



4-2018

## Prevalence and Predictors of Low Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among Female African-American Breast Cancer Survivors

Patricia M. Sheean


Loyola University Chicago, psheean1@luc.edu

Claudia Arroyo

Jennifer Woo

Melinda Stolley

Follow this and additional works at: [https://ecommons.luc.edu/nursing\\_facpubs](https://ecommons.luc.edu/nursing_facpubs)

 Part of the [Nursing Commons](#), and the [Oncology Commons](#)

### Recommended Citation

Sheean, Patricia M.; Arroyo, Claudia; Woo, Jennifer; and Stolley, Melinda. Prevalence and Predictors of Low Serum 25-Hydroxyvitamin D among Female African-American Breast Cancer Survivors. *Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 118, 4: 568-577, 2018. Retrieved from Loyola eCommons, Nursing: School of Nursing Faculty Publications and Other Works, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2017.10.009>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Faculty Publications and Other Works by Department at Loyola eCommons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Nursing: School of Nursing Faculty Publications and Other Works by an authorized administrator of Loyola eCommons. For more information, please contact [ecommons@luc.edu](mailto:ecommons@luc.edu).



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 License](#).  
© Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics 2018

1 **Title: Prevalence and predictors of low serum 25(OH)D among female African-**  
2 **American breast cancer survivors**

3

4 **Research Snapshot:**

5 **Research Questions:**

6 What is the prevalence of low serum 25(OH)D among female African American (AA)  
7 breast cancer (BC) survivors? What modifiable factors are significant predictors of  
8 serum 25(OH)D levels in these minority women?

9

10 **Key Findings:**

11 In this cross-sectional study comprised of 244 early stage AA BC survivors with  
12 overweight/obesity, vitamin D deficiency was prevalent in 81% and 43% of women,  
13 applying the cut-points of the Endocrine Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L) and the  
14 Institute of Medicine (<20 ng/ml or <50 nmol/L), respectively. Interestingly, 60% of  
15 participants endorsed habitual use of vitamin D supplementation. In multivariate  
16 modeling, Vitamin D supplementation, sun behaviors and waist hip ratio were significant  
17 predictors of serum 25(OH)D levels and thus, may serve as future points of intervention  
18 to improve the vitamin D status of this minority survivor population.

19

20 **Abstract**

21 **Background:** African-American (AA) breast cancer (BC) survivors commonly  
22 demonstrate low serum 25(OH)D. Decreased cutaneous conversion, high levels of  
23 adiposity and even BC treatment may influence vitamin D status. Previous  
24 investigations have analyzed AA women in aggregate with other BC survivors and have  
25 not comprehensively addressed these influential factors.

26 **Objective:** To determine the prevalence of low serum 25(OH)D in an exclusively AA  
27 cohort of female BC survivors with overweight/obesity. And further, to evaluate the role  
28 of ultraviolet (UV) light exposure, body composition, and dietary sources of vitamin D on  
29 serum 25(OH)D levels.

30 **Design:** Cross-sectional

31 **Participants:** Pre- and post-menopausal AA BC survivors (n=244) were recruited from  
32 various neighborhoods in the city of Chicago between September, 2011 – September,  
33 2014 for a larger weight loss trial.

34 **Main outcome measures:** Demographic, clinical, anthropometric [body mass index  
35 (BMI), waist (WC) and hip circumference (HC)], blood biospecimen, dietary intake [Food  
36 frequency questionnaire (FFQ)] and sun behavior data were collected by trained study  
37 personnel prior to trial participation. Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) was used  
38 to quantify adiposity (total, %, regional, visceral) and lean mass. Serum 25(OH)D was  
39 used as the biomarker reflective of vitamin D status.

40 **Statistical analyses:** Mean ( $\pm$  standard deviation), frequencies and multivariate linear  
41 regression modeling

42 **Results:** The average participant was 57.4 ( $\pm$  10.0) y, 6.9 ( $\pm$  5.2) y from initial BC  
43 diagnosis with a BMI of 36.2 ( $\pm$  6.2) kg/m<sup>2</sup>. The majority of participants (60%) reported  
44 habitual oral vitamin D supplementation with mean intakes of 327 ( $\pm$  169) IUs. Vitamin  
45 D deficiency was prevalent in 81% and 43%, applying the cut-points of the Endocrine  
46 Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L) and the Institute of Medicine (<20 ng/ml or <50  
47 nmol/L), respectively. A multivariate model adjusting for age, seasonality of blood draw,  
48 total energy intake, supplemental vitamin D, darker skin pigmentation, BC stage and  
49 waist hip ratio (WHR) was able to explain 28.8% of the observed variance in serum  
50 25(OH)D concentrations. No significant associations were detected for BMI or any DXA  
51 measures of body composition.

52 **Conclusions:**

53 Considering the number of women endorsing the use of vitamin D supplementation, the  
54 prevalence of vitamin D deficiency among these AA BC survivors was high. Vitamin D  
55 supplementation, sun behaviors and WHR may serve as future points of intervention to  
56 improve the vitamin D status of this minority survivor population.

## 57 INTRODUCTION

58 Vitamin D is a generic term designating a group of chemically related compounds  
59 best known for their antirachitic activity. Serum 25(OH)D is the generally accepted  
60 biomarker for determining vitamin D status.<sup>1</sup> It is well known that serum 25(OH)D is  
61 derived from sun exposure and that dietary sources of vitamin D (e.g., egg yolks,  
62 salmon, tuna, and fortified dairy products) contribute less significantly to these levels.<sup>2</sup>  
63 Because vitamin D influences the expression of genes that are associated with the  
64 development and progression of breast cancer (BC),<sup>3,4</sup> intensive efforts over the last  
65 two decades have sought to elucidate the role of 25(OH)D, BC occurrence and BC  
66 outcomes.

