesus rises from the dead. Ethicon: Chrysostom denounces the women who wear fine jewelry when they should give alms to the poor.

90. Mt 28. 11-20. The guards report the news to the priests; Jesus appears to the Eleven in Galilee. Ethicon: Chrysostom exhorts to poverty and almsgiving.

From this summary of the contents of the homilies, which are given in the order in which they occur in the manuscript, it can be seen that the editor generally chose groups of homilies for inclusion in his collection; Homilies 166 and 73 are the only isolated homilies found in the manuscript as it stands. He also seems to have had a preference for Chrysostom's expositions of the discourses of Christ rather than for his expositions of the miracles and of the narrative sections of the Cospel, with the exception of the narrative of the Passion and Resurrection. Five of the Ethica deal with poverty and almsgiving, and three treat of hell. Beyond this, few common threads can be discoursed among the homilies that form this collection. The missing homily is one of those between Homily 166 and Homily 73 and may well have been either Homily 167 or Homily 72.

Apart from the absence of this one homily, however, the manuscript is well preserved. It is written in double columns in a clear, firm, and legible hand. The hand resembles that in the Vienna <u>Dioscorides</u> written for Juliana Anicia, 23 though the down strokes of the phi, the rho, and the upsilon are not so long as in the <u>Dioscorides</u>, which is dated to the early sixth century. Enlarged letters mark the beginnings of paragraphs. There are no accents, breathings, or iota subscripts, and there is no division between words. The horisontal stroke is frequently used to indicate the omission of a final nu and also to form the customary contractions of the <u>nomina sacra</u>. Omicron is

²³Sir Edward Maunde Thompson, An Introduction to Greek and Latin Paleography (Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1912), pp. 209-210.

frequently written on a much smaller scale than the other letters; less frequently this is the case with sigma, alpha, or other letters. The margins of the text of Homily 46 are generally clean. A small sign is placed in the margin at the beginning of each line of Biblical text both in the pericope and in the homily. Crosses occur in the margins at several points, but their occurrence does not seem to follow any rule. One section of the text, which is noted in the commentary, has been recopied in the margin in a hand probably belonging to the seventh century. The two corrections have been noted in their proper places in the commentary.

At this point it may be appropriate to indicate the general character of the Codex Guelferbytanus and its value for determining the text of Homily 46. In general, it may be said that the Codex Guelferbytanus confirms the text of this homily which has been established on the basis of later manuscripts. The scribe responsible for this manuscript was a careful workman who avoided gross blunders and who tried to provide a clear and readily intelligible text for his readers. He marred his work, however, by an excessive desire to avoid ambiguities and to make references clear; as a result, many glosses and explanatory notes have crept into the text, particularly in the section where Chrysostom discusses the treatment of heretics (482B3-D1). Despite this tendency to amplify the text, the scribe of the Guelferbytanus has done his job well. We are fortunate in possessing such an old and generally reliable witness to the text of this homily. However its authority is not, in my view, such that its readings should be preferred to Field's, which are based on the broad and generally sound tradition. For the value of the Codex Guelferbytanus lies not in reliability in matters of detail but in the general confirmation

that it provides for the text established by Field.

The pericope of Matthew which is found at the beginning of this homily gives a standard version of the text with no extraordinary variants; however, the value of this text in determining the history of the New Testament text lies outside the scope of the present thesis.

In preparing this edition of Homily 46 of the Homilies on Matthew, my basic task has been the establishment of a new text of the homily on the basis of my collation of the Codex Guelferbytanus and the editions of Montfaucon and Field. I have been particularly dependent on Field's edition, which is a model of clear and authoritative scholarship and incorporates the work of his predecessors which I was, for the most part, unable to consult. I have also prepared a translation of the newly established text in which I have tried to achieve an English version that would be intelligible, and natural, and at the same time faithful to the Greek text.

To the text and translation I have appended a short commentary in which I have indicated some of the considerations that were operative in my determination of the text. The commentary is not exhaustive. I have deliberately refrained from comment both in those cases where the reading given by Guelfer-bytanus is manifestly impossible and in those cases where the reading was determined purely on the greater authority of one source over another. In those where no considerations of grammar, sense, or paleography seemed decisively to favor any of the variants, I have retained the reading given by Field and have recorded that given by Guelferbytanus in the apparatus criticus. In those cases where the Guelferbytanus is not mentioned among the sources of variants, the reading of that manuscript may be understood to be identical with that of the

method of designating his sources, though I have not transcribed his apparatus in toto. Also, because the Codex Guelferbytanus breaks off before the end of the homily, I have not edited, translated, or commented on any part of the homily beyond the end of the homily as it is found in the Codex Guelferbytanus. In the presentation of the text, I have retained in the margin the pagination given in the first Benedictine edition, which was retained in the margins of the second Benedictine edition and of Field's edition, though not in Migne's reprinting of Field. The numbering of the lines, however, which is found in the margins of the text and which is referred to in the commentary, I have taken from Field's edition.

I have omitted merely orthographical variants from the text and the apparatus criticus. The chief of these have been the presence or absence of the nu or sigma moveable, variant forms of OV, failure to assimilate prefixes, failure to indicate elisions, variant forms of the imperative and second person plural endings, and the interchange of ℓ and ℓ ℓ .

Sigla

Arm. Versio Armenica

Ep. Epitome

G Codex G in editione Field

Ge. Versio Latina Georgii Trapezuntii

Gu Codex Guelferbytanus

H Codex H in editione Field

K Codex K in editione Field

Montf. Editio Montfaucon

Mor. Editio Morel

Mosq. Codices Mosquenses citatae a Matthei

Sav. Editio Savile

Reg. 688 Codex P in editione Field

CHAPTER II

TRANSLATION

Matthew 13. 24-30

4808 "Allyv napabolyv napélyker autois, λέγων ωμοιώθη ή βασιλεία των ουρανων ανθρώπω σπείροντι καλον σπερμα εν Τω L'Appu autoù. En de Tu Kadeudein Tous ανθρώπους, ηλθεν αυτου ο έχθρος, και C ÉTREIPE FIFAVLA AVA DETOV TOU TITOU, KAL ann lev. OTE de ÉBLAGTHOEN Ó XOPTOS, Kai Kaptov EttoinsE, TOTE Epavy Kai Ta GILAVIA. TPOOENDONTES de où dounou toù 480 C2 " Kai Vet ku: om. Field

οικοδεσπότου, είπον αυτώ. κύριε, ουχί καλον C5 onépha éstillas en tin a appin sou"; noder ouv Éxel Gibavia; O de épon autois extepos ένθρωπος τουτο έποίησεν. Οί δε δούλοι ELHOV AUTW DELEIS OUV AMEDIONTES OUNTÉ-EONEN AUTA; O de Épy, où MATOTE OUDLÉportes tà bibaria, Expibilion TE aua autois Tor oiror. Apete our ouraufaveobal auφότερα μέχρι του θερισμού, Γκαί έν καιρώ του θερισμού έρω τοis δερισταίς · συλλέξα-TE TIPUTON TA GIGANIA MAI ANGATE AUTA 480C4 " appir oov: où appir H; om. ov K ku C7 ruddé Fous v: ouddé Éwus la man. & Lu DI autois: add. HX Kai dem. our H bu D2 MÉXPL A To du : à XPL Field D2 Kai EN Maipir K.T. A. I arm Morg: om. Field

Eis déspus noos to Katakavsal autá, Tov de sitor surapápete eis tyr anolykyr nov.

The Homily

Ti to usoov tautys kai this noo tautys παραβολής; Εκεί τους μηδέ όλως προσ-D5 εσχηκότας αυτω αησίν, άλλ αποπηδήσαν-TAS KAL TON OMOPON MPOEMÉNOUS. EN TADBA de Two aipetikur deget ta ovothudta. Va jag unde Touto Tous palntas lopu-Byon, Kai Touto Apolegel, NETA TO didatal diati év Mapafodais dansi. Exeivy Nev 480 D4 autiv: outen du D7 dopubyon du N: dopubin Field El dadei: Ladeis bu

our n Mapa Bonn proir ôte our édé Éarto. auty de, ôti kai propers édéfanto. Kai pap KAL TOUTO THS TOU DIA BOLOU ME BODE IAS, TH άλη θεία άξὶ παρεισαγειν την πλάνην, TOALA ETIXPWVVVVTA PAUTĄ TA OUOIWUATA WOTE EURONWS KNEWAL TOUS EUE ENTAT TOUS. Δία τοῦτο ούκ άλλο τι σπερμα, άλλα βίβανια 481A KAZEL, O KATA THV OUIV ÉOIKÉ MWS TWY oitw. Eila déget rai tou tpo nou tis ént-Boul ns. Er jap Tu Kabendein Tous andpuiπους, φησίν. Ού μικρον τοίς άρχουσιν έν-480E2 qbopéas: plopéa du E3 om. rai du E4° m. dei du naperodgerv: naperodger du Énixpur vuvta: ETILXPWVVUVTOS AM: ÉTILXEIPWVVUVTY LU Estauty Ta openwhata: autys openwhata du 48/A/ TW: TO BU