67 While the exact mechanisms remain unknown, BC treatment, itself, appears to  
68 be associated with lower levels of serum 25(OH)D. Approximately 70-75% of female BC  
69 survivors are classified as vitamin D deficient/insufficient,<sup>5-7</sup> which is higher than  
70 population estimates.<sup>8</sup> These previous BC studies, while informative, are limited by two  
71 notable factors. First, the majority of BC survivors enrolled were non-Hispanic white with  
72 relatively small numbers of African American (AA) BC participants by comparison.  
73 Decreased cutaneous conversion of 7-dehydrocholesterol to cholecalciferol occurs with  
74 higher melanin content.<sup>9</sup> Accounting for skin pigmentation and sun behaviors are  
75 informative, yet understudied areas in the context of serum 25(OH)D and BC. Second,  
76 body mass index (BMI) has been used a surrogate marker of adiposity.<sup>10,11</sup> This  
77 approach is an attempt to address the inverse relationship between obesity and  
78 25(OH)D.<sup>11</sup> However, a systematic review and meta-analyses of 31,968 participants  
79 reveals that BMI fails to detect half of the people with excess adiposity;<sup>12</sup> thus its

80 application as a surrogate marker for adiposity is questionable. Therefore, the objective  
81 of this investigation is to examine serum 25(OH)D levels in an exclusively AA cohort of  
82 female BC survivors with overweight/obesity. The present study is novel, in that, it  
83 simultaneously addresses important non-modifiable (i.e., BC treatment, sex,  
84 race/ethnicity) and modifiable factors (e.g., sun exposure, adiposity) using  
85 methodologies that can accurately measure body composition and tools that can  
86 capture important contributors to serum 25(OH)D, such as skin color or sun behaviors.  
87 This study addresses notable shortcomings of previous work in an effort to more  
88 precisely establish the prevalence and predictors of low serum 25(OH)D and to identify  
89 potential intervention points among these minority BC survivors. We hypothesize that  
90 the majority of the participants will be classified as vitamin D deficient, and that darker  
91 skin pigmentation and higher levels of percent body fat will negatively predict serum  
92 levels of 25(OH)D.

## 93 **METHODS**

### 94 **Study participants**

95 Study participants reflect AA BC survivors recruited from various communities  
96 within Chicago, Illinois between September, 2011 – September, 2014 for a larger  
97 randomized behavioral weight loss trial. These present analyses use a cross-sectional  
98 study design of data collected at baseline for prevalence estimates. The specific study  
99 methodologies have been described previously.<sup>13</sup> Briefly, eligible adult women: 1) self-  
100 identified as Black or AA females; 2) self-reported Stage I-III invasive breast carcinoma;  
101 3) were overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) or obese (BMI  $\geq$ 30.0 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and 4)  
102 completed surgery, chemotherapy and/or radiation treatment at least six months *prior to*

103 recruitment. Current use of adjuvant hormonal therapies was acceptable. Women were  
104 excluded for the following: 1) plans to relocate out of the Chicago area during the time  
105 of study participation, 2) unable to safely engage in physical activity due to physical  
106 impairments requiring a wheelchair or walker, a diagnosis of emphysema or extreme  
107 dyspnea on exertion, 3) currently pregnant, planning to get pregnant or less than 3  
108 months post-partum, 4) formally enrolled in a weight loss program requiring specialty  
109 foods or meal replacements, 5) taking prescription weight loss agents; or 6)  
110 experiencing any psychiatric conditions that precluded study participation. The study  
111 received ethical approval from the Institutional Review Boards of the University of  
112 Illinois, University of Chicago and Northwestern University.

### 113 **Procedures**

114 Women were screened for initial eligibility over the telephone by the study  
115 recruiters. (**Figure 1**) A baseline interview was scheduled for eligible women, written  
116 informed consent was obtained and variety of questionnaires were completed. Within  
117 one month of the baseline interview, eligible/interested women returned for blood draw,  
118 anthropometric measures and DXA completion.

### 119 **Data collection**

120 Demographic and clinical data, including co-morbid conditions, menopausal  
121 status, BC stage, date of diagnosis, BC treatments [e.g., chemotherapy (yes/no),  
122 radiation (yes/no), current or previous endocrine therapies [selective estrogen receptor  
123 modulators or aromatase inhibitors] and other medications were self-reported.  
124 Oncologists were contacted to verify disease stage, when needed. Women with Stage 0  
125 or IV were precluded further participation.

126 Phlebotomy and body composition assessment were completed on the same day  
127 prior to participation in the weight loss trial. Blood draws were completed by trained  
128 phlebotomists, transported and processed by a certified clinical laboratory on the same  
129 day. The best marker for vitamin D status is serum 25(OH)D, which is comprised of  
130 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub> and 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub>.<sup>1,14</sup> Serum 25(OH)D levels were quantified using the DiaSorin  
131 Liaison 25 OH vitamin D total assay, which uses chemiluminescent immunoassay  
132 technology for the quantitative determination of 25(OH)D and other hydroxylated  
133 vitamin D metabolites.

134 Height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm using a portable stadiometer (Seca  
135 213; Chino, CA) and weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg using a digital scale  
136 (Tanita BWB 800S; Arlington Heights, IL). Participants wore light clothes and were  
137 measured without shoes. Measurements were obtained by trained study personnel. If  
138 two measurements were more than 0.5 cm or 0.2 kg apart for height and weight,  
139 respectively, a third measurement was taken. The two closest measures of height and  
140 weight were used to calculate and classify BMI (kg/m<sup>2</sup>).<sup>15</sup>

141 Waist and hip measures, surrogate measures of visceral and gluteal adiposity,  
142 respectively, were completed by trained study staff based on the National Health and  
143 Nutrition Examination Survey techniques.<sup>16</sup> However, the umbilicus was used as the  
144 external marker for waist circumference (WC). Waist and hip circumference were  
145 measured by placing a Gulick II Plus measuring tape in the horizontal plane (parallel to  
146 the floor) around the abdomen at the umbilicus for WC or at the widest point over the  
147 buttocks for hip circumference (HC). Participants were told to wear light clothing to allow  
148 direct measurement on the skin, assuring the removal or minimum inclusion of bulky



149 clothing (e.g., seams, gathered material from pants, shirts or other garments) in the  
150 measurement. Participants were further instructed to breathe normally and stand with  
151 ankles as close together as possible. A second staff member ensured that the  
152 measuring tape was parallel to the floor with measurements taken in duplicate to the  
153 nearest 0.1 cm and recorded. Additional measurements were taken and recorded until  
154 two measurements were within 1.0 cm of each other.