TEU DEV ÉTIKPEHVA TOV KÍVDUVOV, TOIS MALIOTA AS this apoupas the punakny EUNENIOTEUNEVOIS. où tois appoure de povor, adda rai tois apxonévois. DEINVUOL de Mai the madavge META THY ANDEIDY OUTAV. OTTEP MAI À TOUV RPAPHATUV ÉKBAOIS MAPTUPEI. Kai pap META Tous Apophtas, oi WEUDOAPOPATAL. Kai META Tous anostohous, oi y sudanootohow Kai ΑΙΟ μετά του Χριστου, ὁ ἀντίχριστος. Αν γάρ un idn ti munontal é diaponos, n' τίσιν επιβουλεύση, ού γε το μέρος επί XEIDE OUTÉ OIDE TI MPAFI, à dad Tois givo-3 NEVOIS ÉTIBOUNEUEL. Kai vur Toivur, ETTELDY

48/A4 ÉNIKPENVA: ÉNIKPENÀ À Mor ENIKPENVÀV Lu All où ye tò ... ENIBOUNEVEL: OÙTE ÉNIXEIPET OÙTE OÏDE Rield

oider, ôte o per enoigo er éxator, o de ÉÉNKONTA, Ó DE TPIÁKONTA, ÁNANN ÉPXETAL λοιπον οδόν. Επει γαρ αρπάσαι ούκ nduvnon to piewoev, oudé anonvitar, oudé KATAKADOAL, di ÉTEPAS Y MATYS ÉTIBOUREUEL, B5 napenballur tà éautou. Kai té dia pépouou of Madeudortes, ynoi, Two the odor μιμουμένων; Ότι έκει ευθέως ήρπασεν. oidé pap piewenval appker Ertaûla dé udelovos édenon tis unxavis. Taûta de léger à Xpirtos, Mardénur

48/81 ° oider & du: εider Field B2 λοιπον: port

άλλην du 'Επεί: ἐπεισή du B3 ΄ ρίζωθεν: ρίζωΘέντα du Β4 ΄ παρεμβάλλων: παρεμβάλων du B5

† τὰ ἐμυτοῦ λί: τὰ παρ' ἐμυτοῦ Field: τὰ ἑμυτοῦ
πονηρὰ σπέρματα du Β1 μη χανης: μηχανίας du

nuas dia navros égpnyopéval. Kav jap EKEÍ-BID vas diagogns Tas Brabas, proiv, Este Kai ÉTEPA βλάβη. Ποπερ γαρ έκει δια της όδου και THS HETPAS KAI TWV ZKAV DWV, OUTW KAL EV-THUBY SIX TOÙ UTIVOU À ATTUNETY JIVETAL. WOTE dinverous pudarins des. Dio Hai Édeyen o de uno privas eis télos, outos oubjoetal. Τοιουτόν τι γέγονε και παρά την άρχην. Toddoi pour Tour προεστώτων πονηρούς είσ-C5 aportes audras en Tais EKKANFIAIS, aipertάρχας ὑποκρυπτομένους πολλήν ευκολίαν τή TOIAUTM Mapéryor ÉMBOUAD. OUSE Jap MOVENT 48189 Kar pap EREIVAS: Av EREIVAS du Cl pularigs: pularas du C3 pouv: ou du C5 únoκρυπτομένους Qu cod. Montf. : κρυπτομένους Field C6 HOVWY: HOVOU LU

dei tim diapohu hoinor, otar Exervous eis μέσον φυτεύση. Και πως δυνατον μη καθεύδειν, ρησί. Τον μεν σων ρυσικόν ύπνον, ου duvator Tou de Tôs supodipéreus, duvator. Did D Kai Maudos Édegé ppypopéITE, TTYKETE EN IM MISTEL. EITA DEINVUOL NAI MEPITTON TO πράγμα, ούχι βλαβερον μόνον. Μετά γάρ Το NEW DYN Digval The Reportar, Kai un Xpeiar EIVAL MYDEVOS, TOTE ENIGHEIPEL OUTOS · KADAMEP DE Rai of aipetimois noiouviv. Di ouder pap ÉTEPON À dia REVODOFIAN EMBALLOURI TON EAUTON ion. [Kil nappoùtal Er autois to anostanikon 481C8 ouv: om. bu DI REPITTOV: JE EPITTOV bu
D4 aipetinoù: Epetinoù bu Di' où dev jaj de bu: Oi di ouder Field D5 Kai Adapoutai év и́отоі́s к.т.л. ви: omm. Field et rell.

HÓYION TO LEYON, DÉHONTES EINAL POPOI EMWpardyour.] Our Enteuden de movor, and Rai ex TWV META TAUTA META AKPIBEÍAS AÚτων υπογράρει την σκηνην άπασαν. "Ότε Map EBRATTHOE, phoir, o xoptos, nai Kapnor ENDINGE, TOTE ÉPAVY Mai Tà CICAVIA. DIO ÉTIEP KAL OUTOL MOIOUTL. Mapa vier jap Thy apyny ovoria fourir éautois. Entidar de Ε πολλήν λαβαυσι την παρρησίαν, και λόγου TIS aUTOIS METADIO, TOTE TOV PON ENXÉOUTL. Tivos de ÉVENEV EIFAJEI Jous doudous LEYOVTAS TO GEYEVYUEVOV; "IVA EÏNY ÖTL OU dei tavaipeir autous. Exopor de audomnor 48/D] "OTE: O Lu D9 OUTON: aUTON Lu DIO ETEIdar de : Enei de éar les El dassur: lasours les

17 m. bu E3 favaipeiv : avepeir du

autor Tor Eatavar Marei, dia Triv eis aubownous Blabyv ajuvilestal autov. H nev gap Ennpela Kab' nuwr n de apxn Tis Empelas our ano Très els muas, and ano 482A Ins eis deor Éxopas eréveto. Ober dindor ôte paddor é deès nuas pides n' nueis ÉNUTOUS. OPU dE MAI ÉTÉPUDEU TOU DIABÓNOU την πακουργίαν. Ου γαρ προ Τούτου έσπειρεν ÉTEIDY UNDEN EIXEN ATTONÉGAL AND ÔTE ÎN AS GHAVIA MEHANPWHEVA, IVA TÀ OHOUDÀ LUMM-NATAL TOU JEWPYOÙ. OUTW APOS ÉKEÎVON ÉX-Opwows EXWV HAVTA ÉNDIEL. ZHOMEL DE MAI TWO DIRETUR THE PILOSTOPPIAN. Kai pap ETTEI-481E4 om. Tov Zatavav Field E5 om. agwvi-GEFBAL AUTON Field 482A5 THE GROUDH: THE ONOV-Igu bubép As Extendus : Extepos du

portal hon Ta fifavla avactatore, El Mai un διεσπεμμένως ποιούσιν. όπερ δείπνυσι την ύπερ του σπόρου μεριμναν αυτών, και προς All év povor BLEHOVTAS, OUX ONWS EKEIVOS du dingv, and wore To ratably berty un atto-LES Dat où papt di Touto ETTLE TO KATENEÏβ γον. Διόπερ όπως το νότημα τέως εξέλωσι, σκοπούσι. Καὶ ενδέ Τούτο άπλως Entourive ou jap éautois Encipénouriv, αλλά του δεσπότου την γνώμην αναμενουσι 482A7 DIEGRENNÉVUS MOIOÙ FIN : DIEGRENANTO MOIησαι du A8 του σπόρου: Τούτου du A10 énus: ws du ÉKEÎVOS dw: EKEÎVO dwoovor du om. Tà lu m. jap lu All Esti add proi lu B2 Téws Efélwoi: Efélwoi Téws &u: Efélwoi Toûto

λέγοντες · θέλεις; Τι οῦν ὁ δεσπότης; Κωλύει λέγων · ἄφετε αὐτα έως τοῦ θερισμου ·
ει λέγων · ἄφετε αὐτα έως τοῦ θερισμου ·
ει μήποτε ἐπριβώσητε ἄμα αὐτοῖς τον σῖτον.
Τοῦτο δὲ ἔλεγε, κωλύων πολεμους γίνεσθαι
καὶ αἵματα καὶ σραγάς. Οῦ γὰρ δεῖ ἀναιρεῖν
αἰρετικόν · ἐπεὶ πόλεμος ἄσπονδος ἐμελλεν
εἰς τὴν οἰκουμένην εἰσάγεσθαι. Δύο τοίνυν

48284 om. Épete auta éus toù deplopoù Field

85 nodépous. piverbac Kai aipata Kai opagás:

πολέμους εκ τούτου piverbac προς εμυτούς

Αντιτασσομένοις και σραγάς Και αίματων εκχύσεις και διωσμοί διμ Βλ πόλεμος ασπον
δος: add. και συζητήσεις βλάσρημοι διμ έμελ
λεν: έμελλον διμ έμελλεν ροστ οἰκουμένην Montf.