155         Body composition was measured using DXA (iLunar, GE, software version 13.6).  
156 **(Figure 2)** Following daily calibration with the manufacturer’s phantom, whole body  
157 scans were performed and analyzed by a trained technician blind to study group or  
158 outcomes. For measuring android fat, a region of interest was automatically defined  
159 using the methods of Kaul et al.<sup>17</sup> Abdominal and visceral were obtained from the  
160 android region. Random whole body and hip images were periodically reviewed by a  
161 certified bone densitometrist for quality assurance purposes. Errors were corrected,  
162 techniques were altered to prevent future errors, and images were reanalyzed as  
163 needed prior to download and statistical analyses.

164         The Block 2005 Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ), a validated,<sup>18</sup> 110-item  
165 dietary assessment tool, was administered in person by trained personnel and  
166 processed by NutritionQuest (Berkeley, CA) to procure habitual dietary intakes reflective  
167 of the previous 6 months for vitamin D from food, beverage and dietary supplements  
168 sources. To account for important, non-dietary sources of vitamin D, we quantified  
169 summer sunlight exposure (focusing on weekend and weekday ‘hours outside’),  
170 addressed seasonal influence of blood draw (i.e., participants drawn June- September

171 vs. October-May) and we categorized participants into one of six levels of self-reported  
172 skin pigmentation.<sup>19</sup>

### 173 **STATISTICAL ANALYSES**

174 Because of the current lack of agreement on levels used to classify deficiency, serum  
175 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by, both, the Endocrine Society<sup>20</sup> and the Institute of  
176 Medicine (IOM),<sup>21</sup> were applied. Means, medians, standard deviations, and ranges were  
177 used to describe the distribution of the data. Non-normally distributed variables were log  
178 transformed for analyses. Student's *t* and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for continuous  
179 variables and Chi square for categorical variables were conducted for comparisons  
180 between deficient and non-deficient participants. Multivariate linear regression analyses  
181 were conducted to determine the characteristics that independently predicted serum  
182 25(OH)D, after adjustment for other variables. Informed by our preliminary analyses and  
183 previous studies, several covariates were included in the models due to their abilities to  
184 predict serum 25(OH)D (e.g., age, seasonality of blood draw, diet/supplement  
185 contribution.) Reasoning that dark skin would impede ultraviolet light the most, self-  
186 reported untanned skin was reduced to a two categories ["dark" (i.e., dark brown and  
187 very dark) vs. "light" (very fair, fair, olive and light brown)]. Variables were only retained  
188 in the multivariate models if the effect of the variable changed the point estimate by  
189 >10% or if the variable was significant in the multivariate model ( $p \leq 0.05$ ). Collinearity  
190 was assessed prior to the final modeling and only one variable was selected for model  
191 fitting (e.g., dietary vitamin D vs. total energy intake, visceral adipose tissue (VAT) mass  
192 vs. android fat mass). Statistical analysis was conducted using the statistical program  
193 SAS (version 9.4).<sup>22</sup>

194 **RESULTS**

195 The average age of the participants (N=244) was 57.4 y ( $\pm 10.0$ ) and 11% (n=27) had  
196 overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9), 23% (n=99) had Class 1 obese (BMI 30.0-34.9), 26%  
197 (n=63) had Class 2 obese (35.0-39.9) and 40% (n=55) had Class 3 obese (BMI  $\geq 40.0$ ).  
198 Participants were predominantly non-smokers (91%, n= 219), diversely educated [39%  
199 (n= 95) completed some college and 38% (n= 93) possessed a college and/or graduate  
200 degree] and 50% (n=122) were privately insured. The average body weight, BMI and  
201 WHR was 96.1 ( $\pm 18.2$ ) kg, 36.2 ( $\pm 6.2$ ) kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 0.94 ( $\pm 0.09$ ), respectively. Self-reports  
202 of diabetes, high blood pressure and high serum cholesterol were 53%, 59% and 38%,  
203 respectively, signifying an overall high prevalence of co-morbid conditions. The average  
204 time since BC diagnosis was 6.9 ( $\pm 5.2$ ) y, with 73% (n=175) and 79% (n=189) of  
205 women self-reporting previous chemotherapy or radiation treatment, respectively.

206 The demographic and clinical characteristics of the study participants stratified by  
207 vitamin D cut-points are presented in **Table 1**. The mean serum 25(OH)D was 22.5  
208 ( $\pm 10.8$ ) mg/dL [56.2 ( $\pm 27.0$ )]. The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency was 81% and 43%  
209 using the values of the Endocrine Society and IOM, respectively. Individuals classified  
210 as vitamin D sufficient by Endocrine society tended to be older at the time of study  
211 enrollment (p=0.003) and at BC diagnosis (p=0.02), reported a lower occurrence of  
212 diabetes (p=0.017) and hypertension (p=0.002) and were more often employed fulltime  
213 or retired when compared to individuals classified as insufficient. Individuals classified  
214 as vitamin D sufficient using the IOM cut-points were older at the time of BC diagnosis  
215 (p=0.037) and more likely to report early disease stage (p=0.001) and hypertension  
216 (p=0.025).

217 **Table 2** depicts the bivariate analyses of potential predictors of serum 25(OH)D  
218 using dichotomized definitions of vitamin D status. Due to changes from a shorter to a  
219 longer version of the FFQ, only dietary data from recruitment sites 2-8 were evaluable  
220 (n=219). When stratified by the Endocrine Society cut-points, participants who were  
221 classified as insufficient reported darker skin pigmentation ( $p= 0.01$ ). When stratified by  
222 the IOM cut-points, participants who were classified as insufficient had higher android  
223 fat mass measurements ( $p<0.001$ ), higher energy ( $p<0.001$ ) and dietary vitamin D  
224 intake ( $p<0.001$ ). In addition, mean serum 25(OH)D levels were significantly higher for  
225 participants who had blood draws in June-September vs. October-May ( $24.6 \pm 10.8$  vs.  
226  $21.2 \pm 10.7$ , respectively;  $p=0.02$ ).

227 Linear regression modeling involved examining the associations between lifestyle,  
228 clinical and BC treatment related variables with log transformed serum 25(OH)D.  
229 Significant independent associations between serum 25(OH)D and age ( $\beta= 0.00868$ ;  
230  $p=0.008$ ), dietary vitamin D, IU ( $\beta= -0.001$ ;  $p= 0.05$ ), vitamin D supplementation, IU ( $\beta=$   
231  $0.00111$ ;  $p<0.001$ ), total energy intake, kcals ( $\beta= -0.00013$ ;  $p<0.001$ ) and seasonality of  
232 blood draw ( $\beta= 0.20384$ ;  $p=0.002$ ) were detected. None of the variables related to BC  
233 disease status or treatment (alone or in combination) were independently associated  
234 with serum 25(OH)D ( $p >0.05$ ). Initially, the following body composition variables were  
235 inversely associated with serum 25(OH)D: weight ( $p= 0.02$ ), waist ( $p=0.03$ ), total fat  
236 mass ( $p=0.02$ ), VAT mass ( $p=0.04$ ), android fat mass ( $p=0.01$ ), gynoid fat mass  
237 ( $p=0.04$ ), total lean mass ( $p=0.02$ ) and ALH ( $p=0.04$ ). Linear regression modeling  
238 involved assessing the effects of the various body composition variables on log  
239 transformed serum 25(OH)D. Our final multivariate model was able to explain 28.8% of

240 the observed variance in serum 25(OH)D concentrations, adjusting for age ( $\beta=$   
241 0.00049), seasonality of blood draw ( $\beta= 0.15096$ ), total energy intake, kcals ( $\beta= -$   
242 0.00011), supplemental vitamin D ( $\beta= 0.00107$ ), darker skin pigmentation ( $\beta= -0.08668$ ),  
243 and BC stage ( $\beta= -11236$ ) and WHR ( $\beta= -0.79472$ ). No significant associations were  
244 detected for BMI or any DXA measures of body composition.

## 245 **DISCUSSION**

246 The interpretation of our study findings is not straightforward owing to the  
247 variation in how vitamin D deficiency is defined. When we apply the more conservative  
248 IOM cut-point of <20 ng/ml (<50 nmol/L), we found that 43% of our AA female BC  
249 survivors were classified as vitamin D deficient. Considering that 82% of AAs ( $\geq 20$   
250 years of age) participating in the NHANES are classified as vitamin D deficient,<sup>23</sup> we  
251 view our results as discrepant, yet positive. However, when we apply the more liberal  
252 cut-point of the Endocrine Society (<30 ng/ml or <75 nmol/L), our prevalence of vitamin  
253 D deficiency increases to 81%. The occurrence of low serum 25(OH)D is 35-77% using  
254 a similar cut-point (<30-32 ng/ml) in predominantly non-minority BC survivors,<sup>5-7,24</sup>  
255 reflecting lower prevalence estimates than our AA BC population. Regardless of these  
256 deficiency definitions, observational data support an inverse relationship between higher  
257 serum 25(OH)D at diagnosis and lower risk for BC progression and mortality.<sup>25</sup>  
258 Specifically, in an observational cohort of 512 early stage BC survivors, Goodwin et al  
259 showed that low plasma levels of 25(OH)D (<20 ng/ml or <50 nmol/L) at the time of BC  
260 diagnosis were significantly associated with an increased risk of distant recurrence and  
261 death.<sup>26</sup> These effects were only modestly attenuated after adjustment for tumor-related  
262 factors. A more recent systematic review and meta-analysis (n=5,691) indicated that low

263 blood levels of serum (OH)D were associated with a pooled hazard ratio of 2.1 (95% CI  
264 1.6, 2.8) for recurrence and 1.8 (95% CI 1.4, 2.3) for mortality in women diagnosed and  
265 previously treated for early stage BC.<sup>27</sup> Thus, many BC survivors are prescribed  
266 supplemental vitamin D under the clinical presumption that it will positively influence BC  
267 survivorship. It is clear that many of our participants 'heard this message' since 60% of  
268 those with evaluable dietary data (n=132) reported ingesting supplemental vitamin D; a  
269 significant predictor of serum 25(OH)D ( $p < 0.001$ ). Based on our deficiency levels, AA  
270 BC survivors may require higher doses to achieve a therapeutic response. Taking into  
271 account our cross-sectional design and the length of time since initial BC diagnosis, we  
272 cannot, however, extrapolate our findings to make assumptions regarding the  
273 survivorship of our participants. Although, vitamin D deficiency has been hypothesized  
274 to contribute to risk of more aggressive BC in AA women,<sup>28</sup> the possibility that AA BC  
275 survivors with lower serum 25(OH)D experienced metastasis or mortality closer to the  
276 time of BC diagnosis would have precluded study participation, posing important  
277 confines on these data.

278 In previous studies, BMI was a significant, inverse predictor of serum 25(OH)D,<sup>29-</sup>  
279 <sup>34</sup> perhaps due to vitamin D sequestration into the adipose tissue, alterations in  
280 metabolism from hepatic steatosis or inhibitory effects of adipokines.<sup>11</sup> Body  
281 composition is a developing science that examines more than BMI, specifically  
282 accounting for the amount and location of adipose and lean tissue compartments in the  
283 human body.<sup>35</sup> Due to recent advances, the precision with which to measure body  
284 composition has substantially increased over the last two decades.<sup>36</sup> Despite the known  
285 validity and reliability of DXA in individuals who are lean or obese,<sup>37,38</sup> the current study

286 did not find significant associations between serum 25(OH)D and DXA quantified  
287 measures of body composition in our cohort of AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity.  
288 Regardless, this relationship is inconsistent in AA populations,<sup>39-41</sup> which is supported  
289 by our study findings. Due to the high prevalence of central obesity in our participants,  
290 we anticipated that VAT would have negatively predicted serum 25(OH)D levels. A  
291 growing body of literature now highlights that AA women may possess higher WC, yet  
292 lower levels of VAT when compared to women of other race/ethnicities.<sup>42-46</sup>  
293 Interestingly, only WHR, a surrogate marker of android vs. gynoid adiposity, was a  
294 significant determinant of serum 25(OH)D, accounting for 5% of its variability  
295 ( $p=0.0279$ ). This lack of consistency highlights two concerns. First, WC measures were  
296 taken at the level of the umbilicus. This physical landmark may not always align with the  
297 DXA defined regions of interest for VAT assessment. Second, while DXA provides  
298 estimates of VAT, more importantly, it cannot parse out the deep vs. superficial  
299 subcutaneous adipose tissues. These tissue compartments are only measureable using  
300 computed tomography or magnetic resonance imaging, but are emerging as distinctly  
301 different predictors of metabolic risk.<sup>47</sup>