TOÚTOIS AUTOUS KATÉXEL TOIS LOYIQUOIS ÉVÈ Mèr TW MÀ TON TÎTON BÀABAVAL ETÉPM DE BIO TW KATAL MYES DAL THE HOLATIV MÁNTAS AUTOUS C'aviatus vosouvtas. Dete ei poudec, Mai No-Las Byvac autous, Kai xwpis The Tou vitou βλάβης, ανάμεινον τον προσήκοντα καιρόν. Tí dé éste, un empléwonte àux autois TON FÎTON; "H TOÛTÓ PHOIN, ŐTL EÏ 48288 TOUTOIS LUTOUS: TOUTOUS LUTOUS DU B8 KAT-EXEL: MATATXEI DU B9 TW: TO DU B10 navtas: MANTUS Field BIO autous add. Mapa Tou des no Tou Lu BIO àviatus : aviata du BIO vocouvias : add. Rai Rai nuas Endinous Tou DEOù gevér dan autur μη υπακουόντων ημών αλλά τω δεσπότη την TIEPE TOUTUN L'ESTAI RPIOIN LA CO KAIPON: add. artanodóreus ku

MENDOITE MIVEIN ONDA MAI MATAGRÁTTEIN TOUS CS aipetimous avaying norhous nai tur agiur supparabanderbac n'éti à n'autur tur pipavian moddous einos netabadés das nai peresbas virov. Av Toivur προλαβόντες dutous επριβωσητε, Lupaiver de Tim pédaloute giver de vitu ous ENXWEET METABALÉO BAL MAI JEVET DAS BEATIOUS CIO avaipourtes. Où roivur Matéxeir aus petikoùs και επιστομίβειν και ξεγκόπτειν σύτων την 482C4 uéddoite: uéddete lu C5 ougrata Báddeobal: add. TÑ TŴV ÉPETIKŴV ÉNIBOUNÃ LU CO VETABANÉTBAI. petafándesbal ku: add. dia tins quiv petonos Kai didag Kadias y TOL KADYS à VACTPOPYS Lu C9 META-Baléobal: petabanterdal du C9 àvaipouvtes: add. προ της του θεου κρίσεως du CIO EPHONTSIV: EMMONTEIN Field

Mappholav, Kai Tas ouvodous Kai Tas onovous dia-D LUEIN KWHUEL, ALL' AVAIPEIN MAI KATATPATTEIN. ÉÙ JÈ AUTOÙ GHÓTTEL THY MUEPÓTHTA, TIÙS OUK i no pairetal povor, oudé nuduél, india doplopous TIONOL. Ti our av néxpe Téhous pérm Tà bibar-DS 1d; TOTE ÉPR TOIS DEPIOTAIS, OUNTÉGATE MPRITON TÀ CIGAVLA KAI SHOATE QUTA SEQUES TIPOS TO KATA-Kaudal auta. Maniv avapiponer autous Two IWANNOU EN MATUN TIUN KPITYN AUTON EISAFON-482011 « novdas : onovdas du DI MATATATITEIN: Add. OÙ KEREUEI dia The METAVOIAN DU DA aUTOU: port O KOTIEL du DS deopas : eis deopas du D6 Mahiv: add. 6 vios Lu Diténuatur: Lo que Lu Tur restin autorfield A Monty. : TWO OUTON KPITYN BU: TON KPITYN OUTWN 1/4 pr. b: Two Kpithe autwo coll. & "Eisa portue sisayagovtwv ku

TWV, Kai gnow, OTI EWS HEV ESTYKATIV ETTUS E TOU OITOU priderbal xph . Exxupri pap autous ndi vîtov pevéobai. Étav de un dev Kepdávav-TES anélowor, TOTE autous avay Kains n anapaityTos diade Estar ding. Epi jap Tois depirtais, proi, ouddétate aportor la bilavea. ES AINTÍ APRITON; "IN MY pobybroir outou, ws c ouvariajouévou qua autois tou oitou. Kai distate autà leonas wore natakavoal autà. Tou de oitor ouragagete eis The anodykyv. 483Α "Αλλην παραβολήν παρέθημεν αυτοίς λέγων. Spoid Estiv & Basideia Tav oupavar Kokku 482E2 REPÉLVANTES: KEPÉLÍVONTES du E3 diadéle-Tal: diadéxetal du E5 ouvatagouévou: ouvagouévou du E5 and om. du Eb vorà : add. Eis du two TE:

TIVÁTIEUS. ETEIDA JAJ EÎTIEN OTE ATO TOU THÓpou Tpia pépy anondutal, rai ou getal év, rai EN LUTIO MÁLIN TU SUGONENU TOTAUTA PÍNETAL AS plasn, iva un léguoi Tives kai novoi évov-Tal of MITTOL; Kai TOUTON ÉÉAIPET TON GOBON, dia TAS MapabolAS TOU SIVAMENS EVAJOUV EIS HIG-TIV aUTOUS, Kai dEINVUS OTI HAVTUS ENTABATE-Tal To KAPUTUA. DIÀ TOUTO TOU LAXAVOU TÀV EINÓVA EIS MEGOV A MAYE SPÓDPA EULKUÍAV TÝ β υποθέσει · ο μικρότερον μεν, φησίν, εστί MANTEN TEN O ME PHATEN. OTAN DE AUENDA, MEI CON Tur dayavur ésti kai firetai dévopor, wête ENDEIV TO METELVA TOU OU PAVOU KAL MATAGKYvouv er rois Khadois autoù. Toù pap negeboùs 483 AS Déquoi : add. Mai Rield A8 Aid TOUTO: Aid TO ku B3 MAC: om. ku NIS TOWN

βς το τεκμήριον ενδείξασθαι ήβουλήθη. Ουτω δή καὶ επὶ τοῦ κηρύγματος εσται, φησί. Καὶ γὰρ άπάντων ασθενέστεροι ήσαν οι μαθηταὶ, καὶ πάντων ελάττους αλλ όμως, επειδή μεγάλη ἢν ἡ ἐν αὐτοῖς δύναμις, ἐξηπλώθη πανταχοῦ τῆς οἰκουμένης.

Eita rai the GUNNY Tauty RPOSTIBNOC TH BID EINÓVE, LETWY O DOIN ETTIV & BASINEIS TWO ουρανών ζυμη, ήν λαβουσα γυνη ενέκρυψεν Eis an Eupou vata Tpia, Ews ou è lou un Show. Kabanep jap auty To noto Éteupor μεθίστησιν είς την έαυτης ίσχυν, ούτω καί UNEIS FOR MANTA KOODON DETACTMOETE. Kai opa 48386 à s de véotepou pont goar bu B? En eidy: ETEL du BIO EVERPUYEV: Expuyer Field BII pap AUTY: h EUNT MIKPA OUTA Su

suveriv. Tà jap très pureus napapel, deinvis ött wettep EKEIVA EVERYWPATOV UN JE-(5 véo bac, oute mui Tauta . Min gap noi Touto dégé ti dungous du dessa avopunou sis nàmos EMMEDONTES TODOUTON; Kai pap Touto duto madiota upur noiei the ioxur Exdampal, το εναμισήναι τω πλήθει και μη φυγείν. Soπερ our και ή bung τοτε τό gupana bunoi, OTAV ENTUS NEVATAL TOU ENEUPOU, MAI OUX ÉTILUS 48363 riveriv: add Tou dernotou ouverifouta Tous Éautoù Mabatas eis noddny mpobuliav éva pur autous lu Tà: Mapa lu C4 à vegxwpntov: advivator Lu : avegyeipy toude Tauta: add. navrus gengbý-GOVTAL LU CS TOUTO LEGE: LEGE OT la C6 TO TO TOTOV: TO TOVOÚ TWV BU CZ TO: TÚ BU

CO εκγύς, αλλ' ούτως ώστε και μισηναι ού φαρ είπεν) έθηκεν άπλως, αλλ' έκρυψεν · ούτω και ύμεις, όταν πολληθήτε και ένωθητε τοις πολεμούσιν ύμιν, τότε αὐτῶν περίεσεσε. Και καθάπερ έκεί νη καταχώννυται μεν, οὐκ ἀρανίζεται δε, ἀλλα κατά μικρον πρὸς την εαυτής εξιν τάπαντα μεταποιεί · τον αὐτον δή τρόπον και