302 Many assays are utilized to quantify 25(OH)D and these can be generally  
303 grouped into 2 categories: immune based and chromatography based.<sup>14,48</sup> Due to  
304 superior precision, liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry is considered the  
305 'gold standard' and as such, used a reference measure in comparison studies.<sup>14,49-52</sup>  
306 Because immunoassays procedures are easily automated, considerably less expensive  
307 and readily available, these methods are most widely used in clinical facilities and  
308 practice. Unfortunately, immunoassays have variable specificity for 25(OH)D<sub>2</sub>,

309 25(OH)D<sub>3</sub>, the C3-epimer of 25(OH)D and other 25(OH)D metabolites,<sup>53</sup> reducing  
310 measurement accuracy as much as 20%.<sup>54</sup> Acknowledging this lack of agreement is  
311 important for researchers as it poses serious challenges to explore purported  
312 associations between low serum 25(OH)D, non-skeletal chronic diseases (e.g., cancer)<sup>1</sup>  
313 and relevant cancer outcomes (i.e., BC recurrence, mortality).<sup>27</sup>

314 Several limitations of this investigation merit discussion. First, this study involved  
315 AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity who desired weight loss. While the majority of  
316 AAs in the US population are overweight/obese reflecting good generalizability,<sup>55</sup> we did  
317 not have a proportion of AA women with normal BMI or normal adiposity (<32%)<sup>56</sup> for  
318 more rigorous comparisons. Second, we did not have measures of parathyroid  
319 hormone; a known determinant of serum 25(OH)D.<sup>1</sup> Third, all of our participants were  
320 BC survivors who had received BC treatment; thus, by design, these findings are only  
321 generalizable to other AA BC survivors. Fourth, we were unable to include the dietary  
322 data from our first recruitment site (n=25 women) due to changes in dietary assessment  
323 methodologies. However, based on similarities across recruitment sites, we have no  
324 reason to believe these dietary data would be significantly different than the other  
325 participants. Additionally, this change resulted in missing data related to current  
326 smoking status. Based on data reflective of 90% of the study sample (n=216), no  
327 relationship between serum 25(OH)D and current smoking was detected in univariate  
328 and multivariable modeling. Finally, the likelihood of Type 2 error cannot be ruled out.  
329 However, sensitivity analyses showed no correlation between serum 25(OH)D and  
330 percent body fat (r= -0.07, p=0.28). There were no linear and nonlinear visual patterns  
331 detected between the two measures.



332 **CONCLUSION**

333           The determination and interpretation of serum 25(OH)D status is complex. It  
334 reflects a clinical scenario plagued by non-harmonious definitions<sup>20,21</sup> and employs  
335 methodologies that possess laboratory drift and variation.<sup>57</sup> Applying the cut-points of  
336 the Endocrine Society and the IOM, we found that vitamin D deficiency was prevalent in  
337 81% and 43% of our AA BC survivors with overweight/obesity, respectively. While, skin  
338 pigmentation, age and BC stage are not modifiable, vitamin D supplementation, sun  
339 behaviors and WHR are all significant predictors of serum 25(OH)D levels and thus may  
340 serve as potential future points of intervention to improve the vitamin D status of this  
341 minority survivor population.

342 **References**

343 1. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2007;357(3):266-281.  
344 2. Lips P. Worldwide status of vitamin D nutrition. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2010;121(1-2):297-  
345 300.  
346 3. Chen WY, Bertone-Johnson ER, Hunter DJ, Willett WC, Hankinson SE. Associations between  
347 polymorphisms in the vitamin D receptor and breast cancer risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers*  
348 *Prev*. 2005;14(10):2335-2339.  
349 4. Wu X, Zhou T, Cao N, Ni J, Wang X. Role of Vitamin D Metabolism and Activity on Carcinogenesis.  
350 *Oncol Res*. 2014;22(3):129-137.  
351 5. Neuhouser ML, Sorensen B, Hollis BW, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency in a multiethnic cohort of  
352 breast cancer survivors. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2008;88(1):133-139.  
353 6. Trukova KP, Grutsch J, Lammersfeld C, Liepa G. Prevalence of vitamin d insufficiency among  
354 breast cancer survivors. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2012;27(1):122-128.  
355 7. Villasenor A, Ballard-Barbash R, Ambis A, et al. Associations of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D with  
356 overall and breast cancer-specific mortality in a multiethnic cohort of breast cancer survivors.  
357 *Cancer Causes Control*. 2013;24(4):759-767.  
358 8. Schleicher RL, Sternberg MR, Lacher DA, et al. The vitamin D status of the US population from  
359 1988 to 2010 using standardized serum concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D shows recent  
360 modest increases. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2016;104(2):454-461.  
361 9. Piotrowska A, Wierzbicka J, Zmijewski MA. Vitamin D in the skin physiology and pathology. *Acta*  
362 *Biochim Pol*. 2016;63(1):89-95.  
363 10. Wortsman J, Matsuoka LY, Chen TC, Lu Z, Holick MF. Decreased bioavailability of vitamin D in  
364 obesity. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 2000;72(3):690-693.  
365 11. Cipriani C, Pepe J, Piemonte S, Colangelo L, Cilli M, Minisola S. Vitamin d and its relationship with  
366 obesity and muscle. *Int J Endocrinol*. 2014;2014:841248.  
367 12. Okorodudu DO, Jumean MF, Montori VM, et al. Diagnostic performance of body mass index to  
368 identify obesity as defined by body adiposity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Obes*  
369 *(Lond)*. 2010;34(5):791-799.  
370 13. Stolley MR, Sharp LK, Fantuzzi G, et al. Study design and protocol for moving forward: a weight  
371 loss intervention trial for African-American breast cancer survivors. *BMC Cancer*.  
372 2015;15(1):1018.  
373 14. Zerwekh JE. Blood biomarkers of vitamin D status. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*.  
374 2008;87(4):1087S-1091S.  
375 15. Prevention CfDCa. Classifications of Obesity, Overweight and Underweight Adults.  
376 <https://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/dnpao/growthcharts/training/bmiage/page4.html>. Accessed July  
377 20, 2017.  
378 16. Prevention. CCfDCa. [http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes\\_07\\_08/manual\\_an.pdf](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/nhanes/nhanes_07_08/manual_an.pdf).  
379 Accessed April 6, 2017.  
380 17. Kaul S, Rothney MP, Peters DM, et al. Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry for quantification of  
381 visceral fat. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2012;20(6):1313-1318.  
382 18. Mares-Perlman JA, Klein BE, Klein R, Ritter LL, Fisher MR, Freudenheim JL. A diet history  
383 questionnaire ranks nutrient intakes in middle-aged and older men and women similarly to  
384 multiple food records. *The Journal of Nutrition*. 1993;123(3):489-501.  
385 19. Glanz K, Yaroch AL, Dancel M, et al. Measures of sun exposure and sun protection practices for  
386 behavioral and epidemiologic research. *Arch Dermatol*. 2008;144(2):217-222.

- 387 20. Holick MF, Binkley NC, Bischoff-Ferrari HA, et al. Evaluation, treatment, and prevention of  
388 vitamin D deficiency: an Endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. *The Journal of clinical*  
389 *endocrinology and metabolism*. 2011;96(7):1911-1930.
- 390 21. Institute of Medicine Dietary Reference Intakes for Calcium and Vitamin D. Washington, DC:  
391 National Academy Press; 2010.
- 392 22. SAS [computer program]. Version 9.4, 2002-2012: SAS Institute, INC., Cary, NC.
- 393 23. Forrest KY, Stuhldreher WL. Prevalence and correlates of vitamin D deficiency in US adults. *Nutr*  
394 *Res*. 2011;31(1):48-54.
- 395 24. Friedman CF, DeMichele A, Su HI, et al. Vitamin d deficiency in postmenopausal breast cancer  
396 survivors. *Journal of women's health*. 2012;21(4):456-462.
- 397 25. Jacobs ET, Kohler LN, Kunihiro AG, Jurutka PW. Vitamin D and Colorectal, Breast, and Prostate  
398 Cancers: A Review of the Epidemiological Evidence. *J Cancer*. 2016;7(3):232-240.
- 399 26. Goodwin PJ, Ennis M, Pritchard KI, Koo J, Hood N. Prognostic effects of 25-hydroxyvitamin D  
400 levels in early breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2009;27(23):3757-3763.
- 401 27. Rose AA, Elser C, Ennis M, Goodwin PJ. Blood levels of vitamin D and early stage breast cancer  
402 prognosis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*.  
403 2013;141(3):331-339.
- 404 28. Yao S, Ambrosone CB. Associations between vitamin D deficiency and risk of aggressive breast  
405 cancer in African-American women. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol*. 2013;136:337-341.
- 406 29. Chan J, Jaceldo-Siegl K, Fraser GE. Determinants of serum 25 hydroxyvitamin D levels in a  
407 nationwide cohort of blacks and non-Hispanic whites. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2010;21(4):501-  
408 511.
- 409 30. McCullough ML, Weinstein SJ, Freedman DM, et al. Correlates of circulating 25-hydroxyvitamin  
410 D: Cohort Consortium Vitamin D Pooling Project of Rarer Cancers. *American journal of*  
411 *epidemiology*. 2010;172(1):21-35.
- 412 31. Jacobs ET, Thomson CA, Flatt SW, Newman VA, Rock CL, Pierce JP. Correlates of 25-  
413 hydroxyvitamin D and breast cancer stage in the Women's Healthy Eating and Living Study. *Nutr*  
414 *Cancer*. 2013;65(2):188-194.
- 415 32. Lagunova Z, Porojnicu AC, Lindberg F, Hexeberg S, Moan J. The dependency of vitamin D status  
416 on body mass index, gender, age and season. *Anticancer Res*. 2009;29(9):3713-3720.
- 417 33. Vashi PG, Lammersfeld CA, Braun DP, Gupta D. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D is inversely  
418 associated with body mass index in cancer. *Nutr J*. 2011;10:51.
- 419 34. Shirazi L, Almquist M, Malm J, Wirfalt E, Manjer J. Determinants of serum levels of vitamin D: a  
420 study of life-style, menopausal status, dietary intake, serum calcium, and PTH. *BMC Womens*  
421 *Health*. 2013;13:33.
- 422 35. Prado CM, Heymsfield SB. Lean tissue imaging: a new era for nutritional assessment and  
423 intervention. *Jpen*. 2014;38(8):940-953.
- 424 36. Baracos V, Caserotti P, Earthman CP, et al. Advances in the science and application of body  
425 composition measurement. *Jpen*. 2012;36(1):96-107.
- 426 37. Heymsfield SB, Wang Z, Baumgartner RN, Ross R. Human body composition: advances in models  
427 and methods. *Annual review of nutrition*. 1997;17:527-558.
- 428 38. Micklesfield LK, Goedecke JH, Punyanitya M, Wilson KE, Kelly TL. Dual-energy X-ray performs as  
429 well as clinical computed tomography for the measurement of visceral fat. *Obesity (Silver*  
430 *Spring)*. 2012;20(5):1109-1114.
- 431 39. Looker AC. Body fat and vitamin D status in black versus white women. *The Journal of clinical*  
432 *endocrinology and metabolism*. 2005;90(2):635-640.
- 433 40. Nesby-O'Dell S, Scanlon KS, Cogswell ME, et al. Hypovitaminosis D prevalence and determinants  
434 among African American and white women of reproductive age: third National Health and

435 Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988-1994. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*.  
436 2002;76(1):187-192.