483C10 où pap einev: diati de oun einev as da

Pl Expuye: add. Wonep o doyos caphwbeis édou

To pupapa toù andownou é bupwoen dia toù nupos

This beointos autoù puynn te kai owna kai to

nveupa du oùtw: autoù du D3 kataxwinutai:

Kataxonevetal du D4 é fin: é fein du anara:

Manta du quetanoiec : add. oùtws kai o toù Deoù

dogos en th koidig this napbénou the éautoù

vaphwoin die ndatten du dh: om. Field

)5 επί του κηρύγματος συμβήσεται. Μή τοίνυν, ETE dy nomas einov eival Tas Enpeias, popy bûte : Kai jap kai outwe exhauyete, Kai andVIWV REPIÉOEOBE. Toja de cata év-Tauda Ta modda eignnev. oide pap Tov αριθμον τουτον έπι πλήθους λαμβάνειν. Μή DIO Daupaons dé, ét rept faoidélas, diaderopevos κόκκου και ξύμης ξεμνήσθη το ανθρώποις γάρ diedégéto anxipois kai idiutais kai despévois and TouTwo évapeabal. OUTW jap nouv apeneis, WS KAL META TAUTA MÁNTA DE PÔNVAL ÉPANVEIAS 483 DS KAPÝG HATOS: add. TOŪTO Field add. TOÚTOU EP DE DI anaviwv: Hartur bu DE Eignker: add. Tou Har-TOS (WOD KATATKEUNV DU OTTE: OTDA MEN DU TOÙ-TOV: add. Kai bu DIO Eprépely: Epryporsurer bu

nodnýs.

Mou Toivur Endývur naidés eioc; Mardar-ÉTENSAN TOU XPISTOU THE DUVALIN, OPENTES TWO THATHUN THE ANDEIN . KAL EHATEPW-ES DEV LUTON APOOKUVEITWOON, OTL KAL APOEITE ripaqua Toroutor, Kai Endypure. Kai pap autos ETTIV é TRY duvayir Erbeis TR GUNA 484A Dia TOUTO Rai avenife To TAMBEL TOUS autin MICTEUOVIAS, IVA METADINMEN TOIS ANDOIS TIS MUETEPAS surEvews. Mydeis roivur odipotyta actique w. Hoding pap tou kypuyuatos ή duva μις · και το bunwer aπαξ, ζύμη φί-183 E2 TOÍVUV: VŨV LU E3 HAZDEÍAV: DÚVAJUV GU Et autov... TOSOUTOV: aUTOV APAGNATOS O TOUTOV péga du E5 Én Appure: add. à navra du 484 Al METADWHEV: META DIDWHEV BU

No VETAL TW LOITE MALIV. Kai MadaTIEP O GTIVληρ όταν επιλάβηται ξύλων, Τα ήδη κατακαυθέν-TA HOINGUS THE PLOYOS TIPOODNIKHV, OUTW TOIS alhois ENEIOIV OUTWON KAL TO KAPUNKA. 'ALL' OUR EINE HOP, ALLA GUMAV. TÉ SANOTE; Ότι ου του πυρός εστιν έκει το όλον, AND HOL TON AVANTOHEVEN EUNEN. EV-TRUBA de TO OLOV n buyn EPTABETAL FEI de Arbounoi dudend tour oinounérque

484 A4 TW LOITW: add. KOTHW BU A6 phoros:

phoras bu A7 TO KNPVYHA: TO THS EUGEBEÍAS

TOU XPICTOU KNPVYHA bu A8 on. EKEL BU.

A10 É CÚMH anto TO ÓLOV bu All EL JE

ÄVDPW HOI SWÉEKA: OÍ JE ÄVDPWHOL OÍ SWÉKKA BU

απασαν εξύμωσαν, εννόησον έση ημών η B KAKIA, STAV TOPOUTOL SVIES TOUS UNO DEIMOUEVous jug dury bai jev diop louv, ous jupiois Korμοις άρπειν έχρην και γενέσθαι βύμην. 'Add' Exervoi, pyriv, anortolou Frav. Kai ti touto; Duxi tur autur von petécyor; our ér nolevir ETPAPNOUV; OU TWV QUTWV ZHELOVOUV; OUXL TEXvas petexelpicanto; un pap appenol nouv; μη γαρ έξ ουρανού κατέβησαν; Άλλα Τα σημεία, gnoiv, Eixov. Du ta on usid baugactous autous εποίησε. Μέχρι πότε προκαλύμμασι κεχρή-484 A 12 E GU NWOUN: add. dia Tis TOU X PIOTOU GUAYS Lu B2 apreir expnv kai gererbat Counviexpnv apxeir geréobal du B3 petérgor: add. protypiwv: ouxi opolos guw Boar B5 METEXEIPITANTO: METE XEIPMEAN BU BT aUTOUS port énoince lu

MEGA TÀS MUETEPAS PADUNIAS TOIS BAUMAOIN EKELVOIS. ["Ide Tor xopor TOV & FLOW OU TOIS C Bavyaor ÉKEÍVOIS LÁ MYAVTA]. TOULO JAP Kai daipovas Expandovtes, Energy Tav avo-MIAN EIPTHOANTO, OUN ÉMÉNONTO CANMAGTOI, adda Kai ÉKODAO DY OUV. Kai TÍ HOT' OÙV ÉTTI, PHTIV, & MEYALOUS AUTOUS ÉDEILE; To xpn uátur Katappoveir, to do Ens unepoper CS TO THAY MATUN ANY LAX BAL BIWTIKWN. SIS Eige un Tauta El you, à Mid dounoi Tur Ma-Our noav, et kai jupious verspous ny Elpar 484 B9 "Ide Tov xopov K.T. A. Lav. Mon. Field: omm. coold. Cl'expandontes la let : Expanontes Field (3 TÉ not' où : TÉ TOUTO du C5 TO TIPATHÁTUV ATIPADÁX PAL BIWTIKUV: TO TIÁVTUV ATTALAX DAL TWO BIWTITUDE BU

où povor ouder av weenyour, and Kai anaTEuves av évoyioby var sivar. Ou rus 6 pios ESTIV & MANTAXOÙ LAHAWY, O KAL TOÙ MVEU-CIO MATOS TAV XXPIV ÉTIGTI WHEVOS. TOPOV OTHETor ludvys Enoinser, ôte nodeis Torquitas D'avapty sato; Oti jup oudév é baupa Toupy grev, L'HOUGOV TOU EULTY ENOTOÙ LE YOUTOS : OTC ludevens nev énoince en neior oudér. Mober de daupactos Haids Egéveto; Our ano tins Mappyoids this Mpos Tov Barined; OUN and DS TOU ENLOU TOU TIPOS TOV DEON; OUR and This AKTHNOSUVAS; OUR AND TAS MANUTAS MAL Tou onnation noi Two opwo; là pap on META 484 C10 " on METON: add. à BATTIOTÀS LA TOTAV-Tas Field corr. bu: TauTas la man. bu

MANTA JETÀ TRÛTA ENDINOS. TON JE luß MOION σημείον όρων ποιούντα εξεπλάγη ο διάβολος; Enperor per ouder, fior de la unorta Kai υπομονην adaμαντος στερρότερον επιδεικνύ-PIO MEVOV. ToTOV ENMETON O LAURD É MOINTEN, ÉTC vées wv, ws EINEÎV TON BEÓN ESPON DANIE Tor Tou Terrai ardpa Mata the Mapdiar pou; O de Appaau nai Toaak Kai Takwb ποῖον νεκρον ή τειραν; ποῖον λε λεπρον Ékábnpav; Dûn boirba ött ta snyeld, Edv un vnowner, rai flatter nollaris; lutus our 484 D6 Marta petà Tauta du: petà Tauta anavta Puld D9 OTEPPOTEPOV: OTEPPOTÉ par Field DIO TON DEÓN ante EINEIN bu Ed om. JE bu E3 EKÁBAJAN: EKA-Déplouve lu E3 toão da: oi das leu tom. Tà leu E4 m. our Field

ES nothoi Two Kopindiun aneoxiolyran an' alλήλων ούτω πολλοί των 'Ρωμαίων άπενοήβησαν ούτω Σίμων εξεβλήθη ούτως δ TW XPIFTE TOTE ETILOUPHOUS GROLOUPHOUS 185A a MEDOMINALETO, ANOUTAS OTI AL ANWHEKES qu'heous Exource. Toutur jup EHAUTOS, à MEV χρημάτων, ὁ δὲ δόξης εφίεμενος της ἀπὸ τῶν σημείων, εξέπιπτον και άπώλοντο. Βίου δέ A5 ÉMINEZEIA KAI ÉPETÎS TÉPUS OÙ NOVOV OÙ TIKTEL TOLAUTAN EMBULIAN, anda Mai ovodv avaipei.