437 41. Samuel L, Borrell LN. The effect of body mass index on adequacy of serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D  
438 levels in US adults: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 2001 to 2006. *Ann*  
439 *Epidemiol*. 2014;24(10):781-784.

440 42. Carroll JF, Chiapa AL, Rodriquez M, et al. Visceral fat, waist circumference, and BMI: impact of  
441 race/ethnicity. *Obesity (Silver Spring)*. 2008;16(3):600-607.

442 43. Katzmarzyk PT, Heymsfield SB, Bouchard C. Clinical utility of visceral adipose tissue for the  
443 identification of cardiometabolic risk in white and African American adults. *The American journal*  
444 *of clinical nutrition*. 2013;97(3):480-486.

445 44. Conway JM, Yanovski SZ, Avila NA, Hubbard VS. Visceral adipose tissue differences in black and  
446 white women. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*. 1995;61(4):765-771.

447 45. Kanaley JA, Giannopoulou I, Tillapaugh-Fay G, Nappi JS, Ploutz-Snyder LL. Racial differences in  
448 subcutaneous and visceral fat distribution in postmenopausal black and white women.  
449 *Metabolism*. 2003;52(2):186-191.

450 46. Bi X, Seabolt L, Shibao C, et al. DXA-measured visceral adipose tissue predicts impaired glucose  
451 tolerance and metabolic syndrome in obese Caucasian and African-American women. *European*  
452 *journal of clinical nutrition*. 2015;69(3):329-336.

453 47. Golan R, Shelef I, Rudich A, et al. Abdominal superficial subcutaneous fat: a putative distinct  
454 protective fat subdepot in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes care*. 2012;35(3):640-647.

455 48. Fraser WD, Milan AM. Vitamin D assays: past and present debates, difficulties, and  
456 developments. *Calcif Tissue Int*. 2013;92(2):118-127.

457 49. Moon HW, Cho JH, Hur M, et al. Comparison of four current 25-hydroxyvitamin D assays. *Clinical*  
458 *biochemistry*. 2012;45(4-5):326-330.

459 50. Farrell CJ, Martin S, McWhinney B, Straub I, Williams P, Herrmann M. State-of-the-art vitamin D  
460 assays: a comparison of automated immunoassays with liquid chromatography-tandem mass  
461 spectrometry methods. *Clinical chemistry*. 2012;58(3):531-542.

462 51. van den Ouweland JM, Beijers AM, Demacker PN, van Daal H. Measurement of 25-OH-vitamin D  
463 in human serum using liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry with comparison to  
464 radioimmunoassay and automated immunoassay. *Journal of chromatography B, Analytical*  
465 *technologies in the biomedical and life sciences*. 2010;878(15-16):1163-1168.

466 52. Lai JK, Lucas RM, Banks E, Ponsonby AL, Ausimmune Investigator G. Variability in vitamin D  
467 assays impairs clinical assessment of vitamin D status. *Internal medicine journal*. 2012;42(1):43-  
468 50.

469 53. Farrell C, Soldo J, Williams P, Herrmann M. 25-Hydroxyvitamin D testing: challenging the  
470 performance of current automated immunoassays. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2012;50(11):1953-1963.

471 54. Binkley N, Wiebe D. Clinical controversies in vitamin D: 25(OH)D measurement, target  
472 concentration, and supplementation. *J Clin Densitom*. 2013;16(4):402-408.

473 55. Flegal KM, Kruszon-Moran D, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Ogden CL. Trends in Obesity Among Adults in  
474 the United States, 2005 to 2014. *JAMA*. 2016;315(21):2284-2291.

475 56. Fitness A. 2016; [http://www.acefitness.org/acefit/healthy\\_living\\_tools\\_content.aspx?id=2](http://www.acefitness.org/acefit/healthy_living_tools_content.aspx?id=2).  
476 Accessed December 1, 2016.

477 57. Ganji V, Zhang X, Tangpricha V. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentrations and prevalence  
478 estimates of hypovitaminosis D in the U.S. population based on assay-adjusted data. *J Nutr*.  
479 2012;142(3):498-507.

**Table 1. Baseline clinical characteristics of African-American breast cancer survivor study participants stratified by serum 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by the Endocrine Society and the Institute of Medicine (N=244)**

Variable <sup>a</sup>	Endocrine Society <sup>21</sup>			Institute of Medicine <sup>20</sup>		
	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value <sup>b</sup>	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value <sup>c</sup>
	25(OH) D	25(OH) D		25(OH) D	25(OH) D	
	≥ 30 ng/ml	< 30 ng/ml		≥ 20 ng/ml	<20 ng/ml	
(≥ 75 nmol/L)	(< 75 nmol/L)		(≥ 50 nmol/L)	(< 50 nmol/L)		
N	47	197		138	106	
Age [years (SD)]	61.3 (8.5)	56.5 (10.2)	<b>0.003</b>	58.4 (10.1)	56.1 (9.9)	0.077
Time since diagnosis [years (SD)]	7.7 (5.7)	6.9 (5.2)	0.376	6.9 (5.9)	7.3 (6.3)	0.475
Age at diagnosis [years (SD)]	53.4 (10.0)	49.6 (9.9)	<b>0.020</b>	51.5 (10.5)	48.8 (9.0)	<b>0.037</b>
Self-report breast cancer stage (n)			0.135			<b>0.001</b>

Stage I (%)	19	66		47	38	
Stage II (%)	20	78		66	32	
Stage III (%)	3	36		13	26	
Unsure	5	17		12	10	
Co-morbid conditions (n)						
Diabetes	17	39	<b>0.017</b>	34	22	0.475
High Cholesterol	20	72	0.445	54	38	0.600
Hypertension	37	107	<b>0.002</b>	90	54	<b>0.025</b>
Current Smoker (n) <sup>d</sup>	5	14	0.375	9	10	0.419
Currently taking vitamin D supplements (n) <sup>e</sup>	35	97	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	97	35	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Education level (n)						
High school or less	12	46	0.438	36	22	0.823
Some college or Associate's degree	16	77		50	43	