484 E6 έξεβλήθη: ἐξεβάλλετο bu ΕΊ τῷ Χριστῷ:
Τοῦ Χριστοῦ bu 485 fl έχονσι: add. καὶ τὰ πετεινὰ
τοῦ οὐρανοῦ καταγκηνώσεις Field; om. No lim ba
Aλ Τούτων: τοῦτο bu A4 ἀπωλοντο b bu: ἀπώ λλυντο Field [†] ερετης: add. καὶ ἀνυποκρίτου πίστεως bu

Kai autos de ote evoyobétec tois éautou Madnis, Ti ELEGE; MOINGATE ONHEIA, iva idworn of Ludgunos; Oudajuns and tí; A apyatu to pas vuluv Eurpoolev Tuv av punuv, onus iduon Spor Ta Kala Eppa, Kai do Easwel Tov Ta-B Tépa UNION TON EN TOIS OUPAVOIS. Kai Tie MéTpu de our einer, ei pineis pe, noiei en meid anda noindive Tà npôbará nov. Kai naviazoù de au-TON MOOTINEN TWO EARLOW META TAKWBON HAI Ίωάννου, πόθεν, είπε μοι, προετίμα; ἀπὸ τῶν ση-B5 peiws; Kai pin Martes opoiws Ekabaipor Tous denpous, Kai Tous VERPOUS MEIPOU . Kai That IV OUDIWS Tyv éfousiar édure, Moder our sixor to nésor 485 AT "Hwoiv: Eidwoiv bu AT vywv part Ta Kada Lu 86 å perpor: å perpar leu 87 to Théor aute El XOV Qu

OUTOL; AND THIS HATA YUXNV APETHS. OPAS ÉTL πανταχοῦ βίου χρεία καὶ της διὰ τῶν ἔργων BIO Encleifeus; And TWO MAPHWO JAP dUTWO, Pyolo, έπιγνώσειθε αυτούς. Τι δέ την βωήν συνίστησι C την ημετέραν; Άρα σημείων επίδειξις ή πο-LITEIAS apirtys ampibela; Eudylor ôte to deu-TEPOV TÀ DÈ CHUEIA HAL TÀS APOPUÀS ENTEUBEN EXEL KAI EIS TOUTO MATHAMPEL TO TELOS. "O TE JAP BION LIPIOTON ENIGEIKVUMENOS, ÉNI-C5 statul Tautyr Tyr Xapir. O TE Laubarur Tyr

485B8 ° fiou: add. Kai niv Tews eidikpivoùs du B9

pap poot à no du Cl'énideifis: ènideifeis du

C3 év Teû Dev éxel Kai eis Toûto Katad n'yel To

Tédos dav. ben. keg. 688 du: èv Teû Dev éxel to

Tédos Kai eis Toûto Katad n'yel % la man. H Mal.

Tò Tédos om. Pield

Xapir, dià Touto Laubaver, «va tor Étépur "διορθώση βίον. Επεί και ο χριστος δια τουτο Tà PRUMATA EKELVA EMOINGEV, L'VA à ÉLOTISTOS paveis ENTEUBEN, Hai Mpos EAUTON ENMUGAS, APET NV Eis Tor Bior Eisaragn . Dio Kai Tyr HAEIOVA UTEP CIO TOUTOU MOIEÎTAL FROUDYV. OUDE MAP TOIS MUEIOIS aprietal povov, and Kai YEEVVAV ATEINET, Kai BATIATIAN ÉNGRYÉDATAL, KAL TOUS MAPADO É OUS D'ENEIVOUS TIONOL VOLOUS, KAI MAVIA UNEP TOUTOU Mpaj Matévétal, iva irappéhous épfasytal. Kai TÍ LÉTW, ÖTL O XPIOTOS MÁNTA TOÚTOU ÉVEKEN

ποιεί; ² Σοὶ ⁴ γὰρ εἰ τις ἐδωμεν, εἰπέ μοι, νέκρους ἀνα στησαι ἐν τῷ ονόματι ἀῦτου, ἡ διὰ

DS τὸ ἀνομα ἀὐτοῦ ἀποθανεῖν, τί ἀν ἐδέξω μὰλλον; Οὐκ εὐδηλον ὅτι τὸ δεύτερον; Καὶ μὴν

τὸ μεν σημεῖον ἐστι, τὸ δὲ ἐργον

485 D3 σοι: συ ἐμ D4 νέκρους: νέκρων ἐμ
νεκρὸν β ἐκε.

CHAPTER III

TRANSLATION

Matthew 13. 24-30

He proposed another parable to them, saying, "The kingdom of hea-480B ven is like a man who sows good seed in his field. But while his men 480C slept, his enemy came and sowed darnel in the midst of the wheat and went away. But when the grain sprouted up and ripened, then the darnel appeared also. But the servants of the head of the house came to him and said. "Master, did not you sow good seed in your field? Whence then has come the darnel?" And he said to them, "A hostile man has done this." The servants said to him, "Do you wish that we go out and gather 480D in the darnel?" But he said, "No, lest while gathering in the darnel, you uproot the wheat along with it. Therefore let them both alone to grow together until the harvest. And at the time of the harvest I shall say to the reapers, 'Gather together the darnel first and bind it in bundles for burning, and then collect the wheat into my barn. "

The Homily

What is the relationship between this parable and the one before it? There he speaks of those that have not devoted themselves to him

480E

at all but have turned away from him and have thrown away the seed; but here he is speaking of heretical sects. For in order that not even this may trouble the disciples, he foretells it, after he has taught them why he speaks in parables. The previous parable, then, says that they did not receive him; this one says that they have received seducers instead. For this too is part of the devil's craft, always to bring in error alongside the truth, painting on it deceptive likenesses so as to carry off with ease those who are ready to be deceived. For this reason he calls the seed darnel rather than any other seed because it looks rather like wheat.

481A

Then he also mentions the manner of the plot. "While the men slept," he says. It is no small danger which he thus suspends over the rulers, who are especially entrusted with the keeping of the field; and not merely over the rulers but also overathe ruled. He then shows also that error comes after truth, a fact which the outcome of events witnesses. For after the prophets, come the false prophets; and after the apostles, the false apostles, and after the Christ, the Antichrist. For unless the devil sees what he may imitate or whom he may plot against, nothing is in his power, nor does he know what to do; but he plots against what comes into existence. But now when he knows that one produced a hundred, and one sixty, and one thirty, he thereupon approaches another way. For since he was unable to snatch what had taken root or to choke it or to burn it up, he plots against it by another kind of deception and casts in alongside it his own seed. "Butt someone may ask, "how do those who are sleeping differ from those who

481B

are like the road?" They differ in that there the devil immediately snatched the seed, and did not allow it to take root; but here he needed more craft.

So Christ says these things, instructing us always to be watchful. For even if you escape those perils, he says there is yet another peril. For just as in the previous parable destruction came from the road and the rock and the thorns, so here destruction comes from sleep, so that a continual watchfulness is necessary. Therefore he said, "He who endures to the end will be saved." Something of this sort happened even at the beginning. Many of the superiors brought men into the churches who were concealed heresiarchs and thus made such a scheme only too easy. For the devil needs no toil thereafter, once he has planted them in our midst. "But how," one may say, "is it possible to avoid sleep?" It is indeed impossible to avoid physical sleep; but it is possible to avoid the slumber of our commitment. Therefore St. Paul says, "Be watchful, stand firm in the faith." Then he points out that this activity is not only harmful but is also superfluous. For after the land has been cultivated and there is no need of anything, then this enemy saws again, precisely as the heretics do. For they pour forth their own shafts for no other reason than their vainglory. Not from this only but also from the things that follow, he outlines with accuracy all their imposture. "For when the blade had sprung and

481 C

481D

^{1&}lt;sub>Mt</sub> 13. 24

²:1Cor 16. 13

481E

482A

brought forth fruit, then the darnel appeared also." This is just what these people do. For in the beginning they hide themselves in the shadows; but when they come to speak quite freely and someone gives them a share in preaching, then they pour out their poison.

For what purpose does he bring in the servants who report what has happened? In order that he may say that it is not necessary for them to destroy the darnel. He calls Satan himself a hostile man, because of his struggle to inflict harm on men. For although his insolent attack is against us, its source is not his enmity to us but his enmity to God. Therefore it is clear that God loves us more than we love ourselves. See then from another thing also the villainy of the devil. For he did not sow before this, since he had nothing to destroy: but when everything was completed, in order that he might mar the toil of the farmer. Thus he does everything because of his evil desposition towards him. See also the affection of the servants. For they hasten at once to root out the darnel, even if they do it indiscreetly. This shows their concern for the seed and that they look to one thing alone, not to the punishment of the enemy, but to the preservation of what has been sown. For that other is not the urgent consideration. Therefore they look to see how they may first remove the disease. And they do not seek even this absolutely. For they do not trust the matter to themselves but they await the decision of their master and ask, "Do you want us to?" And what does he answer? He forbids them and says, "Leave them until the harvest, lest you root up the wheat with them."

482B

He said this to prevent wars and bloodshed and slaughter from occurring. For it is not proper to kill a heretic since an implacable war would then be brought into the world. He restrains them, then, with these two considerations: first, that harm to the wheat is to be avoided; second, that punishment will overtake all those who are incurably diseased. So, if you desire them to be punished, yet without damage to the wheat, wait for the suitable occasion.

What does this mean, "Lest you root up the wheat with them?"
Either he is saying that if you are about to take arms and to slaughter
the heretics, many of the saints would necessarily be overthrown with
them, or that many from among the darnel itself are likely to be
whanged and to become wheat. Now if you root them up beforehand, you
ruin what was to become wheat by destroying those who could have been
changed and made better. He does not then forbid our restraining
heretics and muzzling them and cutting off their freedom of speech and
dispersing their meetings and leagues, but only our killing and slaughtering them. But be careful to notice his gentleness, in that he does
not merely give sentence or forbid, but he also gives reasons.

What then, if the darnel remains to the end? "Then I will say to the reapers, 'Gather together the darnel first and bind it in bundles for burning.'" He again reminds them of John's words which introduced him as judge, and he says, "So long as they stand beside the wheat, we must spare them, for it is possible for them to become wheat also; but

785E

482C

L82D

^{3&}lt;sub>Mt</sub> 3. 12

when they have departed after having gained no profit, then must inexorable punishment overtake them." He says, "For, I will say to the
reapers, 'Gather together the darnel first,'" Why first? "That these
others may not be alarmed by the fear that the wheat be carried off
along with them. And bind them into bundles, so as to burn them; but
gather the wheat into my barn."

483A

483B

"He proposed another parable to them, saying, 'The kingdom of heaven is like to a grain of mustard seed. ** For since he had said that three parts of the seed are lost and one saved, and that even in the saved part there occurs such damage, in order to prevent their asking who the faithful will be and how numerous they will be, he removes this fear also, leading them on to faith by means of the parable of the mustard seed and showing that in any event the Gospel will be spread abroad. For this reason he introduced into the midst of the discussion the image of this plant, which closely resembles the subject at hand. "It is smaller," he says, "than all other seeds; but when it has grown, it is greater than the plants and becomes a tree, so that the birds of the air come and nest in its branches." For he wanted to point out a sign of its greatness. "So also it will be in the case of the Gospel," he says. For indeed his disciples were weaker than all and less than all: but nevertheless, because the power within them was great, the Gospel has been unfolded in every part of the world.

And then he adds the leaven to this image and says, "The kingdom of heaven is like leaven, which a woman took and hid in three measures of flour, until the whole was leavened." For just as the leaven

483C

changes the large quantity of flour to its own quality, so also you will convert the entire world. And see the intelligence of this step. For he brings in the things of nature, showing that just as it is impossible for them not to take place, so also it is impossible for these things not to take place. Do not then say this to me: "What shall we be able to do, twelve men falling in with so great a multitude?" For it is precisely this very thing that causes your strength to shine forth, namely mingling with the multitude and not fleeing. Therefore just as the leaven leavens the dough when it is brought next to the flour, and not merely next to it but in such a way as to be mixed in with it (for he did not say "put" merely, but "hid"), so also you, when you have been joined and united with those who war against you, will then have an advantage over them. And just as the leaven is hidden, yet is not obliterated and gradually transmutes the rest to its own condition, the case will be the same with regard to the Gospel. Do not be afraid now because I have said that the insolent attacks are many; for indeed in this way will you shine forth and overcome all. And in speaking of three measures, he meant a multitude: for he is accustomed to use this number tomindicate a multitude.

483E

483D

Do not marvel, then, if in speaking of the kingdom, he mentioned seed and leaven, for he was speaking to inexperienced and unskilled men and to those who needed to be led on by these means. For they were so simple that even after all this, they stood in need of much explanation.

Where now are the children of the Greeks? Let them learn the power of Christ when they see the truth of the events. And for two

484A

reasons let them adore him: both because he foretold so great a thing and because he fulfilled it. For it is he who put power in the leaven. For this reason he also mixed those who believe in him with the multitude, that we may share our knowledge with others. Let no one then find fault with our small numbers. For great is the power of the Gospel, and what has once been leavened becomes in turn leaven for the rest. And just as a spark, whenever it seizes on wood, causes the parts already kindled to swell the flame and thus attacks the rest, so too the Gospel works in this same way. But he did not speak of fire but of leaven. Why did he do this? Because in that case the whole does not come from the fire but also from the wood that has been kindled; but in this case the leaven effects the whole by itself.

484B

But if twelve men leavened the whole world, think how great our wickedness is in that, though we are so numerous, we are not able to set right those who remain, when we should be sufficient to be leaven for ten thousand worlds. "But they," someone may say, "were apostles." And what does this mean? Did not they share the same things as you? Were they not reared in cities? Did they not enjoy the same things? Did they not practise trades? For they were not angels, were they? They did not come down from heaven, did they? "But," he says, "they had signs." The sighes did not make them admirable. How long shall we use those wondrous deeds as cloaks for our negligence? [Observe that the choir of the saints did not shine with such miracles.] For many who even cash out devils, since they had done evil, did not become

484C

admirable, but rather were punished. Now what then, one may say,

showed them to be great? Their contempt for wealth, their looking down on glory, their being free from worldly affairs. Since, if they did not have these qualities but were slaves of their passions, even if they raised ten thousand dead, not only would they not have done any good, but they would have been considered to be deceivers. Thus it is their life, so resplendent on all sides, which attracts the grace of the Spirit.

What sort of sign did John perform that he attached to himself so

484D

many cities? In proof that he was no wonder worker, hear the evangelist, who says, "John performed no sign." For what was Elias admirable? Was it not from his bold speech to the king? Was it not from his zeal for God? Was it not from his poverty? Was it not from his sheepskin, his cave and his mountains? For he performed all his signs after these things. And as for Job, what sort of sign did he perform that the devil was astounded on seeing it? No sign but a life which shone and which displayed an endurance firmer than adament. What sort of sign did David perform, while he was still young, so that God said, "I have found David, the son of Jesse, a man after my own heart."5 What dead body did Abraham and Isaac and Jacob raise? What leper did they cleanse? Do you not know that, unless we are sober and restrained, signs are frequently harmful. Thus many of the Corinthians were severed from each other. Thus many of the Romans lost their senses. Thus Simon was cast out. Thus the man who desired to follow Christ was rejected as unfit after he heard, "The foxes have their holes." For

485A

484E

⁴Jn 10. 41

5Acts 13, 22

⁶Mt 8. 20

each of these men fell away and perished, the one aiming at the wealth and the other at the glory that came from signs. Care for one's life and love of virtue not merely do not give birth to such a desire but even destroy it when it exsits.

485B

485C

And when Christ was making laws for his disciples, what did he say? "Perform signs that men may see them?" Not at all. But what did he say? "Let your light shine before men that they may see your good works and that they may glorify your father who is in heaven." And he did not say to Peter, "If you love me, perform signs," but "Feed my sheep." And since he everywhere honors him with dames and John above the others, for what reason, I ask, did he do so? For their signs? But all alike cleansed the levers and raised the dead, for he gave this power to all alike. For what reason then did these have the advantage? Because of the virtue of their souls. Do you see that everywhere there is need of a good manner of living and of the proof from works? "For by their fruits," he says, "you shall know them." What then commends our life? Is it a display of signs or is it the perfection of a good way of life? It is quite evident that it is the second. The signs have both their origin in the way of life and have their end in it. For he that displays an excellent manner of life, draws to himself this grace, and he that takes this grace takes it for the purpose of rectifying the manner of life of others. For this reason did Christ work his signs, in order that, having appeared to be worthy of belief and having drawn men to

7_{Mt 5. 16}

⁸Jn 21. 16

himself, he might bring virtue into our manner of life. Therefore also he puts the greater emphasis on the manner of life. For he is not satisfied with signs alone, but he also threatens hell and promises the kingdom and lays down those startling laws and undertakes everything for his object, that he may make us equal to the angels. Now why do I say that Christ does everything for this reason? For, tell me, if someone gave you the power to raise dead men in his name or to die for his name, which would you rather choose? Is it not evident that you would choose the latter. But the former is a sign, the latter a good deed.

485D

CHAPTER IV

COMMENTARY

480D. Both in its readings in this pericope and in its continuation of the pericope to the end of v. 30, Gu is in agreement with H. It does not seem possible to determine clearly whether or not the pericope originally included all of v. 30; but Gu does provide valuable confirmation for the extended version, which is also found in H, in one of the Moscow codices cited by Matthei, and in the Armenian version. The variants found in this pericope are of no substantive significance and can only be judged in the light of one's general estimate of the value of the manuscripts that give them.

480D4. $dV T \hat{\omega}$ could easily have been corrupted to $d\hat{U} T \hat{\omega}$ by a scribe who read the word with $g \eta T / V$.

480EL. Ad AEIS occurs perhaps because of a reminiscence of Mt 13. 10, where the second person is used.

480E2. POPEN fits better with the reference to 700 NBOLOU in the following sentence and with the use of the singular ($\delta \in \text{XOPOS}$) in the parable. It may, however, have been inserted for precisely those reasons.

480E4. EMIXEIPWVVVVId is a blunder, but it does confirm the accusative here and thus G against H and K.

480E5. auTh seems preferable to duThs, given the word's posi-

481All. The reading found in Guelferbytanus seems preferable, despite its vague and involved style. It is much more likely to have been simplified to the reading given by Field than to have been inserted.

481B4. Ta EduTou is the proper reading. It is found in K and is supported by the occurence of Ta EduTou in Gu; nound of TEPUATA in Gu is probably a gloss.

48101. Quad Kns gives much the better sense.

is in its natural position and should be read with Gu.

481D5. Here is the first case of a substantial addition to the textus

receptus in Gu. In this case, the addition, which is the nature of a parenthetical remark, fits in well enough with its surroundings. However, this remark is best looked on as a gloss which has crept into the text; otherwise, if it is accepted into the text, its absence in other manuscripts is hard to explain.

demonstrative is needed here after the interruption in the train of thought caused by the quotation from the Scriptural text.

why a scribe would insert dywrifeld at autov. Explicit reference to Satan brings out the significance and the paradox of calling him Exploration of white significance and the paradox of calling him Exploration. The use of a pwiles of in the orators may suggest the traditional image of Satan as accuser (Lysias 98.14, Demosthenes 653.26). However, either text is satisfactory. The interpolation, if any, was well done.

482A5. The dative has good manuscript support and is more likely to be corrupted than the accusative.

482B4. The first part of the quotation from Mt 13.29-30 as given in Gu was probably dropped because it was not in accordance with the text of Matthew found at the beginning of the homily.

482B5. The reading in Guelferbytanus seems to be no more than an awkward expansion of the original text. The final diwout (sic)destroys whatever sense it had.

48287. Hai our Inthosis Blads 1,001 is another example of Gu's tnedency to amplify the text. To Enthols is not a word appropriate to the violence which alone is directly foribidden in this passage.

482E10. Mark Tou description is a misplaced gloss, which should precede Martas autous if it comes anywhere. Martas autous, given by Gu, is preferable to Martas autous, which probably come in because of confusion with autous. The passage in brackets is an interpolation found only in Gu. Introduction of any further reasons for tolerating the darnel expressly contradicts the author's statement that there are two such reasons. The insertion appears to have been made by an editor anxious to vindicate Chrysostom's position against any charges of softness on heretics.

482C2. The aviation of the this text whose editor was anxious to specify vague or general references.

See 482C5, C6, C9, D1, 483B11, 483C3, 483D8, 485A7, and 484A12.

482C3-D1. Chrysostom's attitude on repression of heretics seems severe and incorrect to us today; however, it is important to remember that Chrysos-tom and his contemporaries had grown up in a world in which political coercion

of religious dissidents was accepted as a matter of course. Chrysostom's comments here are perhaps a defense of the repressive legislation imposed by the Emperor Theodosius, whose religious policy has been thus summarized by Philip Hughes:

From the beginning Theodosius was definite. The long domination of the little clique of Arian bishops, in whose influence at court lay the real cause of the troubles, came to an end. Catholicism was freed; and security for its future provided in the first code for the repression of heresy.... The churches of heretics of every sect, Anomeans, Arians, Apollinarians, Macedonians, are to be confiscated and handed over to the Catholics. Heretical assemblies are forbidden and heretics lose all power of making wills or inheriting. Six times in the next fifteen years these laws are renewed.

Chrysostom's attitude here is paralled by the willingness of St. Augustine to use coercive measures against the Donatists.

48205. The reading in Gu, even if it represents a corruption of $T_{\widehat{N}}$ $T_{\widehat{W}V}$ $Z_{\widehat{N}}$ $Z_{\widehat{N}}$

48206. Yet another explanatory interpolation in Gu. The editor may well have felt uncomfortable about Chrysostom's doctrine. He seems to have been particularly anxious to make it clear that this doctrine in no way countenanced the evil of heresy.

48209. Here we have yet another example of the editor's desire to spell

Philip Hughes, A History of the Church. Vol. I: The Church and the World in which the Church was Founded. (New York: Sheed and Ward, 1949, rev. ed.)

p. 183-184. cf. also Charles Norris Cochrane, Christianity and Classical Culture: A Study of Thought and Action from Augustus to Augustine (London: Oxford University Press 1944), pp. 327-329, 332-334.

everything out.

482010. \mathcal{E} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{K} \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}

482Cll. Onovids ("pacts," "leagues"), though somewhat indefinite in its meaning, is the preferable reading. It may refer either to pacts of toleration between the state and heretical sects or at least to legal recognition of such sects, or perhaps to compacts existing among the heretics.

482M. This is plainly a gloss put in by someone who thought the sentence unclear.

482D5. The reading in Field (without \mathcal{E}/\mathcal{E}) is more likely to be corrupt ted than that in Gu, which seems to be a correction to harmonize with text of Matthew above.

482D7. Guelferbytanus here supports the correction of G and Field in reading \overline{IWV} against G, H, and Montfaucon and supports Field in reading \overline{AUTOV} Would precede \overline{AUTOV} as in Field, since \overline{AUTOV} would more naturally be changed to \overline{AUTOV} after \overline{KPITNV} than before it.

482E6. The reading in Gu was probably altered to harmonize with the text of Matthew found at the beginning of the homily. See note on 482D5 above

483A5. The first Kdi in Field may have been inserted in an effort to make it clear that TIVES was the subject of ETOVTAL rather than of AEYWTL.

483H10. EVEHPUYEV is the form found Mt 13.33. The prefix is more likely to be omitted than inserted.

483H1. A gloss explicating the meaning of auty has been incorporated into the text.

483C3. The first part of the variant given by Gu is another gloss, which the editor included to explain the elliptical Kdi Opd TUVETIV.

The second part, EIS MONNY MODULIAV EVANUV, belongs with the following sentence. The position of MIP makes it clear that this second part is also a gloss.

483C4. Here is another example of the need of the editor of Gu to fill out ellipses.

483Dl. The extended variant given here by Gu is a theological interpolation out of character for Chrysostom. If we can presume that $d\sqrt{l}\omega$ was originally OUTW, then the scribe of Guelferbytanus or its archetype apparent ly read the preceding clause as a question rather than as a parenthetical remark and brought in the interpolation WIMO. . IVEV NO as a parallel to OUTW Kdl UFEIS. . IEPIEOEOBE.

483D4. There is a second Christological interpolation in Guelferbytanus at this point. Like the previous one in 483D1, it occurs between the members of an extended comparison; it is probably from the same source as the interpolation in 481D and from a different source than the fussy corrections and simplifications found in 482C2-D1.

483D5. The reading in Gu (without TOUTO) is clear enough; TOUTO is more likely to have been inserted in order to supply a subject for TUHBMOETAL than dropped.

483D8. The reading in Gu is plainly a gloss explanatory of $T_{\mathcal{A}}$ TO Add, which has rather awkwardly been inserted into the text.

483D8. Field's reading, OldE, should be retained; for it is more to the point here: it is Christ's usage which is in question, not that of Chrysostom and his auditors.

483E4. The reading in Gu, $\partial UVd \mu IV$, is a case of dittography.

493E4. The reading in Gu is badly muddled; a verb or two seems to have disappeared.

484.4. KOOHW is probably another bit of explanation that editor of Guelferbytanus has incorporated into the text.

484All. Field's reading (ϵ) $\delta\epsilon$ and ϵ whole dade Ma) should be preferred to that of Guelferbytanus (ϵ) ϵ and ϵ whole ϵ and ϵ may be for three reasons. First, there is no reason to use the demonstrative here, since the Apostles are not referred to in what has gone before. Second, ϵ who ϵ whereas in Field it is the apodosis of the condition. Third, ϵ was probably corrupted to ϵ because of the proximity of and ϵ whereas in Field it is failure to read the sentence through to the end. The ϵ preceding ϵ and the scribe's failure to read the sentence through to the end. The ϵ preceding ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ is a standard for the position of ϵ and ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ are the position of ϵ and ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ and ϵ was then inserted to regularize the position of ϵ whereas in ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ and ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ and ϵ is a probably corrupted to ϵ is a probable ϵ in the corrupted to ϵ in the corrupted

484B2. The reading in Guelferbytanus seems to be an unsuccessful attempt to improve the reading given by Field's manuscripts. The sentence is involved and liable to corruption.

484B3. The reading in Guelferbytanus is clear enough, but it is doubtful whether a reference to the Christian mysteries is appropriate here, where the point at issue is the ordinary humanity of the Apostles.

484B9. This sentence fits very well with the text, but manuscript authority for it is lacking.

48403. Ti MOT'OUV EFTL is more likely to be corrupted to Ti TOUTO EFFIV than the reverse. TOUTO more normally refers to what precedes than to what follows. 484B6-9. These two sentences have been recopied at the bottom of the column in which they occur in a hand probably of the seventh century. The original had probably become dim at an early date, though it can be read even now. The original reading was copied exactly.

484010. It is natural that there should have been a gloss at the first mention of John; and this has been incorporated into the text, though not in its natural position, which would be after \widehat{L} walker walker.

484ClO. A later hand, probably of the minth or tenth century, has corrected the original text of Gu here.

48407. TEPPOS is found with either to or three terminations.

OTEPPOTEPOV (Su) seems more liable to corruption than TTEPPOTEPAV.

with EMIDUMNOUS rather than with a MOLOU EN TOU .

485A4. In view of the frequency of interpolations in Guelferbytanus, it seems unwise to accept this phrase into the text.

485B8. This is an interpolation of similar type to that in 485A4. Perhaps it was inserted in order to avoid any suspicion of Pélagianism.

48503. Guelferbytanus here lends decisive support to Reg. 688 and gives

a reading from which the two other readings can easily be derived, To TELOS being easily displaced or omitted because of its terminal position.

485C4. $T\eta V$ has been added in the left margin of the manuscript in a hand smaller than the original but of the same general type.

485010. TUPOS is probably a gloss.

485D2. $U\mu dS$ TEASIOUS probably began its career as a gloss on $Ifd \gamma \gamma \in \lambda OUS$, which the scribe may have felt it necessary to explain. It then supplianted $IGd \gamma \gamma \in \lambda OUS$ in the text.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Primary Sources

- Joannis Chrysostomi. Homiliae in Matthaeum. Codex Guelferbytanus 75a, Hersog-August Bibliothek, Wolfenbuttel, Germany. (Unpublished.)
- Joannis Chrysostomi. Homiliae in Matthaeum. Edidit Fridericus Field. 3 vols. Cantebrigiae: in Officina Academica, 1839.
- Joannis Chrysostomi. Homiliae in Matthaeum. Edidit Fridericus Field. Vols. LVII and LVIII of Patrologia Graeca. Edidit J. P. Migne. Paris: J. P. Migne, 1862.
- Joannis Chrysostomi. <u>Opera Omnia</u>. Vel. VII: <u>Homiliae in Matthaeum</u>. Edidit Bernardus de Montfaucon, O.S.B. Paris: Carolus Robustel, 1727.
- Joannis Chrysostomi. Opera Omnia. Vol. VI: Homiliae in Matthaeum. Edidit
 Bernardus de Montfaucon, O.S.B. Editio alterata. Paris: Gaume Fratres,
 1835.
- John Chrysostom. Homilies on the Gospel of Saint Matthew. Translated by Rev. Sir George Prevost, M.A. 3 vols. Oxford: John Henry Parker, 1843-1851.
- John Chrysostom. Homilies on the Gospel of Saint Matthew. Vol. X of A Select Library of the Nicene and Post-Nicene Fathers of the Christian Church. Edited by Philip Schaff. Translated by Rev. Sir George Prevost, M.A. Revised by Rev. M. B. Riddle. Grand Rapids: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Company, 1956.

Secondary Sources

- Altaner, Berthold. Patrology. Translated by Hilda C. Graef. Edinburgh: Nelson, 1960.
- Arndt, William F. and F. Wilbur Gingrich. A Greek-English Lixicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature. Cambridge: University Press, 1957.

- Baur, Chrysostomus, O.S.B. <u>John Chrysostom and His Time</u>. Vol. I: <u>Antioch</u>. Vol. II: <u>Constantinople</u>. Translated by Sister M. Gonzaga, R.S.M. Westminster, Maryland: Newman Press, 1959.
- Bihlmeyer, Karl and Hermann Tuchle. <u>Church History</u>. Vol. I: <u>Christian Antiquity</u>. Translated by Victor E. Mills, O.F.M. Westminitier, Maryland: Newman Press, 1958.
- Elass, F. and A. Debrunner. A Greek Grammar of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature. Translated and revised by Robert W. Funk. Cambridge: University Press, 1961.
- Campbell, James Marshall. The Greek Fathers. Vel. XXXIV of Our Debt to
 Greece and Rome. Edited by George Depus Hadzsits and David Morse Robinson. New York: Longmans, Green, and Company, 1929.
- von Campenhausen, Hans. The Fathers of the Greek Church. Translated by Stanley Goodman. New York: Pantheon, 1959.
- Carr, William. "Sir Henry Savile," <u>Dictionary of National Biography</u>, ed. Sir Leslie Stephen and Sir Sidney Lee, XVII (1897), pp. 856-859.
- Cochrane, Charles Norris. Christianity and Classical Culture: A Study of Thought and Action from Augustus to Augustine. London: Oxford University Press, 1944.
- Dalton, John Francis. Selections from St. John Chrysostom. London: Burns, Oates, and Washbourne, 1940.
- Devresse, Robert. <u>Introduction à l'étude des manuscrits grecs</u>. Paris: Librarie C. Kleincksieck, 1954.
- Goodwin, William Watson. Greek Grammar., Revised by Charles Burton Gulick.
 Boston: Ginn and Company, 1930.
- Greenhill, William Alexander. "Frederick Field," <u>Dictionary of National Biography</u>, ed. Sir Leelie Stephen and Sir Sidney Lee, VI (1888), pp. 1266-1268.
- Groningen, B. A. van. Short Manual of Greek Paleography. Leiden: A. W. Sijthoff's Uitgeversmaatschappij, 1940.
- Harkins, Paul W. "The Text Tradition of Chrysostom's Commentary on John,"

 Theological Studies, XIX (1958), pp. 404-412.
- Honigmann, Ernest. Patristic Studies. Vol. CLXXIII of Studi e Testi. Citta del Vaticano: Biblioteca Apostolica Vaticana, 1953.
- Hughes, Philip. A History of the Church. Vol. I: The Church and the World

- in Which the Church Was Founded. Revised edition. New York: Sheed and Ward, 1949.
- Janin, R. "Georges de Trebizonde," <u>Dictionnaire de Théologie Catholique</u>, VI (1920), cols. 1235-1.237.
- Liddell, Henry George and Robert Scott. A Greek-English Lexicon. Eighth edition. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1897.
- Liddell, Henry George and Robert Scott. A Greek-English Lexicon. Revised by Henry Stuart Jones. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1925.
- Maas, Paul. Textkritik. 2nd ed. Leipzig: B. G. Teubner, 1950.
- Malingrey, Anne-Marie. "Vers une édition critique des oeuvres de saint Jean Chrysostome," <u>Studia Patristica</u>, III, pp. 81-84. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1961.
- Musurillo, Herbert, S.J. "Some Textual Problems in the Editing of the Greek Fathers," Studia Patristica, III, pp. 85-96. Berlin: Akademie Verlag, 1961.
- Puech, Aime. <u>Histoire de la litterature grecque chretienne</u>. Tome III: Le custrieme siècle. Paris: Societe d' Edition "Les Belles Lettres," 1930.
- Quasten, Joannes. <u>Patrology</u>. Vol. III: <u>The Golden Age of Greek Patristic Literature</u>: From the Council of Nicaea to the Council of Chalcedon. Westminisper, Maryland: Newman Press, 1960.
- Smothers, Edgar R., S.J. "Four Greek Hymns," Melanges Joseph de Ghellinck, S.J. pp. 321-344. Gembloux: Editions J. Ducolot, 1951.
- Sophocles, E.A. <u>Greek Lexicon of the Romad and Byzantine Periods</u>. 2 vols. New York: Frederick Ungar Publishing Company, 1957.
- Thompson, Sir Edward Maunde. An Introduction to Greek and Latin Paleography.
 Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1912.

APPROVAL SHEET

The thesis submitted by John P. Langan, S.J. has been read and approved by three members of the Department of Classical Studies.

The final copies have been examined by the director of the thesis and the signature which appears below verifies the fact that any necessary changes have been incorporated, and that the thesis is now given final approval with reference to content, form, and mechanical accuracy.

The thesis is therefore accepted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Arts.