College graduate or graduate degree	19	74		52	41	
Employment (n)			<b>0.004</b>			0.102
Full-time	21	66		51	36	
Part-time	1	26		16	11	
Retired	19	44		40	23	
Disabled/unable to work	2	32		12	22	
Other	4	29		19	14	
Insurance (n)			<b>0.035</b>			0.403
None	1	8		6	3	
Public	3	48		26	25	
Medicare	11	48		33	26	
HMO/PPO	32	91		73	50	
Other	0	2		0	2	
Current menopausal status			0.148			0.326
Pre-menopausal (n)	3	28		15	16	

Post-menopausal (n)	44	169		123	90	
Received chemotherapy for breast cancer (n) <sup>d</sup>	33	142	0.603	99	76	0.795
Received radiation therapy for breast cancer (n) <sup>d</sup>	36	153	0.640	102	87	0.204
Current endocrine therapy for breast cancer (n) <sup>d</sup>	13	58	0.716	46	25	0.071

a Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or n.

b P value reflects comparisons made for  $> 30$  vs.  $\leq 30$  ng/ml (or  $> 75$  vs.  $\leq 75$  nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

c P value reflects comparisons made for  $> 20$  vs.  $\leq 20$  ng/ml (or  $> 50$  vs.  $\leq 50$  nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

**d Data missing on 25 participants for current smoker and on 5 participants for breast cancer related therapies.**

**e Numbers reflect 132 women who reported supplemental vitamin D consumption.**



**Table 2. Body composition, dietary intake and sun exposure among African-American breast cancer survivors stratified by serum 25(OH)D cut-points proposed by the Endocrine Society and the Institute of Medicine (N=244)**

Variable <sup>ag</sup>	Endocrine Society <sup>21</sup>			Institute of Medicine <sup>20</sup>		
	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value <sup>b</sup>	Sufficient	Insufficient	P value <sup>b</sup>
	25(OH)D	25(OH)D		25(OH)D	25(OH)D	
	≥ 30 ng/ml (≥ 75 nmol/L)	< 30 ng/ml (< 75 nmol/L)		≥ 20 ng/ml (≥ 50 nmol/L)	<20 ng/ml (< 50 nmol/L)	
N	47	197		138	106	
Body Weight (kg)	95.6 (15.9)	96.2 ( 18.8)	0.859	94.5 (17.1)	98.0 (19.5)	0.141
Height (cm)	161.9 (5.8)	163.1 (6.5)	0.247	162.6 (6.0)	163.1 (6.8)	0.527
Body mass index <sup>b</sup> (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	36.5 (5.9)	36.1 (6.3)	0.674	35.7 (6.0)	36.7 (6.5)	0.221
Overweight (n)	3	24	0.162	15	12	0.510
Class 1 Obese (n)	20	79		61	38	

	Class 2 Obese (n)	63		35	28	
	Class 3 Obese (n)	7	55	27	28	
Waist circumference (cm)	112.4 (12.5)	113.7 (15.9)	0.589	112.4 (14.1)	114.8 (16.6)	0.212
Hip circumference (cm)	121.0 (11.3)	120.6 (13.9)	0.870	120.0 (12.9)	121.6 (14.1)	0.367
Waist to hip ratio	0.93 (0.07)	0.94 (0.09)	0.325	0.93 (0.08)	0.95 (0.09)	0.502
DXA Total fat mass (kg)	44.6 (10.6)	44.7 (13.0)	0.994	43.5 (11.9)	46.2 (13.3)	0.092
DXA Body fat (%)	46.9 (3.90)	46.2 (5.20)	0.411	45.8 (5.0)	46.9 (4.7)	0.094
DXA Visceral fat mass (kg)	1.44 (0.67)	1.45 (0.70)	0.925	1.39 (0.67)	1.53 (0.72)	0.120
DXA Android fat mass (kg)	4.01 (1.23)	4.12 (1.50)	0.638	3.93 (1.36)	4.30 (1.51)	<b>0.049</b>

DXA Gynoid fat mass (kg)	7.56 (2.14)	7.54 (2.54)	0.978	7.33 (2.36)	7.83 (2.57)	0.120
DXA Leg fat mass (kg)	16.57 (4.84)	16.109 (5.75)	0.574	15.83 (5.29)	16.68 (5.93)	0.248
DXA Total lean mass (kg)	47.22 (5.58)	47.99 (6.90)	0.473	47.56 (6.34)	48.20 (7.06)	0.461
DXA Appendicular lean height (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	8.74 (1.15)	8.82 (1.28)	0.715	8.76 (1.17)	8.87 (1.36)	0.523
FFQ Energy intake (kcal/d) <sup>e</sup>	1769 (862)	2091 (1152)	0.094	1769 (813)	2339 (1342)	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
FFQ Dietary vitamin D intake (IU/d) <sup>e</sup>	102 (80)	116 (100)	0.413	97 (67)	135 (129)	<b>0.01</b>
FFQ Supplement vitamin D intake (IU/d) <sup>ef</sup>	352 (194)	317 (158)	0.296	342 (175)	282 (140)	0.073

Daily summer sun exposure (hrs)	2.4 (1.6)	2.5 (1.7)	0.769	2.5 (1.6)	2.5 (1.7)	0.975
Self-reported skin color			<b>&lt;0.001</b>			0.513
Fair	3	8		7	4	
Olive	3	7		6	4	
Light brown	20	84		61	43	
Dark brown	14	93		55	52	
Very dark	7	5		9	3	

a Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or n.

b BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) cut-points defined as: overweight BMI 25.0-29.9; Class 1 obese BMI 30.0-34.9; Class 2 obese BMI 35.0-39.9; Class 3 obese BMI  $\geq$  40.0.<sup>15</sup>

c P value reflects comparisons made for  $> 30$  vs.  $\leq 30$  ng/dl (or  $> 75$  vs.  $\leq 75$  nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

d P value reflects comparisons made for  $> 20$  vs.  $\leq 20$  ng/dl (or  $> 50$  vs.  $\leq 50$  nmol/L) with bold values signifying statistical significance.

e Due to changes in FFQ version, only dietary data from cohorts 2-8 were evaluable (n=219).

f These calculations reflect the 132 participants who reported intakes of supplemental vitamin D.

g Abbreviations used: BMI= Body mass index, FFQ=Food Frequency Questionnaire, DXA=Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry