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CHAPTER ONE
REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE: HISTORICAL/THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES

Introduction: The Value of Work in American Society

As children, we are frequently asked, "What do you want to be?" (Perlman, 1968, p. 63) As we develop, our school/career goals and still later, our occupations tell others about us in a myriad of ways. "So prominent is the role we assign to the work that people do in our expectations and judgments of them, that we might well change the familiar American greeting, 'How do you do?' to 'What do you do?'" (Cohen & McGowan, 1982, p. 119)

If there is any belief which has strongly linked the people of this heterogeneous country, it is the value we attach to work (Ozawa, 1982, pp. 34-35).

What is this "need" to work? Debate over whether productivity is an innate drive or a socially learned value continues. Theorists do seem to agree that the meaning of work is perceptual and that many (but not all) people seek "meaningful" work. Studs Terkel has remarked that the spoken and unspoken wish of those he interviewed about their work was to be remembered (Chestang, 1982, p. 64). We take our work for granted until it is absent. Then we must cope with a sense of failure, of loss, of isolation. Simultaneously, we face financial stress.

Throughout this dissertation, work will be defined by its most common meaning, one's compensated occupational
role. Work involves mobilizing to accomplish certain tasks, under certain conditions, in order to produce something of value to others.

The person whose work enhances his/her self-esteem is truly fortunate to experience the special elation generated by feelings of mastery. Self-confidence both equips and frees one to accept and cope with one's personal life (Chestang, 1982, p. 80). Work offers a feeling of connectedness, the chance to belong, affirmation of one's place in the mainstream. It represents an opportunity to build social contacts and to network. The sense that one is making a social contribution, having an impact, generates feelings of efficacy. Work gives a sense of purpose to each day. Since it is frequently time-bound, it serves as an internal organizer, providing one's life with order, if not always consistency (Chestang, p. 68).

To earn money is, for some, to have one's value affirmed. For others, earnings purchase necessities. Ability to meet one's basic needs frees one from dependency upon others. The most negative view of work is that it is a necessary evil, a resented interruption in one's free time.

Certain kinds of work merit a special kind of respect. The helping professions are usually viewed as being "good" for the community and as entailing some sacrifices (Perlman, 1968, p. 81). The prestige accorded the various helping professions varies according to the degree of preparation,
level of skill, and importance attributed to them.

All this and more happens if work is going well. But what if it does not? What if it is not fulfilling, not satisfying, maybe not even tolerable? Good "fit" is important. As Chestang points out, "the people who usually feel at home in their work are those whose internal orientations 'click' with their occupational selections" (1982, p. 82). Yet that is not always achieved, and even the appropriate selection of work can backfire. One person begins to have frequent conflict with a new supervisor. Another discovers a new job to be beyond the attained level of skill. Still another becomes discouraged by failure to move ahead. Disaffection with other areas of one's life can also be transferred to one's work.

Even good workers are not always happy workers (Perlman, 1968, p. 76). Some began new positions feeling challenged but later, having mastered the tasks, fight boredom. Others find that the stresses of the job are hindering performance or even making them ill.

Purpose/Significance of this Study

This study seeks to explore several aspects of the work life of elementary school social workers employed in a large metropolitan school system. One beginning assumption was that some degree of stress is part of the nature of that job. Unlike a social service agency, an
elementary school typically has only one social worker (Demsch & Kim, 1970, p. 122). The problems workers face are diverse and at times overwhelming, due to the poverty and family disorganization encountered in most schools.

Because Chicago and other large cities always have a need for social workers with Master's degrees, it would be useful to learn more about how workers currently employed view their jobs. Not only would results be of interest to the Social Work Department of the Chicago Public Schools, but the workers themselves have expressed a desire to learn how their colleagues respond; they feel somewhat isolated from one another because they serve different schools. The findings might also be of possible use to the Chicago Teacher's Union, which represents most of the workers.

How satisfied are these workers with their jobs? What stresses most trouble them? Do years of experience make a difference? Are any burned out? These questions were explored with the population, Chicago elementary school social workers, via a combination of quantitative and qualitative approaches.

Unlike their counterparts in more affluent districts, most workers in Chicago serve several schools per week. Caseloads, reduced by the present administration's efforts, continue to be higher than the student/social worker ratio recommended by the National Association of School Social Workers. What might be the possible effects of serving
more than one school? Only workers who did so were studied. In fact, a major research question was whether variance in one's degree of satisfaction from school to school might correspond with one's perception of various principals. Current organization of the Chicago Public Schools grants line authority to principals; whenever a worker goes into a given school, he/she is under the direct supervision of the principal. What impact does the principal/social worker relationship have on the worker? This was the most exploratory aspect of the study.

Since the primary variables utilized in the present study are job satisfaction, occupational stress, and burnout, the history of and current thinking about these concepts will now be discussed.

Job Satisfaction in the Classical Management Literature

The literature on job satisfaction is vast, for it is the most common aspect of work studied (Hopkins, 1983, p. 18). It is one (but not the only) psychological consequence of work (Kohn, 1980, pp. 194-195). Job satisfaction is a positive overall emotional response to various facets of one's particular job (Bullock, 1984, p. 1) which varies individually and situationally. Since it does not depend upon any one aspect of work, job satisfaction is multidimensional. Definitions usually go on to discuss good fit between the job and the worker.
Job satisfaction differs from morale, which refers to group well-being (Grunenberg, 1979, p. 3).

As Locke (1976) mentions, "while systematic attempts to study the nature and causes of job satisfaction as such did not begin until the 1930's, the important role played by a worker's 'attitudes' in determining his actions in the job situation was recognized long before" (p. 1298). Due, however, to the prevailing social values, evidence of genuine interest in the welfare and comfort of workers can be found only sporadically in historical writings.

In the early 1800's, 11 and 12 hour workdays were common. Robert Owen, a Scottish textile mills owner, proposed the revolutionary idea that a worker's output (and ultimately, the company's profits) was significantly influenced by how that worker was treated. Due to this conviction, Owen endeavored to create a family atmosphere in his mills. His community was observed and copied. Although his tone was paternalistic and his profit motive overt, Owen was far ahead of his time (Merrill, 1960, p. 13). One writer states, "he was virtually alone in his generation in appreciating the immense importance of the human factor in industry. . . ." (Urwick, 1956, p. 6)

Frederick W. Taylor is considered by many to have been the most influential of the management pioneers. One contribution to the concept of job satisfaction was Taylor's call for radical changes in the attitudes of
both labor and management: "When they stop pulling against one another, and instead both turn and push shoulder to shoulder in the same direction, . . . [the] result is truly astounding" (1912, p. 80). Taylor recognized the importance of a harmonious work climate. He also sought to bring out a given worker's best abilities and to challenge him, as well as offering opportunities for advancement. Unfortunately, Taylor's teachings were widely misunderstood during his lifetime.

Henry Gantt, a close associate of Taylor's, once expressed the belief that for any worker to be assigned tasks he/she did not want was to the detriment of both worker and employer. Gantt believed that workers are most productive if they are well trained and work in an atmosphere of cooperation with foremen, rather than one of fear. Gantt's belief that the training of workers was part of the responsibility of management, now so well accepted, was considered innovative in his time (Urwick, 1956, p. 90). His emphasis upon "teaching" and "leading" rather than "driving" workers helped to humanize the scientific management movement (Merrill, 1960, p.15).

Another contemporary of Taylor's, Frank Gilbreth, is chiefly known for his meticulous studies of efficiency and physical working conditions. Like his predecessors, he viewed worker well-being as a means to productivity. Less acknowledged, however, is Gilbreth's anticipation of a more participatory management style. In a 1916 paper
he coauthored with his psychologist wife, Lillian, businessmen were urged to consider the welfare of individuals in an organization as well as the organization as a whole. One suggestion was that each employee's progress and goals be discussed in regular meetings with his/her supervisor (Urwick, 1956, p. 140).

Gilbreth sought to alleviate worker fatigue by the elimination of repetitive, unnecessary motions. The problem of fatigue was further investigated in the U.S., Germany, and Great Britain during and after World War I. British researchers did some of the earliest studies of boredom and monotony.

Independently, in France, Henri Fayol was investigating worker morale. The employer, said Fayol, "should have regard, if merely in the interests of the business, for the health, strength, education, morale, and stability of his personnel" (1916, p. 231). Fayol also sought to persuade management to create a positive climate; "real talent," he wrote, "is needed to coordinate effort, encourage keenness, use each man's abilities, and reward each one's merit without arousing possible jealousies and disturbing harmonious relations" (pp. 239-240). Fayol once stated publicly that his beliefs complemented Taylor's rather than being in conflict with them (Urwick, 1956, p. 22).

Fayol's commitment to developing the potential of workers was echoed by Oliver Sheldon, in Great Britain. In a 1923 book, he proposed a manufacturing creed--ethical
standards for the business world (p. 299). His emphasis upon social responsibility, as opposed to profits, marks the transition from the dominant management theory (scientific management) and bureaucratic theories, such as Max Weber's, to the human relations movement. A lesser-known writer and speaker who foresaw this transition was Mary Parker Follett, a social worker. Although never employed as a manager herself, she was influential in convincing businessmen that management problems could be aided by analysis of interpersonal relations. She brought a psychosocial approach to management. Follett believed that leaders need not be born but could be made, through training in understanding human behavior:

An employer used to say, "I have the right to treat my men so and so." . . . Today there are many who are more inclined to say: "If I treat my men so and so, how will they behave? Why will they behave in that way?" (Follett, 1927, p. 312, italics removed)

Follett found subordination of employee to employer offensive. Instead, a skilled leader should enable conflict resolution by helping the concerned parties examine together new ways of achieving their diverse goals (Urwick, 1956, p. 133).

The human relations movement, which was to dominate management theory in the 1930's, reflects an attitudinal change pertinent to the history of job satisfaction. The individuality of workers was finally beginning to be realized (Neff, 1985, p. 49). Elton Mayo's research is considered by most to have led to the shift in emphasis
to workers' social needs. Mayo, an industrial expert, visited the Western Electric Company plant at Hawthorne intending to study the impact of physical factors upon worker performance. His group inadvertently modified the atmosphere in the plant. The employees had apparently felt isolated, even alienated from an efficiency-oriented management. In interviews, they told of the value of acceptance by their peers, their feelings about supervisors, even the informal agreements regarding work output. The workers, previously studied only as individuals, were now recognized as members of informal work groups, influencing one another's values, responding to internal pressures. In addition, the opportunity to have a say about working conditions and the new spirit of teamwork were found to increase productivity even when all the beneficial changes (rest periods, etc.) were removed. "In short, the Hawthorne researchers 'discovered' what Taylor had observed decades before: that workers have minds, and that the appraisals they make of the work situation affect their reactions to it" (Locke, 1976, p. 1299). The humanization of working conditions should not, however, be equated with job satisfaction. Mayo's work suggests that once a desirable innovation in working conditions is accepted, the improvement comes to be seen as normal, rather than continuing to enhance job satisfaction (Fraser, 1983, p. 23).

Both Mayo's methodology and his interpretation of
results have been questioned. Human relations theorists in general have been criticized for simplistically equating high morale with high productivity; actually, it is possible to be happy at work, yet unproductive. In addition, critics say the influence of the work group was emphasized at the expense of one's personal needs for accomplishment, responsibility, etc. (Sergiovanni, Burlingame, Coombs, and Thurston, 1980, p. 55). In reaction to these and other shortcomings, interest in applying behavioral science to industry developed. It was a trend which crossed interdisciplinary lines. The increased efficiency of the scientific management proponents was blended with the emphasis on good interpersonal relations of the human relations people. Some have called this the human resources school. Its followers believe that in addition to social needs, employees seek opportunities for achievement and personal growth. These needs can be integrated successfully with organizational goals (Sergiovanni et al., p. 56).

In 1938, Chester Barnard wrote that true authority, no matter how formalized, exists only when recognized and accepted by employees. Some place Barnard in the behavioral school, while others consider him the founder of the social systems school.

Most histories of management organize the major writers in this fashion. One writer, however, cautions that "these 'schools' represent somewhat of an oversimplification of the actual trends in research, since
the schools themselves overlap in time and all . . . are prevalent today" (Locke, 1976, p. 1300).

The earliest research on job satisfaction simply tried to determine proportions of satisfied and dissatisfied workers. The first known study done on this topic (identified in a review by Hoppock) exemplifies this approach. It had been done in 1912, in Germany. Several thousand miners, textile workers, and metal workers interviewed by Levenstein had indicated taking pleasure in their work in only 25%, 40%, and 43% of the cases, respectively (Hoppock, 1935, p. 226). Other researchers tried to correlate satisfaction with various demographic characteristics. As they sought increasingly to establish causality, the focus shifted from extrinsic factors to intrinsic ones (Carroll, 1973, pp. 2-3), as seen by Hoppock's intensive study in 1935. He attempted to survey every working adult in a Pennsylvania town via door to door interviews. He concluded that job satisfaction was affected by many factors—by achievement needs, as well as by previously studied variables such as working conditions and monotony (Hoppock, 1935). Ultimately, it was Mayo's interpretation of worker attitudes, rather than Hoppock's, which was to shape the research of the two decades which followed (Locke, 1976, p. 1299).

The underlying assumption of Hoppock's and other traditional studies of job satisfaction was that if a certain variable led to satisfaction, its absence would
result in dissatisfaction (Carroll, 1973, p. 4). Not until Herzberg's work would this belief be challenged.

More Recent Theories of Job Satisfaction

Locke offers a useful way of classifying the various theories of job satisfaction, by dividing them into content and causal theories. Content theories try to identify the specific needs to be studied or values attained for a given individual to feel satisfied by work. Locke notes that many prominent theorists use the word "need" when actually, "value" is meant. Basic needs are innate and are shared by all human beings. Values are learned; people differ in what they value. Conflict between one's needs and values affects job satisfaction, as in the case of the dancer who leaves that field due to inability to meet financial obligations. Locke faults both Maslow and Herzberg for failing to make this distinction (1976, p. 1304).

He includes both in the content theorists group; while numerous writers have attempted to identify characteristics which contribute to work satisfaction and dissatisfaction, these two are best known.

Abraham Maslow, a psychologist, had studied motivation for some time before he began applying his principles to the world of work in 1962. While he did not originally devise his theory to account for job satisfaction, numerous theorists have applied it in this fashion. Maslow's
five-tier hierarchy of needs goes from one's most basic needs up to the need for self-actualization. One must have basic needs reasonably satisfied before concentrating on satisfaction of higher-level needs. Maslow believed that once satisfied, a need is no longer a motivator for that person, although that might change; the process is a fluid one. Not as well known is Maslow's acknowledgment that some exceptional individuals seek to satisfy higher level needs even if lower level ones are not adequately met.

Self-actualization was, for Maslow, realization of one's potential, an ideal to be sought but never perfectly reached in an ongoing process. Opportunities to achieve higher level needs must be available to employees, said Maslow, if an organization is to operate well (Rush, 1978, p. 19).

Locke finds this model so difficult to test as to lack firm support in later research. He also says that Maslow has failed to prove that "self-esteem" is a need, and that he does not distinguish between needs and values. Maslow believes that the two most often correspond, but Locke differs with him, citing the example of the teen who takes self-destructive drugs in order to belong. "It is not necessarily what a man needs but what he values most strongly that dominates his thoughts and actions" (Locke, 1976, p. 1309, italics removed).

Another motivational theory of job satisfaction was
developed by Frederick Herzberg. Whereas job satisfaction and dissatisfaction had commonly been seen as polar, Herzberg concluded that different factors (rather than opposites of the same factors) enter into these two states of mind. That is the reason it is called a two-factor theory.

Herzberg's research suggested that the chief factors producing satisfaction, which he termed motivators (or satisfiers), were achievement, recognition, responsibility, growth in skills, promotion, and the work itself. All were related to personal growth, Herzberg said (although some critics differ). Contributing most to dissatisfaction were different factors: company policy, adequacy of management, competency of supervision, interpersonal relations, salary, status, job security, physical working conditions, and job factors affecting one's personal life. These, he labeled hygienes (dissatisfiers). Their significance lies chiefly in their absence; if frustrated, the hygiene factors produce discomfort. If present, they prevent dissatisfaction but do not promote positive satisfaction, according to Herzberg.

Herzberg agreed with Maslow that environmental and maintenance needs must be adequately met for a worker to have meaningful work, but he also thought that industry's past attempts to motivate workers had mistakenly focussed on environmental factors. What was needed, Herzberg thought, was for management to focus on the proven most effective motivators for employees by making jobs challenging (Rush,
In fact, Herzberg has been a prime mover in the movement to redesign jobs to enhance personal growth (Gruneberg, 1979, pp. 30-31).

Locke is quite critical of Herzberg's findings. Significantly, he notes inconsistencies in that theorist's original incident classification system, in which Herzberg confused "the event or condition that causes the employee to feel satisfied or dissatisfied, and the agent (person, organization, or thing) which caused this event or condition to come about" (1976, p. 1311, italics removed). Numerous critics express reservations about the critical incident technique on which Herzberg's original findings are based (Gruneberg, 1979, pp. 13-14; Cox, 1978, pp. 151-152; Carroll, 1973, p. 6). Locke especially questions classification of supervisory practices as a dissatisfier, a point relevant to this research. The present writer concurs.

Two assumptions implicit in Herzberg's theory, the mind-body dichotomy and the tension-reduction view of motivation, are now discredited, according to Locke (1976, p. 1310). Gruneberg (1979, p. 14) reports that King (1970) has identified five different versions of the theory in Herzberg's writings, with replications by others based on various versions. Locke concludes that Herzberg's and other two-factor theories "are indefensible, both logically and empirically" (p. 1318) and Rush terms Herzberg's findings "among the most controversial of all behavioral research theories" (1978, p. 25). Another
limitation of both Maslow and Herzberg is that their theories did not encompass all levels of work society (Fraser, 1983, p. 25).

Causal models (termed process theories by some) "attempt to specify the types or classes of variables (needs, values, expectancies, perceptions, etc.) considered causally relevant, as well as how these variables combine to determine overall job satisfaction" (Locke, 1976, p. 1302). Among causal theorists, there seems to be agreement that an individual's emotional (affective) reactions result from interaction between person and environment, but differences of opinion concerning the specific mental processes involved. For example, one group argues that it is the degree to which the job fulfills an employee's needs that determines satisfaction. In human beings, psychological as well as physical needs must be considered because one's mind represents one's means of survival (Locke, 1976, p. 1303). Some researchers introduce a perceptual aspect, asking subjects to weigh the relative importance of needs before evaluating the extent to which the job meets each (in other words, to impose values).

Chris Argyris seems to fall into this group, although, like Maslow and Herzberg, he appears not to distinguish at times between needs and values. Argyris is best known for his focus on the implications of conflict between the needs of the worker and those of the organization for which he works. He believes that the typical
hierarchical organization ignores, or may even thwart, the social and psychological needs of the individual, particularly the higher-level needs. If the employee perceives that organizational needs are being placed before his own needs, he will become dissatisfied, lose his motivation, perhaps even subvert the needs of the organization. The desire to change on the part of both workers and organizations is the key to increased satisfaction, says Argyris, with employees deeply involved in their jobs (Rush, 1978).

A second group of causal theorists believes "that it is the (perceived) job situation in relation to the individual's values that is the most direct determinant of job satisfaction" (Locke, 1976, p. 1304). Locke is himself part of this group:

All values have two attributes (Rand, 1966): content, or what is wanted or valued; and intensity, or how much it is wanted or valued. An individual's values, ranked as to importance, would represent his value hierarchy. . . . Every emotional response reflects a dual value judgment: the discrepancy (or relation) between what the individual wants (including how much he wants and what he perceives as getting), and the importance of what is wanted . . . to the individual. (Locke, 1976, p. 1304, italics removed)

Locke himself has developed a rather complex, values-based model of job satisfaction.

Douglas McGregor, from the human relations school, should probably be placed with the values theorists. McGregor's intent, widely misunderstood, was not to dictate a "best" style of management (although his personal views are clear). Rather, he urges the professional manager
to identify his own perception of the world and philosophy about the nature of man. He argues that the reluctance to work which characterizes some workers is not innate, but rather develops in response to controlling, rigid management which, by conveying a lack of trust in workers, perpetuates avoidance of responsibility. Flexible managers take a situational view of management style. For example, minimal commitment in a worker suggests little self-direction and consequent need for an authoritarian style. McGregor's recognition of the direct relationship between commitment to organizational objectives and motivation in employees was one of his significant contributions to our understanding of job satisfaction (Rush, 1978, p. 15).

Another theorist in this group is Rensis Likert. Although best known for his work on scaling, Likert developed several concepts related to job satisfaction. He has written about the dynamics of the superior-subordinate relationship and its implications for effective supervision. He is also known for his theory of human-asset accounting. An employee's value at any given time can be computed by measuring his/her productive capacity and customer goodwill. Human assets should be audited periodically, just as are tangible assets (Rush, 1978, p. 35).

Still other causal theorists argue "that an individual's affective reactions depend upon the discrepancy between what his environment offers or what he attains, and what he has adapted to or expects" (Locke, 1976, p. 1303).
Locke believes that most of these writers fail to separate expectancy effects from the effects of values (goals, aspirations). "Expectancy does not replace or supplant value judgments; it simply affects their operation" (p. 1303), in Locke's view.

The theory upon which the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (used in the present study) is based appears to be an expectancy theory. It was developed as part of the Work Adjustment Project begun in 1957 at the University of Minnesota. Loftquist and Dawis believe that an employee holds a set of expectations concerning the work environment which arises from his/her abilities, interests, previous work history, etc. Fulfillment or nonfulfillment of these work expectations produces a set of work attitudes which constitutes that worker's evaluation of his/her work environment, or job satisfaction. This is just one aspect of a larger theory which has to do with job tenure, a theory which has been criticized for failure to account for certain social and psychological conditions (Neff, 1985, p. 121).

Equity theory, not mentioned by Locke, appears to be a refinement and extension of expectancy theory. Researchers using this approach measure how much a worker puts into his/her job vs. how much he/she gets out of it. This ratio is then compared with that worker's perception of the input-outcome ratio of fellow workers. Only when efforts and rewards are seen as reasonably good
in light of those of others does satisfaction occur. Some have further refined this theory by studying the reference groups to which one relates one's own situation (Gruneberg, 1979, p. 21).

In summary, whereas physical hardship was once a major source of satisfaction/dissatisfaction, emphasis has gradually shifted to the psychological rewards from work itself. Feelings about work have changed as work itself has changed.

An Historical Perspective on Stress

Only as most of the Western industrialized world has become free from deprivation and disease have our expectations for our lives been raised. The more rapidly times have changed in our developing society, the more options have been created as privileges have become rights (Lauderdale, 1982, p. 29). Paradoxically, material affluence is producing, rather than the psychological well-being one might expect, increasing signs of stress which many now accept as inevitable (Karasak & Theorell, 1990, p. 1).

True understanding of stress presupposes a grasp of the interaction between mind and body, yet historically, this critical relationship was once unknown. It was the late nineteenth century work of Pasteur (in France) and Virchow (in Germany) which led to a monumental discovery:
the origins of disease. Significantly, writings of that era show little awareness of the impact of a patient's mental state upon his physical health (and vice versa). Doctors studied the body's physical processes while generally ignoring the patient's emotional life or social background. At the turn of the century, a French physiologist, Claude Bernard, concluded "that for an organism to maintain health it must maintain an internal balance" (McLean, 1979, p. 18). Fifty years later, Walter Cannon coined the term homeostasis to describe the process by which balance is restored. He is also valued for his formulation of the "fight or flight" theory. In an emergency, Cannon found, the nervous system and the adrenal glands induce cardiovascular changes which prepare a human being—or an animal—to fight or escape. If the response process is faulty, injury or even loss of life may result. Cannon's observations stimulated considerable research and speculation and an increasing number of physicians began to consider important the individual's social environment and living conditions and emotions. More and more, medical researchers and practitioners began to believe that successful treatment would have to take into account the whole person. (McLean, 1979, p. 19)

Probably the foremost pioneer in this area, Hans Selye, is credited by most with having first popularized the word "stress". In 1936, he used the term biologic stress syndrome to describe a consistent pattern of reactions to stress. After finding insufficient information in the medical literature about such frequent symptoms as nausea, chronic fatigue, and depression, Selye decided
to investigate. He subjected laboratory animals to the challenge of learning difficult tasks, then observed their physical reactions. He concluded that stressors disturb the homeostasis the organism seeks to maintain. The body responds defensively. Physiological reactions vary, depending on the intensity and duration of the stressful stimulus (Selye, 1982, pp. 9-10). Selye labeled this three-phase process the general adaptation syndrome (GAS). His theory, though influential, is essentially a biological one which is now viewed generally as too narrow because it does not account for psychological and social factors. Critics say the use of animals as subjects made Selye's research too far removed from human experience (Rice, 1987, p. 26).

It was a human tragedy which made possible the earliest known study of physiological manifestations of stress in a living person. A young man who had burned his esophagus beyond repair as a boy fed himself through an artificial opening to his stomach for the rest of his life. In the 1940's, he agreed to be studied at New York Hospital by two doctors, Stewart Wolf and Harold Wolff. They repeatedly examined the subject's stomach lining and its secretions after various stressful incidents and also, following reassurance. While the link between emotional disturbances and digestive difficulty had long been recognized, the specific nature of the process had, until then, been marked by speculation. This unique opportunity for direct
observation "inaugurated the scientific study of psychosomatic medicine" (McLean, 1979, p. 22). Later, from studies of the flow of blood, these same doctors discovered that "when we anticipate physical trauma that does not materialize, we still react--often with physical symptoms" (McLean, p. 23). This well-established fact highlights the perceptual nature of stress and is critical to full understanding of the phenomenon.

During the same time period, Marx, Weber, and Durkheim wrote extensively about "alienation". Later sociologists have preferred the word "strain" to signify social disruption, analogous to the biological view of stress as disturbance to one's body (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984, p. 4).

Concern over the victims of World War II occasioned further examination of reactions to human life experiences. Grinker and Spiegel (1945) studied reactions of combat fliers to the trauma of battle. Their clinical methods laid the groundwork for later study of psychosomatic reactions to occupational stressors (McLean, 1979, p. 26). The impact of bombing on civilian morale and functioning, another stress of war, was also investigated. Bettelheim studied stresses in another extreme situation, concentration camps.

After the War, other researchers investigated responses to such natural disasters as fires and floods. Both wartime and postwar work suggested that one's life experiences
prior to the trauma and one's personality characteristics (one's vulnerability) combine to affect one's reaction to stress. Also uncovered was the long-lasting impact of trauma on the person's functioning.

In the early 1960's, research "broadened somewhat to include catastrophes which arise sooner or later in almost everyone's life--divorce, death in the family, loss of a job" (Karasek & Theorell, 1990, p. 85). Other studies examined impaired morale, as well as conditions under which skilled performance deteriorates, in a variety of settings (Holroyd and Lazarus, 1982, p. 21). The predictability and impact of significant life events was also investigated. Research about the immune response gathered momentum and a new field, psychoimmunology, emerged (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984, p. 89). Writers also explored the implications of adult transitions. In the last few decades, interest in the causes, manifestations, and prevention of stress has snowballed.

What is stress?

The popularity of the word "stress" is partially reflective of the high value which Americans now attach to "quality of life". People are coming to recognize the implications of life-style and the dangers of self-destructive ways of coping with our harried world. Much confusion as to the meaning of stress is seen, not
only in conversation, but even in the voluminous literature on the topic. The term is used interchangably with such words as distress, strain, anxiety, and pressure. Consensus has not been achieved and communication across disciplines has been a problem. Some have even suggested abandoning the term.

The term "stress" sometimes refers to an event or stimulus external to the individual which triggers arousal, but more recently, writers choose the word "stressor" to signify this sort of external demand. A stressor may be acute or chronic, internal or external. Chronic stressors are long-lasting and repetitive; one example would be job insecurity. Acute stressors have a specific onset time, occur infrequently, and are unlikely to recur (Barling, 1990, pp. 14-15). Being fired would be one instance.

The second meaning was the one used by Selye (see above, pp. 22-23) to describe the physical arousal of the body in response to the demands of an environmental stimulus. The neural, glandular, and hormonal body systems react in predictable ways; one source called this type **systemic stress** (Monat & Lazarus, 1991, p. 2).

The psychological meaning of stress is the individual's cognitive appraisal of an external situation, resulting in his/her subjective response of arousal. Cognitive appraisal is the evaluative "process of categorizing an encounter . . . with respect to its significance" (Lazarus...
In this view, the focus is one's emotional rather than physiological response. A stressor does not automatically produce a stress symptom (Sweetland, 1979, p. 1). One's perception of a given stressor is affected by previous life experiences and has a different personal meaning for each individual. This perception might be distorted or realistic and the associated behaviors, appropriate or suggestive of heightened emotions. A given stressor may later be reappraised in the light of new information. This cognitive meaning of stress is really not incompatible with the physiological responses discussed above. Rather, the difference appears to be one of emphasis. In the opinion of Kaminoff and Proshansky, "stress can and does occur without awareness of discomfort, as well as with such awareness" (1982, p. 380). By this is probably meant, "conscious awareness".

Other theorists have proposed interactive definitions based on models which treat stress as a dynamic transaction between a person and his/her environment, such as the one by Blase: "Stress is an individual, perceptual phenomenon which results from a comparison between perceived environmental demands and the individual's ability to cope with such demands" (1984, p. 174). Cox effectively combines the interactive conceptualization with the perceptual one: Stress occurs when an imbalance arises between a perceived internal or external demand and one's perception (cognitive appraisal) of one's own capacity.
to meet that demand. The stress response, says Cox, is not the end stage, but rather is one's methods of coping (affective, cognitive, physiological, and behavioral). The actual and perceived consequences of those responses, if inappropriate and/or ineffective, may even prolong or increase the stress (Cox, 1978, pp. 18-20).

A lesser-used meaning is social stress, disruption of a social system or organization (Monat and Lazarus, 1991, p. 2). Finally, Lazarus and others have proposed that stress be used as the umbrella term for this area of study.

As mentioned above, "stress" is sometimes used when another word is meant. One is "distress", or negative stress. Another is "strain", the psychological and physiological "wear and tear" one experiences when resisting pressure (Rice, 1987, p. 21). Although Rice and Selye both say that strain occurs whether a stressor is pleasant or unpleasant, it is doubtful whether strain is ever construed as positive.

Stress and "anxiety" are difficult to distinguish except to say that the former may be positive or negative (see below) and the latter is always uncomfortable, associated with worry, uncertainty and helplessness. Anxiety, like stress, may be associated with physiological symptoms.

Most think of stress as a negative experience, yet it need not be so. Selye was the first to propose a way
to differentiate the two states of mind. Distress is unpleasant, even damaging stress, and is to be avoided if possible. "Eustress", on the other hand, signifies "the pleasant stress of fulfillment" (Selye, 1991, p. 33). Eustress is a desirable state characterized by heightened awareness, increased mental alertness, and enhanced motivation. It may lead to superior performance and seems to this writer to be related to Maslow's self-actualization. "People perform at their best when there is a least a moderate degree of pressure. Too little stress is just as bad as too much" (Rice, 1987, p. 19).

Occupational Stress

Accurate quantitative assessment of the size and scope of the work stress problem is an impossibility, for several reasons. Not only does the definition vary from study to study, but the manifestations of job stress are multicausal. Both the physical and the emotional symptomatology must be seen in light of risk factors other than stress (e.g., personal habits, genetic factors, etc.). This accounts, in part, for the difficulty accurately measuring occupational stress.

Equally difficult to calculate are the costs. The lost productivity due to alcohol abuse alone must be staggering. In addition to the direct costs, there are the indirect ones, such as the hiring/training of an employee
to replace one lost to job stress. These costs can even be intangible, as in stifled creativity or impaired ability to work well as part of a team.

The substantial expenditure of funds to reduce employee stress suggests that many influential business owners, managers, and so forth, believe that job stress negatively impacts productivity; they are apparently convinced that the benefits of their programs outweigh the costs. This is not to say that some employers are not motivated by humanitarian concerns. The social responsibility of organizations to care for their workers can be traced back to some early writers on management, such as Owen.

The "overwhelming numbers of person-oriented cures" (Karasek & Theorell, 1990, p. 7) such as relaxation therapy represent "victim-blaming" to some writers on stress, who believe organizational as well as personal solutions should be sought. On both fronts, the focus seems to be shifting from cure to prevention.

Like stress itself, occupational stress can be broadly defined as a field of study or more narrowly viewed. Consistent with the emphasis here upon appraisal, job stress is defined as "work demands that exceed the worker's ability to cope" (Rice, 1987, p. 208). It is judged, not by others, but by one's own perception of a given event or situation.

What are the symptoms of work stress? The negative personal outcomes may be classified as psychological,
physical, and behavioral (Rice, 1987, p. 209). Psychological
symptoms include intense feelings such as anxiety, tension,
irritability, frustration, and resentment, or suppression
of feelings. Withdrawal, depression, isolation, alienation,
and lowered self-esteem may be experienced. Failed efforts
to correct the situation may produce helplessness and
hopelessness. Boredom, mental fatigue, confusion and
inability to concentrate sometimes occur. These symptoms
not only vary from person to person but may emerge at
different stages.

The physical symptoms most reliably established by
research include high blood pressure and cardiovascular
disease, gastrointestinal disorders, sleep disturbances
and physical fatigue, even bodily injuries. Less clearly
linked to work stress are such symptoms as headaches and
respiratory problems. Some employees bring physical problems
to the job, where they are aggravated by stress. Others
develop symptoms long afterward, perhaps in response to
unsafe conditions such as poorly maintained machines or
inadequate ventilation.

Some of the consequences of occupational stress are
behavioral. They include lowered performance and
productivity, procrastination and avoidance of
responsibility, tardiness and poor attendance, excessive
risk-taking and sabotage, vandalism, and stealing. Increased
use of food, cigarettes, alcohol, or drugs may be seen,
as well as loss of appetite. Suicide is, in some cases,
the result of occupational stress. Work stress may contribute to already existing problems, especially in the case of drug or alcohol abuse. Additionally, the symptoms listed above, with certain exceptions, may be either transitory or chronic.

Easily overlooked, due to the negative connotation of the phrase, is the fact that occupational stress, like stress in general, has its positive side. "One person's stressor seems to be another person's stimulus" (McLean, 1979, p. 15). Eustress, or positive stress, is associated with greater health and fitness as well as personal growth, all valuable attributes at work. One's goal should not be to eliminate stress, but "to control it so that an optimal level of arousal is present" (Rice, 1987, p. 19, italics removed). The inverted-U hypothesis states "that productivity improves with increasing stress, up to a point, after which it declines as stress becomes more than optimal. Hence, either too little or too much stress can result in decreased productivity" (Sweetland, 1979, p. 2). Optimal stress varies not only from individual to individual, but also according to task difficulty. "Stress enhances performance best when employees cope successfully with stressful circumstances" (Zaccaro & Riley, 1987, p. 7). Therefore, we need to monitor ourselves as we progress through our workdays (Lyall, 1989, p. 29). It is probably very common to have mixed feelings of eustress and distress about one's job.
A given facet of work may be a motivator for one employee and for another, a stressor. Intrinsic factors are characteristics of the job itself, such as task variety. Extrinsic factors are such things as pay, opportunities for promotion, and fringe benefits. So much research has focused upon factors in various work settings that the discussion which follows will be confined to aspects of professional work, with emphasis on the helping professions.

Occupational Stress in Helpers

What are some of the sources of stress in helping professionals which have emerged from previous research? Chronic work overload, a classic stressor, may be of two sorts:

When employees perceive that they have too much work to do, too many different things to do, or insufficient time in which to complete assigned work, a condition of quantitative overload exists. Qualitative overload, on the other hand, occurs when employees feel that they lack the ability to complete their jobs or that performance standards are too high, regardless of how much time they have. (Matteson & Ivancevich, 1987, p. 45)

Work underload, when a job lacks challenge and fails to sustain a worker's interest, is not common in the helping professions.

Job design and job complexity have to do with the nature of the job itself. Complex work requires thought and independent judgment. Complexity provides meaning,
so it correlates strongly with job satisfaction (Kohn, 1980, pp. 197-198). The redesign of those tasks most valued by a given employee can lessen stress and thereby increase performance (Rice, 1987, p. 217).

The relationship between styles of leadership of supervisors and job satisfaction in employees is of particular interest because it relates to this study. A supervisor's importance lies in such areas as the quality of day-to-day contact and technical knowledge. The prominent management theorists discussed above have written extensively about how various supervision styles impact on worker morale and performance. Several situational and contingency models and trait theories have been developed; some are considered classics. "What is lacking in the numerous explanations of leadership are guidelines concerning the linkage between leader behavior and stress" (Matteson & Ivancevich, 1987, p. 50).

Another work aspect connected with supervisory and collegial relationships is feedback about one's performance. As children, most of us received much feedback from parents and other significant adults. Research has established that we need to know how we are doing at work--not only how our work is viewed, but also, what the future holds. This information is most effective when presented in a manner which is participative rather than authoritarian (Matteson & Ivanevich, 1987, pp. 49-50).

The perception that one's career is not meeting one's
own expectations is stressful. This may occur if an employee believes promotion progress is inadequate, the job is insecure, or skills are becoming obsolescent. The belief that one's ability is being underutilized has also been identified as a stressor. Chronic job dissatisfaction may even result in "dropping out" or changing careers. This disillusionment has been found most prevalent at midlife (Matteson & Ivanevich, 1987, p. 47). Even overpromotion can be a source of stress; one may perceive tasks and decisions as beyond one's own level of ability.

The structure of an organization affects the climate and morale of the workers. "Like individuals, organizations have distinct personalities" (Matteson & Ivanevich, 1987, p. 48). One organization may be excessively politicized and marked by power struggles. Another may be so rigid and authoritarian that employees feel powerless.

Since work is not static, but ever-changing, a final stressor is a change at work which has a personal impact on the worker. "The more work changes, the more it is seen as stressful" (McLean, 1979, p. 15).

Dealing with Stress

All of those potential stressors and more await those who go to work. Individual reactions to these facets of work vary, just as do human beings. One theme found in the stress literature is control, or lack of control,
which is directly connected with an individual's perceptions of coping efficacy (Blase, 1986, p. 22). There has been much written about learned coping skills. "It is fair to state that interest in coping far exceeds our knowledge about it" (Pearlin, 1980, p. 184). Numerous studies have examined such variables as locus of control, hardiness, and flexibility. Most recent research suggests that genetic factors play a role in one's handling of job stress. This is because one's affective disposition affects one's appraisal of situations, including work. Data from a very interesting study of job satisfaction in identical twins raised apart supported this notion (Arvey, Abraham, Bouchard, and Segal, 1989). Coping is also influenced by other personal factors, such as one's self-image and one's access to social supports. Some writers refer to those sorts of variables as mediators, because they mediate between the stressor and the reaction. Identification and assessment of mediating variables have increasingly occupied the interest of researchers who seek solutions to the problem of stress.

Stress is an inevitable part of the work day of most helpers. However, there is a special type of stress which has been identified and studied only in the last few decades. Most often associated with people in the helping professions, it is known as burnout, and it is to a discussion of this special type of debilitating stress that we now turn.
Development of the Concept of Burnout

In 1961, Graham Greene wrote a novel about a despondent, disillusioned man, entitled, A Burnt-Out Case. That phrase came to be used during the 1960's to describe the effects of chronic drug abuse. Not until 1973 did "burnout" begin to take on the meaning we now associate with it. That year, a psychologist named Herbert Freudenberger used the term to describe the exhaustion experienced by overtaxed volunteers (of which he was one) in a free drug abuse clinic. Sixteen years later, writing of his experience, he spoke of having been ridiculed or dismissed (Freudenberger, 1989, p. 6) for his idealized view of helper as tragic hero (Maslach, 1982, p. 7).

The same year that Freudenberger first described this syndrome in a professional journal, Christina Maslach presented a paper which described how stress experienced by professionals, especially work overload, sometimes led to dehumanized treatment of their clients (Maslach, 1982, p. 7). Maslach and her colleague, Ayala Pines, approached burnout from a social-psychological perspective, collecting data on several thousand workers in various human service jobs via questionnaires and interviews:

They identified three central dimensions of burnout: emotional exhaustion (feeling drained, used up), depersonalization (feeling "hardened" emotionally, treating recipients as if they were impersonal objects), and lack of personal accomplishment (feeling ineffective and inadequate). The most widely used measure in the field, the Maslach Burnout Inventory ... assesses these three factors in measuring burnout in individuals (Farber, 1991,
This is the instrument which was chosen to assess burnout in the present study.

Freudenberger's original description of burnout was introspective and observational, based only on a few cases in one setting (although he has since done a series of studies). Intensified work in response to feelings of frustration was reported. The findings of Maslach and Pines, by contrast, were grounded in extensive research (which has also continued). They concur with Freudenberger that burnout is most likely to afflict those who begin their careers idealistically. However, their conclusions suggest that the response to burnout which he described (to work even harder) may have been atypical, and that worker withdrawal and detachment are much more likely. In addition, Maslach and Pines found burnout to correlate significantly with alcoholism, hopelessness, and potential for suicide. It is a harsher portrait of the burned out professional than the one painted by Freudenberger (Farber, 1991, pp. 12-14).

Further efforts to identify factors in the work environment which correlate with burnout were made by Cary Cherniss, who studied professionals in public institutions from an organizational perspective. Cherniss concluded that "in large, bureaucratic public institutions, conflicts between administration and staff are almost inevitable and professional staff members must learn to
manipulate the system in order to function effectively" (Farber, 1991, p. 15).

Historically, the concept of burnout has been marked by misunderstanding. For years, it was not recognized by some as a legitimate problem and was thought by others unacceptable to discuss. Burnout has been viewed as a character flaw which leads, in its last stages, to a "nervous breakdown". The focus of blame, then, has been the victim, much like what has occurred with stress (Venega & Spradley, 1981, p. 24).

Venega and Spradley define job burnout as "a debilitating psychological condition brought about by unrelieved work stress . . ." (1981, p. 6, italics removed). Various other theorists have defined the term according to its stages, its symptoms, and so forth. Analogous to stress, some writers refer to perceived disparity between one's expectations and actual achievement. Theorists do seem to agree on a few points: that burnout is a process, that it is internal (psychological), and that it is a negative experience. It is both attitudinal and behavioral, marked by a change in motivation. As Cherniss says, "one no longer lives to work but works only to live" (1980b, p. 16).

It is important to point out that job stress does not inevitably lead to burnout. Cherniss believes that a certain type of defensive coping is what characterizes burned out workers (1980b, p. 20). Maslach, however,
differs. Her research suggests that because individuals with such varied backgrounds and personalities experience burnout, situational sources of stress must be primary (1982, p. 9). Still others emphasize the absence of positive motivators such as autonomy and organizational supports (Golembiewski & Munzenrider, 1988, pp. 14-15).

What symptoms signal that burnout is occurring? Like stress, burnout is experienced differently by each employee. The most universally shared symptom is depleted energy, not just while at work, but also at home. It is typical for a sufferer to complain of being as tired upon awakening as the night before. Some can no longer face going to work.

Lowered resistance to illness, seen in individuals with occupational stress, is even more pronounced in burned out workers. Dr. Walter Menninger, the psychiatrist, even suspects a meaningful (that is, symbolic) relationship between one's particular symptom and one's emotional discomfort—for example, a bad back in a person who feels overloaded (Venega & Spradley, 1981, p. 8). The failure of many doctors to spot burnout in their patients occurs because burnout involves, not so much the onset of a disease as a gradual loss of health (Venega & Spradley, p. 11).

As burnout progresses, increased dissatisfaction and pessimism about the future develops in people who once enjoyed their work. This negativism may be expressed, not only in relationships with co-workers, but in one's
personal life. Paranoid ideation, even thoughts of suicide, is sometimes seen.

Procrastination, in the form of longer breaks or useless "busywork", may develop. Tasks which require immediate attention are put off even when consequences may be significant. Mistakes are more frequent. "Many observers see a direct link between job burnout and our national decline in worker productivity" (Venega & Spradley, 1981, p. 10).

Absenteeism also hampers productivity. Missed work is due for some, to reluctance to return to a stressful environment and for others, to the various stress-related illnesses discussed above. Co-workers suffer also, because they have to take up the slack.

A cultural taboo makes burned out employees reluctant to acknowledge failure in work, since it is such an important part of their lives. Seeing fellow-workers who are exposed to similar work pressures appearing energetic and happy creates a sense of isolation; sufferers have no way to discover whether any colleagues share their symptoms (Venega & Spradley, 1981, pp. 10-11). Many experience guilt.

While often seen as a state of mind, burnout is also a process. Writers differ as to whether it develops erratically or in stages (from three to five in number). Some depict burnout as gradual and so subtle as to be undetectable to others, while others consider it infectious (Sullivan, 1989, p. 84).
Those theorists who believe that commitment to one's work is a precondition for burnout (and not all do) consider that idealistic period at the beginning of one's career to be the potential first stage of burnout. It is a critical stage, characterized by a high energy level and a strong desire to succeed, sometimes accompanied by unrealistic ideas. If expectations are modified, strategies for dealing with stress are sound, if we feel "matched" with our jobs and energy is replenished, we may sustain this high level of job satisfaction for the rest of our lives, avoiding burnout.

If not, mental and physical fatigue begin, enthusiasm for work abates, and job satisfaction decreases. Symptoms (sleep disturbances, for instance) begin but may not be recognized for what they are: a warning. One begins to question the value of the job as well as one's own effectiveness. Psychological and/or physical symptoms become more pronounced. Exhaustion becomes chronic, irritability changes to anger, disappointment turns to depression. At this point, people who are burning out begin to realize that something is happening to them and might consult a doctor. Unfortunately, neither patient nor doctor may recognize the true nature of the problem.

Several risk factors, operating simultaneously, may speed the burnout process. Symptoms reach an acute stage, increasing in number, intensity, and duration. Some become obsessed with their work problems. Sufferers, feeling
trapped, seek an escape route, such as quitting the job, bankruptcy, divorce, hospitalization, skid row—even suicide. The consequences can be devastating.

Intervention can occur at any time in the burnout process and the earlier, the better. Help may be personal in nature, organizational, or both. This can, at best, be a time when resourceful ways of breaking the cycle, such as returning to school, are found. For others, that time never comes.

Stress and Burnout in the Helping Professions

As the functions formerly provided by the family and the community have gradually been assumed by public and private institutions, the social and economic impact of the professionals working for those institutions has increased. The expansion of the roles of human services providers has led to growing public concern, even criticism, along with calls for accountability (Cherniss, 1980a, pp. 2-3). The stress and potential for burnout faced by the helping professions in general, and social work in particular, have grown as a consequence.

It was in the health and social services fields that burnout was first investigated. Since helping others involves, not only extensive contact with, but a responsibility towards other people, these careers are by nature stressful. Maslach's findings suggest that
"What is unique about burnout is that the stress arises from the social interaction between helper and recipient (1982, p. 3, italics removed). Stress and burnout affect blue collar workers, housewives, and many other groups in somewhat different ways, but that is outside the scope of this discussion.

In one longitudinal study, graduates of various professional schools spoke of having been responsible only for themselves as students. Now, starting their first jobs, they realized that their words and actions would impact the well-being of vulnerable people who depended upon them. Consequently, the most significant contributor to stress for these novices was the issue of competence. Every single one expressed personal doubts about adequate performance (Cherniss, 1980a, p. 21). "Professionals" are expected, both by themselves and others, to be competent, yet they typically begin their careers by discovering that they still have much to learn. Several studies have found that what happens in the first few years after training is completed is critical; it is the time of greatest attitudinal and behavioral change, setting the stage for later adjustment to work. Yet the new arrivals may feel not only inadequate, but lonely. Accustomed to the camaraderie of student life, they enter the world of work expecting to find colleagues supportive. They may even be seeking mentors. Some are fortunate, but others encounter competition, even conflict, for which they are not prepared.
Idealism as a precursor to burnout may pertain more to the helping professions than to some other lines of work. Ayala Pines has written, "the root cause of burnout lies in our existential need to believe that our lives are meaningful, that the things we do are useful, important, even 'heroic'" (Pines & Eronson, 1988, p. 11). She continues by saying that while we once dealt with the realization of our mortality with religion, it no longer serves for most; many now turn to work to provide meaning in our lives. Helping professionals tend to be altruistic individuals, and heightened expectations of work play a role in setting people up for burnout.

Pines and Eronson believe that individuals who enter a given profession with a cynical attitude are unlikely to burn out; although they are often unhappy and may be dissatisfied with work, that is not the same thing as being burned out (1988, p. 34).

The gradual loss of idealism and naivete and the development of some detachment from clients' lives is actually useful if these changes occur in moderation. It is when cynicism and detachment become harmful to helper and client that burnout has occurred (Cherniss, 1980a, p. 6). Maslach has pointed out that the focus of discussion in therapy is the problems of the client, not his/her strengths. When the client experiences good times, the appointments cease, so "the very structure of the helping relationship promotes and maintains a negative view of
people" (Maslach, 1982, p. 18). It is no wonder that some cynical therapists adopt a negative self-image of a cold, uncaring person, with associated depression. "One of the great costs of burnout is the diminution of the effective service of the very best people in a given profession" (Pines & Eronson, 1988, p. 11), those who began their careers with great enthusiasm and energy. Everyone loses--the helpers and the helped.

Failure to develop proper perspective towards one's work can lead one to see one's new profession as a calling rather than a job and to try to be everything to everyone. Personal time may be devoted to clients rather than to leisure which permits one to "recharge". There are several possible reasons for that. One is overcompensation for perceived lack of competency. A related problem is an unconscious need to "fix" an unresolved issue from childhood, such as perceived inability to "cure" an alcoholic parent. The guilt which accompanies the loss of idealism leads helpers to "put on a good show" and contributes to the sense of isolation felt by colleagues fooled by them.

Finally, "there is still too much admiration for those who work excessively long days, neglect personal relationships, and gamble with their health" (Lyall, 1989, p. 31). That sort of recognition serves to reinforce the pattern and can create a workaholic.

What work factors contribute most to job satisfaction in human services workers? Empirical research has so
far identified seven. They are utilization of skills (task variety), having an impact on others (task significance), completion of identifiable work (task identity), autonomy, feedback, opportunity to learn new skills, and participation in important decisions. Note that all are intrinsic factors (Eisenstat & Felner, 1983, pp. 145-146).

Special Problems of Social Workers

This study sought to learn more about urban school social workers. Ironically, "social workers get paid to deal with stress--other people's problems" (Eaton, 1980, p. 167). What factors specific to the field of social work affect job satisfaction, stress, and burnout?

To begin with, unlike professions in which one "wins" or "loses", such as trial law or surgery, determination of success following intervention is relatively tenuous in social work. Most difficulties encountered by social workers do not result in a dramatic "cure" (Eaton, 1980, p. 172). Many of the problems are chronic; some are insoluble. Workers are asked to take responsibility for solving all sorts of dilemmas, yet rarely have adequate power or means to do so:

They are faced with the proposition of separating their efforts from all of the other influences on the client in order to judge outcome-based services. An alternative to an outcome focus in evaluating job success requires a shift in emphasis from the effects of one's work efforts to the quality of one's
work efforts. Specifically, defining job success based on . . . one's professional skills rather than on the outcome . . . is realistic. (Wessells, 1989, p. 15)

Skill enhancement is also reasonably within one's personal control. Related to the difficulty defining success is another problem--lack of closure. There is always something more which can be done to help someone.

Many of the clients seen in a variety of settings lack social and personal skills. They may be troubled, uncooperative, even seriously disturbed. The children and adults with whom social work interns work are selected by field instructors, but once on the job, novices are not prepared for the lack of motivation and appreciation they encounter, while simultaneously facing a higher caseload. Repressing negative feelings towards individuals who provoke hostility can be stressful, even for experienced workers. In general, those who are insufficiently in touch with their own personal issues tend to overreact to situations which trigger "unfinished business".

Not all individuals benefit from social work intervention. Some do not respond, yet new workers may expend considerable energy continuing the process, on the assumption that their own inadequacy is to blame. In such situations, guidance from a supervisor can make a difference (Edelwich & Brodsky, 1980, pp. 19-20).

Other clients become overly dependent upon their therapists. While it is probably true that helpers have a need to be needed, they do not want to be totally
responsible (Edelwich & Brodsky, 1980, p. 35). A social worker whose own unmet dependency needs have remained unresolved will experience further disillusionment. For some, seeking the help of a social worker carries a stigma, but then, every profession has to deal with its own myths.

Social Workers do not rely, like dentistry, on tools which can be replaced. Their principal instruments are themselves, the relationships they form with clients, their own diagnostic skills and treatment techniques (Eaton, 1980, p. 172). Rather than providing answers for those seeking help, therapists enable people to find their own answers. Workers under stress or suffering burnout are themselves "tools" in need of repair.

There are many social workers who successfully problem-solve, eventually coming to terms with the limitations of their positions. While emotional stability is extremely important for social workers, we should not lose sight of the contributions to stress and burnout inherent in this field. Does the experience of direct service to clients inevitably produce problems? No, say Pines and Eronson: "Professionals in the human services often report that in spite of the tremendous emotional stress involved in client contact, this is not what causes their burnout, because that contact is also the most significant aspect of their work" (1988, p. 19). Those who choose these professions almost invariably enjoy being with people and place a high value on their relationships.
The Work Environment: Schools

Too often, occupational psychologists and others have dealt "exclusively with how people perceive their work while neglecting the actual conditions under which that work is performed" (Kohn, 1980, p. 194). "Environment" is used here in its fullest sense to signify, not only the physical surroundings, but social and organizational considerations as well.

It is ironic that as the mission of schools in the community has expanded beyond education, public confidence in public education has eroded, as has the status accorded teachers. The true value our society places upon educating its future citizens is graphically revealed by the predictable financial shortfall faced yearly by large urban school districts such as Chicago's. It is not only the children who are victims of this sorry state of affairs--it is also the staff. Deterioration of teachers' morale in recent years has been well-established and also affects those in supportive roles, such as pupil services staff.

Like any other work place, a school can be viewed as a subculture which makes its own demands upon its participants. Certain formal rules and informal traditions exist. Social roles set the appropriate boundries of behaviors. "Schools are perceived by many people both inside and outside of the teaching field as bureaucratic organizations which attach more importance to the enforcement
of rules than to the welfare of teachers and students" (Seyfarth & Bost, 1986, p. 1). In one classic study of schools, Hoy (1983) found a positive relationship between bureaucratic controls in schools and feelings of powerlessness in staff (Calabrese & Anderson, 1986, p. 32). Such powerlessness is in direct conflict with the high level of autonomy which teachers, social workers, and others expect as professionals (Bacharach & Bauer, 1986, p. 10). Although more and more principals are adopting a participatory rather than authoritarian management style, they, too, feel powerless when confronted with the many limitations of a vast bureaucracy.

Whenever one's work space is in a different locale than one's home, the setting offers limited privacy (Neff, 1985, p. 127). Public schools are especially "public", in that the consumers of the service provided (children, parents, and interested members of the community) have ready access to the site. The implementation of school reform in Chicago has rendered the staffs of schools, from the principal down, more accountable to the community than ever before.

School social workers practicing in these settings are doubly constrained. First, school reform in Chicago has blurred the staff/community boundaries. Social workers, who place a high value upon assurance of confidentiality to clients, may have community residents bearing witness to such situations as the arrival of a child abuse
investigator. A staff member may live on the same block, even be close friends with, the parents of the child to be seen. Secondly, overcrowded schools have a shortage of space. Workers share quarters with colleagues and the stories of locations for interviews are legend (bathrooms, stairwells, etc.). Privacy, more readily available in suburban districts, is a perpetual problem for most Chicago social workers. Studies have shown that individuals need privacy to reflect, to plan, to rehearse future actions, to interview—all behaviors necessary at work (Kaminoff & Proshansky, 1982, pp. 381-382). Environmental stimuli perceived as excessive by a worker can also be a stressor, depending upon one's personal ability to tune it out and/or negotiate for less noise (Kaminoff & Proshansky, p. 386).

The Chicago public schools are not particularly safe places to be, based upon objective criteria such as reports of crime in the schools. Recently, the Mayor of Chicago purchased metal detectors and offered them to the high schools so weapons could be confiscated. "Environmental security refers to the extent to which the individual feels safe in his physical setting, free of anxiety and fear of events, people, or changes that might harm him" (Kaminoff & Proshansky, 1982, p. 382).

Due to the financial constraints of the Chicago system, wide variations in level of comfort are seen from school to school. Some schools, built before the turn of the
century, have been rehabilitated; others await such fundamental repairs as replacement windows, so children and staff are cold. Newer schools tend to provide a higher level of comfort. Although the shortage of supply money is not as big a problem for school social workers as for teachers, working under such constraints affects the morale of the school staff. Gupta (1981) terms insufficient materials, information and equipment to do one's job properly "role insufficiency" (Eskridge & Coker, 1985, p. 388).

Schools are quite time-bound, with repeated lateness unacceptable due to the set arrival time of the students. That can be a stressor for some staff. Another sort of boundary dilemma is that like teachers, school social workers sometimes need to take paperwork home to complete. The necessity of doing so blurs home/work boundaries and cuts into leisure time, increasing the potential for burnout.

A school is, for social workers, a "secondary setting". School social workers are not usually educators, and their tasks are not directly connected to the mission of the school; unlike teachers, workers are not indispensable. They arrive from settings other than schools (or from graduate school) to find that their role is less clearly defined than that of a teacher and less well understood by staff and administration. Role overlap with the school psychologist and the guidance counselor is another problem workers encounter.

One dilemma workers face in Chicago is receiving
their yearly ratings from, not senior social workers, but educators: the principals they serve. Role ambiguity, even conflict, can be generated by the gap between a principal's expectations and the worker's personal conception of a job well done. School social workers have a clear responsibility to help educators better understand the philosophy and body of knowledge which guide their judgments.

Even the language of the trade differs from that of the "hosts". It is likely that social workers gradually abandon their lingo as they become acculturated to the school setting, reverting to it at conferences and so forth. An open line of communication with all members of the school staff, including the janitor and cook, makes it possible to obtain feedback about a child or intervene in concrete ways (Demsch & Kim, 1970, p. 126). Regrettably, it is not always possible for a worker to become fully integrated into the school faculty, since he/she is not there daily and must miss faculty meetings, events, etc. That dilemma can add to one's sense of isolation. In most elementary schools, the social worker is a "minority of one", unable to do case consultation with or seek support from another worker in the school (Demsch & Kim, 1970). A telephone call to a fellow-worker or an administrator will have to do, and the recipient may also be harried.

Competing interests and disagreement over what constitutes an appropriate education have resulted in a surge of legislation on both state and federal levels,
supplemented by case law (Sergiovanni et al., pp. 101, 103). Apart from the laws which impact on the entire school staff, such as the one regulating access to records, legislation also governs the specific work of school social workers. Central to their work is The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, IDEA (formerly, P. L. 94-142) which, in the mid-1970's, led school social workers nationwide into mandatory participation in assessment of children with learning problems. This law not only involved workers more than ever before in evaluation, but also mandated their functioning on interdisciplinary teams, lessening their autonomy. Radin, commenting on this change, points out that "working with other disciplines is more time-consuming and sometimes more stressful than working alone" (1989, p. 218). School social workers in Illinois, like teachers and administrators, are also mandated reporters of suspected neglect and abuse, a vital task but clearly a stressor. Role conflict results from having to divulge information obtained in the context of a therapeutic relationship, even when it is in the best interests of the child. Nationally, workers are becoming increasingly more involved in the implementation of inclusion, the integration of children having special needs with their same-aged peers.

School social workers continue to fulfill their original mission by intervening in problems which may hinder the ability of students to learn, but they have found a myriad
of ways to do it. "Ultimately, of course, validation of the school social work role rests on its degree of fit with the perceived purposes of the school system" (Constable & Montgomery, 1985, p. 247).
CHAPTER TWO
REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE: RELEVANT STUDIES

School Social Workers View Their Own Work

In reviewing the literature, no study was found which corresponded to the one reported below. There is, however, ample research which incorporates one or more of the theoretical concepts or variables discussed in the previous chapter.

Numerous researchers have sought to learn how school social workers view their own work. Typically, workers were asked what tasks comprise their jobs, then gave their opinion of the relative importance of each. Two examples will suffice. Costin (1969) identified nine factors via factor analysis, creating a task inventory which later studies have utilized. School social workers in her nationwide study \((N=254)\) identified and rated 107 tasks. At that time, casework services to children and parents were considered highest priority. Almost two decades later, Fjellberg (1987) studied Illinois school social workers in suburban Cook County. She found that workers who served elementary schools assigned different priorities to activities than did high school workers, attributing only moderate importance to social developmental studies for case study evaluations. In these and other task studies, the workers were asked about the content of their workday
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but not about their personal appraisals of the value of that work. Whether they found their jobs satisfying or stressful was not investigated.

Several researchers have compared the perception of social work roles/tasks by various school staff with that of workers themselves. This approach has relevance to the present study because it can be stressful for one's actions and objectives as a social worker not to be well understood or accepted.

Incongruence between role conception (i.e., the individual actor's perception of the appropriateness and necessity of particular behaviors) and role expectation (i.e., the perception of such behaviors by significant others in the actor's environment) eventuates in the phenomenon of role strain. (Flynn, 1976, p. 423)

Flynn (1976) investigated congruence in perception of social work tasks between pupil services workers and other personnel (principals, instructional specialists, and teachers; N=174) in Michigan. He utilized Costin's (1969) scale. While most respondents agreed that the tasks they thought most important were those actually carried out, the relative importance assigned to them varied substantially by professional group. For example, the principals placed highest priority on being kept informed of case-problem developments, whereas the social workers ranked clinical interventions with students most important. The high value placed by the workers on direct services to children tallies with the Costin study.

A task inventory was also given by Jordan (1983)
to 260 social workers, principals, teachers and others in a large metropolitan school system. Significant agreement was seen among those groups as to both appropriate tasks and their priority. Silva (1987) found similar consensus (both tasks and their relative importance) in the staff of one elementary school.

In another study, a sample consisting of Iowa principals, guidance counselors, and regular and special education teachers was asked to assess awareness of and satisfaction with school social work services. Fairly high satisfaction with services but need for more individual and family work to help students with behavior problems was reported. The authors did not offer specific feedback from principals in the article reporting their study (Staudt & Graft, 1983). Johnson (1987) also studied Iowa school social workers and their supervisors (but not principals). The workers were requested to estimate the percentage of time they spent during one school year on their activities, then to rate the importance of each. Supervisors were asked to do the same for the workers they supervised. Assessment activities were seen by both groups to be of greatest importance, with counselling of individual students agreed to be second. The authors noted that the shift in emphasis from casework to assessment in four years in Iowa was probably due to implementation of The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (which mandates social work participation in evaluation).
Constable and Montgomery (1985) compared workers' and superintendents' perceptions of the social worker's role in DuPage County, Illinois, districts. The workers completed mailed questionnaires and the superintendents were interviewed. Substantial agreement between them as to tasks was found, but for some activities the superintendents believed workers less involved than did the workers themselves. Eighty-six percent of the superintendents described their social work services as effective.

Perceptions of Supervisors by Social Workers

The above-described studies dealt with perceptions which administrators and other school staff had of social workers--of their roles and caliber of service--but not vice-versa. Few studies could be located which revealed interest in how school social workers feel about their supervisors (principals or others) and how those perceptions might affect their work. Williams (1970) hypothesized that some schools are more hospitable towards social work services, while other schools are incompatible. In interviews, selected social workers (N=57) expressed whether 83 behavioral items were appropriate to the social work role. Workers then indicated what behaviors they actually performed in their most and least compatible schools; disparities were found. The author does not explain how
"compatibility" was ascertained. The participants were not asked about their principals, but Williams comments that from the literature,

> it seems reasonable to hold that the principal is the primary role-determiner and quite possibly the individual most responsible for the creation and maintenance of an environment that is either supportive of or hostile toward the practice of school social work. (p. 72)

A different approach was chosen by Livingston and Rock (1985), who explored school social workers' perceptions of their relationships with administrators and teachers. The authors mailed questionnaires to workers in the Southern suburbs of Chicago. Almost all who responded served elementary schools (between one and seven schools). They expressed very positive feelings about how they got along with their principals. Of interest was the researchers' exploration of the ways social workers found to relate to their principals. The two techniques they most utilized to enhance those relationships were employing a sense of humor and becoming a confidante. The chief hindrance was lack of understanding of the worker's role by principals. That was also the respondents' concern about teachers. This article was enriched by the use of numerous direct quotes. Small sample size was a problem (N=22).

In a study by Gorra (1989), 360 pupil services personnel (psychologists, social workers, etc.) from ten subdistricts of the New York City public schools were asked their perceptions of management. Likert's Profile of a School was used. It was the management of the Division of Special
Education, rather than that of schools, which was at issue. The overall feeling about management style was that it was benevolent authoritarian, with some aspects of a consultative model. Differences by profession were found, with the psychologists having the most negative view of management. The negative views of the school social workers did not reach significance. The sample was drawn from one third of the subdistricts, so it would be of interest to know whether those who failed to respond held differing views.

Job Satisfaction Among Social Workers in Agencies

Job satisfaction, one variable in this study, has been a popular topic for investigation. One approach has been to study representatives of a group of occupations. Another compares workers and their supervisors in a single occupation. A third tactic has related job satisfaction to other variables; studies which did so with social workers from various settings were reviewed. Since research about agency social workers was thought to be of limited applicability to the present research, selectivity was employed here. The settings were either primary ones (such as social service agencies) or secondary ones with bureaucratic structures different than schools. Certain facets of school social work related to job satisfaction, such as the role ambiguity and potential for role conflict
discussed in chapter 1, are probably unique to social work practice in schools.

One researcher (Krishef, 1981) sought to examine the relationship between satisfaction and "socioemotional support". Respondents (N=189) were clinical social workers randomly drawn from a professional organization. A 63% return rate was obtained for a mailed questionnaire created by the researcher. Findings suggested that such support, while helpful, is not adequate for job satisfaction. The workers who responded reported that they considered agency administrative policies to be a more important component of satisfaction. Another study examined how perceived autonomy and role ambiguity might relate to job performance and job satisfaction. The social workers who participated were employed in three settings thought to represent differing levels of bureaucratic structure--agencies, community hospitals, and V. A. hospitals. Agency workers were found to perceive less external control over their autonomy and less role ambiguity (Edwards, 1985).

An interesting piece of research by Sniderman (1985) related job satisfaction to self-esteem, mastery, and affiliation in 449 Canadian social workers, but whether any worked in schools is unclear.

Virginia social workers employed by agencies or in private practice (N=404) responded to a mailed questionnaire. The impact of the job itself, work context, and individual characteristics of job satisfaction were assessed. The
job satisfaction instrument chosen was the Index of Organizational Reactions. The workers scored above average in satisfaction, with agency workers and private practitioners showing differing results (Butler, 1987).

Tsai (1990) also studied agency employees. He sent a survey to full-time Illinois social workers employed in various social service agencies in order to relate job satisfaction to absenteeism. Tsai adapted part of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire, supplementing it with additional questions (personal communication, Boston, November, 1990). Of ten organizational job factors, agency policies correlated most strongly with workers' overall satisfaction. Climate was fourth in significance, and supervision, only seventh. Absenteeism correlated negatively with agency policies, salary, and working conditions. The sample size was impressive (N=402).

Job Satisfaction and Supervision

Two pieces of research about agency social workers were found which related job satisfaction to supervisory or managerial behavior. Field (1984) studied human services social workers (n=233) and supervisors (n=62) from seven metropolitan organizations, both private and public. Democratic leadership tended to promote higher job satisfaction in the group studied. As leader control increased, extrinsic satisfaction was found to decrease.
Another study related satisfaction and absenteeism to managerial style. For the most part, the agencies which were included did not practice participatory management, yet that style of decision making and goal setting emerged as a good predictor of job satisfaction and absenteeism. It was thought-provoking to learn that the best predictor of absenteeism proved to be satisfaction with one's supervisor (Malka, 1989).

Job Satisfaction in School Social Workers

Studies of worker satisfaction in school settings, rather than agencies, were less readily found. School social workers (n=81), nurses, and counselors in the six-county Chicago area volunteered to participate in a recent study which related job satisfaction to some demographic variables. The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire was used. Overall satisfaction was found, with nurses significantly more satisfied than social workers or counselors. Age proved to be the most significant variable (Ravitz, 1989).

Several studies were found which incorporated a leadership variable into an assessment of job satisfaction among school social workers. Two are quite similar to one another and appear to have been in progress concurrently, at different universities. Greenspan (1981) examined the interrelationships among job satisfaction, perceived
leader behavior, and individual dogmatism. His sample consisted of 94 social workers, psychologists, and teachers in the New York City public schools. Greenspan administered the Leader Behavior Description Questionnaire to pupil services staff, but his "leaders" were chairpersons of interdisciplinary teams, not principals. He found perception of leaders as high in consideration significantly related to staff satisfaction, but initiation of structure was not. Neither age nor sex corresponded significantly with degree of satisfaction in this study. The same instrument (LBDQ) was used by Harlan (1980). Again, job satisfaction in teams was compared with leadership dimensions of pupil services supervisors. Forty-seven team members were social workers. Whereas Greenspan measured job satisfaction with the Breyfield-Rothe Index of Job Satisfaction, Harlan chose the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire. He also found a significant association between leader consideration and job satisfaction in team members. Although Greenspan found no relationship between initiation of structure and job satisfaction, Harlan did. The effect of the consideration dimension was stronger, however. Neither study included stress as a variable and both were strictly quantitative.

Thornton (1981) studied social workers (n=73) and principals (n=89) randomly selected from Georgia school districts. Perceptions of social work roles by workers and principals were compared. Thornton went on, however,
to look for possible role conflict and its relation to job satisfaction. He constructed his own satisfaction scale, adapted from items in a federal survey. He found that those workers who perceived role conflict with their principals or who felt a lack of role clarity had lower levels of job satisfaction than those who did not (but not significantly). Whereas Thornton's interest lay in how principals and social workers viewed workers, this writer did not survey any principals. The present research seeks to explore whether a worker's perception of a principal in a given school might be related to the level of satisfaction experienced there.

Stress Among Agency Social Workers

Studies of occupational stress have been done across the helping professions, but again, most have assessed stress in workers employed in settings other than schools. For example, nurses and medical social workers studied by Kuehn (1984) came from three urban hospitals. He investigated associations among self-esteem, perceived job demands, worker abilities, social supports, health-related strain, and occupational stress. Among his findings was a significant negative correlation between perceived worker abilities and health-related strain in the social workers. McNurlen (1988) studied social workers, nurses, and chaplains in seven Iowa hospices by employing on-site
semi-structured interviews. McNurlen found that his subjects experienced less stress if they were placed on interdisciplinary teams.

Studies Concerning Burnout

Research about burnout which focused on child care and protective services workers is not included here. However, two studies relating burnout to supervision were found. In 1980, Leeson administered the Maslach Burnout Inventory to 49 mental health workers, as well as interviewing them. Burnout was found to be higher when the actual work encountered was seen as different than expected work. Workers who found their supervisors inaccessible and/or undependable experienced more burnout than those who had close relationships with supervisors. Supervision was also found to be significant by Lee (1990), who sampled workers and supervisors employed in the public sector (a department of social services). Burnout was found to be prevalent in both those groups, with supervision and management found to be both contributors to and mitigators of burnout.

Relationship of Satisfaction to Stress or Burnout

A number of studies have investigated aspects of the possible correspondence between job satisfaction and
stress or burnout, thereby offering results more closely linked with the one which is reported below. In 1978, Ayala Pines, a pioneer in burnout research, published a much-cited study with Ditsa Kafry. They investigated personal and organizational stressors in social workers (N=129). Selected items from the Job Diagnostic Survey and the Job Descriptive Index were used. Overall job satisfaction was measured by Kunin's Faces Scale. Tedium, defined by the authors as physical, emotional, and attitudinal exhaustion (and sounding much like burnout) was experienced by 11% of the sample. The external work characteristics studied (work relations, work sharing, emotional support, feedback, and opportunity for time out) were more significantly correlated with tedium than the internal factors; these correlations were negative. All five external facets of work were positively associated with job satisfaction. Pines and Kafry concluded "that social workers may be particularly sensitive to people as sources of emotional stress and support" (p. 506). The matter of supervision was not specifically addressed. In the frequent citations of this research, no authors reviewed have commented on the manner in which this sample was obtained. The respondents were just about to participate in a workshop on occupational burnout at a convention. Attendees in such conference workshops are self-selected, not random, so it appears that this sample was biased.

Jayaratne and Chess (1983) investigated the associations
among job satisfaction, stress, burnout, and turnover in social workers. They sent a questionnaire (which included most of the Maslach Burnout Inventory) to a nationwide random sample of members of the National Association of Social Workers. The analysis they reported in this particular article (one of a series) was of full-time workers in various agencies (N=553); further analysis reported in a different article indicates that none were school social workers (Jayarathne & Chess, 1984). Thirty-five percent were very satisfied and 46%, somewhat satisfied with their jobs, yet 43% planned to seek another position within the next year. The significant predictor variables proved to be the same for both satisfaction and intent to turnover: challenge, financial rewards, and promotion. All are organizational job characteristics. Those who were dissatisfied with work and those whose results suggested burnout reported significantly more symptoms. Role ambiguity, role conflict, and workload, three stressors associated with burnout in other studies, were not significantly related to satisfaction and turnover intent in this sample. That finding led the authors to conclude that job dissatisfaction and burnout are not the same.

One researcher chose two instruments which were used in the study reported below, The Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire and the Maslach Burnout Inventory, as well as a third, the Staff Burnout Scale for Health Professionals.
Martin (1991) surveyed 200 family and psychiatric social workers. The two groups were found to have equivalent levels of job satisfaction (within normal limits). However, burnout was found to be significantly higher in the family workers. The findings from this study included some feedback about supervisors even though it was not a specified research question. One of the greatest satisfiers was found to be supervisory praise, and one correlate of burnout was criticism by supervisors.

Also reviewed were studies of satisfaction and burnout done from a structural perspective. Since the findings have organizational/administrative implications, they are linked with leadership behavior. Pierson (1984) explored relationships among job satisfaction, burnout, and factors related to the organizational structure of the seven social agencies in which respondents \((N=122)\) worked. The social workers studied were more satisfied in agencies where organizational expectations for performance were clearly defined. Burnout, while revealed as an area of concern, was not found to be significantly affected by organizational factors in this study. In other research, a random sample \((N=275)\) of Massachusetts social workers from varied settings answered mailed questionnaires. Perceived lack of autonomy and the influence of the funding source were found to be major contributors to burnout (Arches, 1991).

Review identified two studies relating job satisfaction to stress or burnout which targeted school social workers.
Alamo (1986) assessed job satisfaction, personal exhaustion (one component of burnout), and personal fulfillment among 104 school social workers in various regions of Puerto Rico. She chose the Job Descriptive Index to measure job satisfaction. Overall, the workers sampled were satisfied with their jobs and were not experiencing significant levels of emotional exhaustion, but some differences by geographical region were noted.

Pamperin (1987) investigated the relationships between job satisfaction, creativity, and two stressors (role conflict and role ambiguity). He did a mail survey of social workers employed in Wisconsin public schools (N=177). The measure of job satisfaction chosen was the Job Stress Index. Pamperin found that the older, higher paid, tenured workers were slightly more satisfied with their work and perceived less role conflict. The more creative workers saw considerable role ambiguity. In general, increased role conflict and ambiguity correlated with lower satisfaction, as Pamperin had predicted. He got an excellent response, 81%. He excluded Milwaukee workers from his study but does not explain why he did so. In his discussion, Pamperin appeared to use stress and burnout interchangeably.

Neither Alamo or Pamperin explored workers' perceptions of principals. Three researchers who did so (Harlan, 1980, Greenspan, 1981, and Thornton, 1981) related that variable to job satisfaction, but not to stress. The present study seeks to incorporate all these variables.
CHAPTER THREE
PROCEDURES UTILIZED

Preliminary Research Planning

This research project was made possible by the support of the Department of Social Work of the Chicago Public Schools. Following acceptance of the proposal by the Loyola dissertation committee and Eleida Gomez, administrator of the department at the time, it was reviewed by Dr. Sung Ok Kim, then Director of Pupil Support Services. Permission to proceed with the research was promptly granted. Both Dr. Kim and Ms. Gomez have a strong commitment to research and both have conducted it.

The optimal time frame for data collection was thought to be Spring. The Chicago school year always begins the day after Labor Day, ending in late June. For valid assessment of school social workers' perceptions of their work, the cumulative affect of months of pressures and satisfactions was desirable.

The identified population for this research was social workers who served more than one elementary school. One question of interest was whether job satisfaction might vary as one goes from school to school, working under the direction of different principals. Consequently, workers who remained at one elementary school all week or who served a special program were not included, nor
were high school workers. Practicing in a high school entails somewhat different responsibilities. There, most students with special needs have already been identified, so fewer case study evaluations are necessary. More ongoing counselling is done with adolescents. Organizationally, elementary schools and high schools are quite different. Getting to know a principal is generally easier in elementary schools, which tend to be smaller and more familial.

Obtaining the Sample and Conducting the Survey

Subjects who met the above guidelines were identified through the most recent computer print-out of school assignments. The population was found to consist of 148 elementary school social workers. All were assigned identification numbers. Confidentiality, a cornerstone of the social work profession, is also imperative for validity. The fact that the workers were colleagues of this writer also made caution important. Throughout the process, confidentiality was rigorously observed.

The first phase of the research was the administration of two measures (to assess job satisfaction and occupational stress) to as many workers serving multiple elementary schools as possible. Concurrent with the population selection process, the low return rate traditionally characteristic of mailed surveys became a concern. Possible options were explored and ultimately, permission was granted
for phase one of the study to be done in a series of mid-May staff development meetings. The trade-off was provision of refreshments for the meetings at a time when a budgetary shortfall was negatively affecting staff morale. The Social Work Department has always been sensitive to the effect of morale problems on its staff.

The Chicago system, known in Illinois as "District 299", would be unwieldy were it not divided into subdistricts. At the time of data collection, three facilitators carried coordination responsibilities for social workers in the ten elementary school subdistricts. Among their tasks were consultation, planning of staff development meetings, and collection of statistics. These coordinators proved invaluable for the successful completion of the task. They offered clarification of recent schedule changes and leaves of absence. The first notification of the proposed research was by way of letters they wrote, announcing the meetings to the workers. The facilitators arranged the speakers and locales for the five meetings and offered advice as to how to arrange for coffee. The cakes carried written messages of thanks, to reciprocate (Marshall & Rossman, 1989, p. 69).

Upon arriving at a meeting, each social worker to be studied was given a packet which was custom-designed. All envelopes contained a cover letter from Eleida Gomez, sanctioning the research and requesting cooperation, which had a positive attitudinal effect. A second letter by
the researcher thanked the respondents and asked for some
demographic information. This letter also represented
a signed commitment to confidentiality (Fowler, 1984,
p. 95) which was periodically reinforced.

All workers received the two selected questionnaires,
the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ) and the
Job Stress Index (JSI), both of which are discussed below.
The JSI was somewhat different for each worker. Two of
the JSI scales contain items about supervisors. Those
items were placed together to permit respondents to answer
them for each principal served. The order of items was
changed on successive pages and throughout, the word
"supervisor" was changed to "principal". Subjects were
to respond only if they had worked for a given principal
at least three months. Because the workers do not go
to the same school daily, sufficient time was needed to
get to know their supervisors (principals).

The first staff development meeting was held on May
10, 1991, with the succeeding four meetings spanning the
next 15 days. Various facilitators ran the meetings and
decided at what point to introduce the researcher. The
same brief speech was made at each meeting and questions
were answered. The most common question was whom to consider
"supervisor" when completing the MSQ. Social workers
in the Chicago public schools answer to "line" and "staff"
administrators. In addition to taking direction from
principals, they have social work supervision as needed.
Due to this confusion, responses to the two MSQ supervision items were dropped prior to analysis. Similar difficulty with the JSI was avoided by providing multiple pages, as described above.

Overall, the workers were felt to be quite receptive and only three refused to participate. There were no unusual occurrences during the meetings, but the number of workers who were unable to attend for various reasons was larger than anticipated. Some may have had emergencies or staffings at their schools. Others notified facilitators of illness. Consequently, a new cover letter was prepared, explaining the study. Stamped, self-addressed envelopes were added to the prepared packets, which were mailed to every worker who failed to attend a meeting. They were readily identifiable due to the assigned numbers. It was decided that mailed returns would be analyzed separately to evaluate the impact of "method of administration". The rate of mailed returns was 46.4% (n=19), which was 10.3% of the respondents.

Of the total population of 148 social workers, 121 completed the questionnaires either by meeting or mail, for an overall return rate of 81.7%. Six subjects had to be eliminated from the study. Two had been with the system only two months, three had incomplete responses, and one proved not to have multiple schools. The usable return rate was then 77.8% (N=115), an acceptable representation of the total population.
Throughout the data-gathering month (May, 1991), school social workers and other staff heard about a budgetary crisis faced by the Chicago Public Schools. Since most workers have nine-month contracts and are offered summer jobs only if there is money, some of the subjects may have been preoccupied as the research was being conducted. It could be argued that the lagging morale in evidence at the meetings negatively skewed the responses to the satisfaction and stress measures. While that may well be true, there have been so many financial crises that one might even say it is typical.

Assessment of Job Satisfaction: The MSQ

Job satisfaction was assessed with the short-form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire (MSQ), which is designed to measure employees' personal appraisals of their work environments. It is one of several instruments developed by the Work Adjustment Project begun in 1957 at the University of Minnesota. The MSQ, which reflects a value-based theory of job satisfaction, is part of a comprehensive theory of work adjustment formulated by Lofquist and Dawis (see chap. 1, p. 20, for further information). The authors believe that work adjustment is a continuous, dynamic process. Employees seek to achieve and maintain correspondence between the extent to which the work environment fulfills their needs (satisfaction)
and the degree to which employees meet the expectations of the work environment (satisfactoriness). Research done by Dawis and Lofquist suggests that these two variables operate independently (1984).

An appealing aspect of this theory is that it individualizes employees. Two employees may feel about the same level of job satisfaction, but the aspects of work which contribute most to satisfaction may be different for each. The purpose of the MSQ is to present the subject with various facets of work, or reinforcers (the authors are psychologists). The reinforcers presented were originally derived from three sources: general knowledge of job reinforcers, work by Schaffer in 1953 (cited by Bolton, 1986), and preliminary work done by Lofquist and Dawis (Bolton, pp. 258-259). Twenty reinforcers had previously been culled from a larger pool of items during development of the Minnesota Importance Questionnaire (MIQ), which measures the importance one attaches to work reinforcers (potential satisfaction) rather than one's actual satisfaction with various aspects of work, which is assessed by the MSQ. The reinforcers used for the MIQ were apparently rewritten and simplified for the MSQ. The long form of the questionnaire contains five questions each for the twenty selected reinforcers. The short form used the single item for each reinforcer which "correlated highest with the twenty reinforcer scales in the original MSQ developmental sample" (Bolton, p. 260). Factor analysis
of responses from 1,460 employees representing six occupational groups produced two scales, but note that all subjects were males (Weiss, Dawis, England, & Lofquist, 1967).

The first scale, Intrinsic Satisfaction, consists of Ability Utilization, Achievement, Activity, Advancement, Compensation, Co-workers, Creativity, Independence, Moral Values, Social Service, Social Status, and Working Conditions. The Extrinsic Satisfaction scale includes Authority, Company Policies and Procedures, Recognition, Responsibility, Security, and Variety. The two supervision items are not included in either scale. Cook, Hepworth, Wall and Warr (1981) express reservations about assignment of items to the two scales. "The factor analytic basis for this yields some curious allocations..." (p. 24). For example, "steady employment" is classified as Intrinsic. Those authors also find the disparity in item number between the scales "troublesome" (p. 24).

General job satisfaction is normally calculated by summing the 20 item scores, but since the two supervisory items were judged to be invalidated by the multiple supervisors, 18 were used here. Research has been in progress at the Work Adjustment Center to evaluate 10 other reinforcers (Weiss et al., 1967, p. 28).

The short form MSQ is a very readable, self-report questionnaire which takes about 5 minutes to complete. Its scale has a range of responses from very dissatisfied
(1) to very satisfied (5). A high overall score indicates strong satisfaction with one's work. The developers state in the Manual that a "ceiling effect" (negative skewing) is suggested by very few dissatisfied and very dissatisfied responses in reported findings. "For all practical purposes, . . . the effective response format entails a three-point range" (Bolton, 1986, p. 262). Consideration is being given to modifying the rating scale, but at present there are no norm groups available for use with the modified MSQ and printed questionnaires sent on request still contain the original format.

The Manual provides percentile scores for numerous occupational groups for the long form, but only seven occupations for the short form. While the Manual contains a table of normative data labeled "social workers", further clarification revealed that none of those subjects practiced in schools and none had Master's degrees (personal communication, Clifford Neville, January, 1991). Therefore, the disparity in competency level and responsibilities precludes valid comparison of percentile ranks. The Manual is currently undergoing revision. The results of administrations of either form are being solicited by the letter granting permission for use, in order to expand the occupational categories.

Reliability coefficients were obtained for the present sample and compared with those provided in the MSQ Manual.
Table 1
Reliability Coefficients, Short-Form Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale:</th>
<th>Normed sample: (N=1301)</th>
<th>Present sample: (N=115)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic Job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrinsic Job</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Satisfaction</td>
<td>.80</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Satisfaction</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MSQ Manual provides reliability estimates which represent the medians of the Hoyt reliability coefficients computed for five occupations. None of the groups are social workers or other helping professionals. These reliability coefficients, shown in Table 1, are high. Alpha reliability coefficients for the present sample of school social workers were also excellent, comparing favorably with the normed group.

Concerning test-retest reliability of the measure, the Manual states:

No data are currently available concerning the stability of scores for the short-form MSQ. A two-year test-retest study is in progress. However, stability for the General Satisfaction scale may be inferred from data on the General Satisfaction scale of the long-form MSQ, since both scales use the same twenty items. . . . Test-retest correlation of General Satisfaction scale scores yielded coefficients of .89 over a one-week period and .70 over a one-year interval. (Weiss et al., 1967, p. 24).

Construct validity of the MSQ is supported by several studies, according to Bolton. Concurrent validity "can
be inferred from differences among occupational groups in average job satisfaction. Comparisons among the twenty-five occupational norm groups . . . produced highly significant differences in every analysis" (Bolton, 1986, pp. 259-260). One reviewer praised the Manual validity presentation as "a model of scholarly restraint" for the modesty of its claims (Guion, 1978, p. 1679).

Two of the most popular instruments for assessing job satisfaction are the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire and the Job Descriptive Index. Several researchers have done comparative studies of the two measures. During the research planning stage, both were obtained and the respective reviews studied. There were several reasons for selection of the MSQ. First, it appears geared more toward professionals; the importance of intrinsic reinforcers for them is clearly established by previous research. Second, in spite of some faults, the MSQ received excellent reviews. The most thorough analysis found concluded that a) the theory upon which the MSQ is based is consistent with research findings and "mainstream thinking"; b) its psychometric foundation is excellent; c) construct validation investigations support validity; d) its occupational norms are "unparalleled", and e) the reinforcers, while not comprehensive, are extensive (Bolton, 1986, p. 263). Guion offered comparable praise (1978, pp. 1679-1680).

The final reason for not choosing the Job Descriptive Index has to do with the risk of contamination. Dr. Patricia
Smith, who helped create the JDI, has since collaborated on development of the second instrument chosen for this study, which will now be discussed.

Measuring Occupational Stress: The JSI

The Job Stress Index (JSI) was the second self-report questionnaire administered to the identified population in phase one. Review of available instruments had revealed that some good, global measures of stress were available, but occupational stress instruments were harder to find. Those which were identified were too newly developed to have undergone the review process, so critiques such as those reported above (for the MSQ) were not yet available.

The JSI was developed by Dr. Bonnie Sandman and Dr. Patricia Smith to help individuals and organizations diagnose areas of occupational stress. Dr. Smith's interest in stress had grown out of her extensive earlier research in the area of job satisfaction. She had directed the "Cornell Studies of Satisfactions" while at that university. In fact, she collaborated for three years with Edwin Locke (whose work in the same field is discussed above, in chap. 1). The group at Cornell developed the Job Descriptive Index and the Job in General (Smith, Kendall, & Hulin, 1969). Factor analysis associated with the Job in General instrument had suggested that occupational stress might not simply be one negative aspect of overall job
satisfaction, as had been assumed. Aspects of job dissatisfaction were too often being labeled stressors by theorists in this field. Smith and Sandman began to see the need for an instrument which would treat job stress as a separate construct (personal communication, P. Smith, June, 1991).

The authors sought to create a comprehensive, reliable set of scales, applicable to a variety of organizations. Items were to be generated by actual workers. They began by giving an open-ended questionnaire to employees at a mental health facility (N=97). For example, the group was asked to give specific examples of situations which had made them feel tense, stressed, or worried. Duplicate items were removed, items were sorted into categories, and a few questions from the stress literature were added. Factor analyses were completed on three varied samples (total N=1,097).

The eleven resulting scales are as follows: Lack of Feedback, Lack of Participation, Lack of Achievement, Time Pressure, Lack of Interpersonal Skills of Others, Lack of Competence of Others, Red Tape, Job Insecurity, Physical Demands and Danger, Lack of Competence of Supervisor, and Lack of Interpersonal Skills of Supervisor. The items in the last two scales were placed together on one page for this study, as explained above, and comprised the questions concerning principals. For those items only, a single respondent gave multiple responses to each
question (regarding each principal in turn), so the mean was computed when statistical analysis was later done. Use of seven of the scales, rather than all, is due to the discovery, after data collection was complete, that one page of the JSI had not been provided at time of order. That page included Lack of Interpersonal Skills of Others, Lack of Competence of Others, Time Pressure, and Red Tape. The latter two scales were particularly missed in the light of later findings.

Because all the items on the JSI represent undesirable job circumstances, it was necessary to mix the items with an equivalent number of favorable ones to avoid negative response set (Cook & Selltiz, 1975, p. 28). The "positive" items written for that purpose, not intended for scoring, were created by this writer, then integrated with the JSI items prior to printing. They were written based upon this researcher's long experience as a school social worker, in the same style as the JSI items. They were then reviewed by a member of the dissertation committee. Since these questions were not generated in proper research fashion, responses to them were not analyzed.

This questionnaire assesses how frequently the respondent experiences various possible sources of stress. It has a yes-no-sometimes format which some might consider an inadequate range. While said to take 10 minutes to complete, 20 minutes or more were needed for the present sample. That was due to inclusion of the positively-written
items and repeated completion of the supervisory scales for up to five principals.

Reliability coefficients provided for the JSI and those obtained for the present sample are provided below.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale:</th>
<th>Normed sample: (N=4487)</th>
<th>Present sample: (N=115)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Feedback</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Participation</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Achievement</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Insecurity</td>
<td>.70</td>
<td>.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Demands and Danger</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Interpersonal Skills of Supervisor*</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Competence of Supervision*</td>
<td>.88</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* These two scales, contained on one page, comprised the items which respondents answered from two to five times (for each principal). For each subject, data on the first two principals were used to do the computation.

To obtain reliability coefficients for the Job Stress Index, Sandman and Smith computed coefficients alpha on five samples. The above figures represent the means for the items in each scale. Varimax rotation was done on all factors (personal communication, P. Smith, January, 1992). The reliability range obtained is adequate but
not strong. Calculation of alpha coefficients for the present sample brought mixed results, as can be seen from Table 2. The Lack of Feedback scale was lowest (.60) and three others exceeded .80.

No information about validity of the JSI had been provided, so clarification was requested. Dr. Smith explained that investigation of concurrent validity was not done because of reservations about the existing measures of job stress available. Stability information is also unavailable. The Job Stress Index is still in the process of development (personal communication, January, 1992).

Investigation of Burnout

The second phase of this research was a limited exploration of burnout. Administration of a third questionnaire to the whole group of school social workers was precluded by privacy and time constraints at the staff development meetings. Therefore, hand-scoring of all Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaires received by meeting or mail was done to obtain a rough estimate of overall satisfaction. Those subjects whose scores resulted in a mean score anywhere below .3 (personal communication, David Weiss, May, 1991) were judged to be dissatisfied (n=20). That selected subsample received the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) by mail with a cover letter.

Since the content of this scale is quite sensitive,
the measure bears the innocuous title "Human Services Survey". As the Manual states, "it is important that respondents be unaware that the MBI is a burnout measure" (Maslach & Jackson, 1986, p. 4) because of the strong beliefs many have about burnout. This writer did not share with any co-workers the nature of the measures; even the topic was told to no one except two administrators and they were asked to keep it confidential. Identification numbers were not written on the MBI questionnaires in order to assure complete anonymity to those social workers who received it and increase rate of return and validity. Since the sole question being asked was whether any were experiencing burnout and correlations with other measures were not intended, identification numbers were unnecessary. The final return rate was 45% (n=9), a very small subsample.

Research suggests that a self-administered questionnaire is one effective way of obtaining responses to sensitive questions "because the respondent does not have to admit directly to an interviewer a socially undesirable or negatively valued characteristic or behavior (Fowler, 1988, p. 65).

A discussion of burnout in general, and the theoretical contributions of Christina Maslach in particular, can be found in chapter 1 (pp. 37-43). A pioneer in the field, she developed the Maslach Burnout Inventory with Susan Jackson at Berkeley over an eight-year period. One review called the MBI "the best known and most widely used
questionnaire for the assessment of individual occupational burnout among human service workers and others whose work involves intense interaction with other people" (Offermann, 1985, p. 419).

The original form of the MBI contained 47 items; subjects answered each twice, in terms of both frequency and intensity of feelings. Among the 605 people who took this form of the MBI to establish norms, 91 were social workers. Occupations included in the various samples utilized during development were those shown by previous research to have high potential for burnout. Factor analysis (using iteration and a varimax rotation) and application of three selection criteria reduced the number of items to 25. When factor analysis from a second sample (N=420) proved very similar to results from the first, the two samples were combined (N=1,025) and further factor analysis was done.

The MBI was revised in 1987; it was the revised form which was used in the present study. Its instructions are easy to grasp, and that was not true for the original. In the first version, one had to numerically rate intensity of feelings. Since some statements were negative, it was possible to become confused and answer the opposite of what one intended. In fact, had the instrument not been modified, it would not have been chosen for this study. The authors decided to do so because they judged that there was sufficient evidence of "fairly high
correlations" between intensity and frequency of feelings to drop one of those dimensions (Maslach & Jackson, 1986, p. 8). They retained the frequency dimension because it is more similar to other attitudinal self-report measures and because it represents a more standardized response scale. One reviewer, Hargrove (1989), agrees with that decision, stating, "the relatively strong relationship between the two dimensions and the awkwardness of measurement of intensity likely provides the basis for the authors' good judgment to drop it from the inventory" (p. 474).

Another development was a modified form of the MBI, intended for educators. All references to "recipient" are changed to "student". When permission to use the Maslach in this research was granted and the two forms of the revised MBI were obtained, the "recipient" form was chosen because social workers work with parents as well as students.

Burnout is a continuous rather than a dichotomous variable; in other words, burnout is conceptualized as ranging from low to high degrees of experienced feeling rather than being present or absent. The current version of the MBI contains 22 items. Three subscales assess different aspects of burnout potentially experienced by recipients. Emotional Exhaustion assesses the degree to which one feels exhausted by one's work (9 items). The Depersonalization subscale (5 items) measures an unfeeling, impersonal response towards one's clients.
For both of these, a high score suggests greater burnout. The opposite is true of the third subscale, Personal Accomplishment, or feelings of competence and achievement when helping others (8 items). The authors caution against combining the scores to obtain an overall score, because no meaningful way of doing so has been empirically established (Maslach & Jackson, 1986, p. 14). Offermann (1985) anticipates that differential weighting of the subscales may eventually prove appropriate (p. 424).

Like the two other scales used for this study, the MBI is self-administered. It is one page in length. It is not cluttered and is printed on beige paper which is restful to the eye. The Maslach requires 10 to 15 minutes to fill out. Minimal examiner qualifications are necessary; in fact, due to social desirability concerns, the Maslach is best administered by an individual who is not an authority figure, because some questions "involve asking the worker to admit to what may be perceived as less-than-desirable work behaviors and attitudes . . ." (Offermann, 1985, p. 421) and subjects may fear organizational repercussions. The Manual also emphasizes the need for respondent privacy, and that was assured through use of the mail and omission of numbers.

Of the three quantitative instruments used in the present study, the MBI has the largest range, enabling one to express well the frequency of each feeling or attitude presented. Seven responses are possible, ranging from
never (0) to every day (6).

Due to the small size of the sample of school social workers who took the Maslach, computation of reliability coefficients was not possible. Table 3 gives the reliability coefficients provided by the authors.

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subscale</th>
<th>Normed sample: (N=1,316)</th>
<th>SD:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Emotional Exhaustion</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depersonalization</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Accomplishment</td>
<td>.71</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The MBI Manual provides reliability coefficients "based upon samples that were not used in the item selections to avoid any improper inflation of the reliability estimates. Internal consistency was estimated by Cronbach's coefficient alpha" (Maslach & Jackson, 1986, p. 8). Reliability estimates appear adequate for two of the subscales and strong for Emotional Exhaustion, considered by Maslach to be the heart of burnout. "Other investigators (e.g., Beck and Gargiulo, 1983; Iwanicki and Schwab, 1981) have found similarly high estimates of internal consistency" (Offermann, 1985, p. 422).

Test-retest reliability is reported for two samples. For one (N=53), test intervals were 2 to 4 weeks. Coefficients are as follows: .82 for Emotional Exhaustion,
.60 for Depersonalization, and .80 for Personal Accomplishment (low to moderately high). For a larger sample (N=248) and a one year interval, the range of reliability coefficients was .54 to .60.

The creators of the Maslach present a thorough discussion of issues related to validity. Three different methods of assessing convergent validity were employed. In different samples, MBI scores were correlated with behavioral ratings by a person who knew the respondent well, with job characteristics believed to contribute to burnout, and with outcomes related to burnout. A detailed report of these studies is found in the MBI Manual. Discriminant validity studies have differentiated burnout from low job satisfaction (assessed with various measures) in four studies reported in the MBI Manual. Also of interest is absence of significant correlations between the three subscales of the Maslach Burnout Inventory and the Social Desirability Scale in 40 graduate students in social welfare (Maslach & Jackson, 1986, p. 13).

Offermann comments that the MBI "was developed and normed on 'people workers' and is therefore most suited for work with such samples" (1985, p. 420). She also agrees with Maslach and Jackson that this measure is more appropriately used as a research tool rather than a diagnostic instrument (p. 425). The use of the MBI in the present study honors both recommendations.

Several reviewers (Offermann, 1985; Dowd, 1985;
Hargrove, 1989) called for the authors to provide group norms for each subscale with reliable cutoff scores, broken down by occupation (similar to those for the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire). "Maslach and Jackson . . have indicated a willingness to compile such norms if those using the scale forward scores and demographic information to them" (Offermann, p. 424). Another suggestion for refinement was some discriminant validity studies to investigate the distinction between burnout in human services and occupational stress level (Dowd, p. 905), a point pertinent to the present research.

In general, the reviewers really liked the MBI Manual and the instrument itself. Dowd (1985) and Hargrove (1989) described the Maslach as well-constructed. Bodden called it "the best scale presently available" to assess burnout (1985, p. 904) and Sandoval termed it "the instrument of choice" for assessing burnout (1989, p. 476).

The Exploratory Interviews

The next phase of the research was in the planning stages concurrent with the above-described data collection on burnout. Of interest to the researcher was exploration of aspects of work which impact significantly on the daily functioning of the Chicago school social workers in the sample, contributing to their satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Some factors might not necessarily be
reflected by items in instruments intended for broad applicability to occupational categories (the MSQ and the JSI).

A second area for further investigation was the impact of principals upon workers. When weighing effective ways of approaching this issue, some consideration was given to the use of a quantitative measure of perceptions of leaders or managers by employees. The sole instrument found which dealt with appraisal of educational administrators had not been well-received and was out of print. The option of asking the workers for their assessments of the leadership style of principals was also rejected.

To meet these two needs, exploration of perception of principals and of the specific concerns of these particular workers, semi-structured interviews were planned. The workers could then speak for themselves. Perceptual sorts of topics are especially appropriate for qualitative research because that approach permits people to "define the situation in which they find themselves" (Marshall & Rossman, 1989, p. 46). Job satisfaction, at least for those who work, is associated with quality of life. Quality of life, in turn, "has to do with nuance, with detail, with the subtle and unique things that make a difference beyond the points on a standardized scale" (Patton, 1980, p. 74).

When the content of the interview schedule was being
decided, the goals were to cover the two areas for exploration (perceptions of principals and concerns about aspects of work) and obtain some narrative material during an interview of reasonable length. For one of the sections, behaviors of a principal which might make for job satisfaction/dissatisfaction in a social worker were to be presented to the interviewees. No such list could be found in the literature. It was suggested that one possible way of generating appropriate items would be to convene a panel of experts. It is sometimes necessary to invent "indirect means to measure psychological and educational properties" (Kerlinger, 1986, p. 416).

The panel was drawn from the ranks of the Chicago school social workers. Four workers of differing experience levels, sexes and ethnicities were asked to participate. All had Master's degrees in social work and one of the four is currently in a doctoral program. They convened to address two questions: a) What personality factors and behaviors in principals contribute to job satisfaction? b) Which behaviors lead to dissatisfaction? In an effort to enhance content validation, each participant was given the written definition of job satisfaction formulated for the study (Kerlinger, 1986, p. 418). That definition was also to be included in the interview script introduction.

The panel members proved keenly interested in the topic and much agreement among them was observed. The two lists generated, principal behaviors seen as satisfiers
and dissatisfiers, were placed in the interview script in a format which would allow the respondents to respond for each principal served.

The first draft of the interview script was submitted to a member of the dissertation committee and to Ms. Gomez, administrator, for suggestions and revisions in April, 1991. The script in its final form can be found in the Appendix. Neither reliability nor validity have been established for it, in contrast to the three quantitative instruments used in this study.

To obtain candidates for the interviews, a random subsample (n=20) of those who had participated in the first phase of the study was selected by means of a table of random numbers (Best, 1981; Minium, 1978). This subsample represents 17.4% of the total who participated (Fowler, 1988, p. 143). The workers were contacted and asked to agree to an interview. They could choose any convenient location and time; it was believed that maximum flexibility would positively affect receptivity. "If the interviewer is willing to arrange an interview at the respondent's convenience, pressures for time should not be extraordinary for most respondents" (Fowler, 1988, p. 53). Individuals were asked to suggest a place which would offer greatest personal comfort and privacy.

Four social workers refused to be interviewed, so additional possibilities were obtained using the random numbers table. Of those interviewed, seventeen were female.
and three were male. The appointments were compressed into a period of 8 consecutive days to permit completion of interviewing prior to vacation. The interviewees were seen in their homes, at their assigned schools after work, in coffee shops, and in one case, at church.

In spite of the fact that the interview script had been timed during practice, the sessions took longer than anticipated; one lasted 40 minutes. All interviews were conducted by the same person, this writer. Responses were recorded with a personal shorthand in use for many years. A tape recorder was thought to hamper trust and would also have proven distracting to one unfamiliar with its use. All 20 interview responses were usable. The interview was fully scripted; every worker was asked the same questions in the same order. The style switched back and forth between open- and close-ended questions, as is usually recommended (Fowler, 1988, p. 76). The interviewer started by thanking the respondents for their cooperation and again stressing the confidentiality of their responses. The workers were informed that their identities would not be shared. Furthermore, should a story they related about a school or principal be included in this dissertation, any facts which could possibly identify the school or the worker would be omitted.

A few easily-answered, straightforward questions were asked (Fowler, 1988, p. 102). The concept of "job satisfaction" was then defined (Fowler, pp. 80-81). The
interviewees listed their school assignments. For each, they evaluated their personal level of job satisfaction (high, medium, or low), then explained why that rating was given. Respondents were then asked to name their two or more greatest continuing sources of personal satisfaction and dissatisfaction in their jobs. No options were listed; the question was completely open-ended.

After another mention of confidentiality, the items formulated by the panel (behaviors thought to produce satisfaction/dissatisfaction) were presented verbally, for each principal. The workers were then asked whether they could think of any additional principal behaviors or attitudes which might lead to their satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Finally, they indicated whether they would rank behaviors of principals as among the five most significant sources of satisfaction/dissatisfaction.

The interviewer ended each session by again thanking the school social workers and stressing confidentiality.

This writer's overall impression of the process was very positive. The social workers seemed to enjoy being asked about their work and were eager to share their thoughts. Conducting a research interview is different from doing counselling, for which "use of self" to establish a helping relationship is key. Therapeutic interpretations and interventions appropriate in counselling situations have no place in research. Similarities between the two types of interviews include development of rapport at
the outset, importance of mutual trust, and need for periodic clarification.

Analysis of the Interviews

Due to time pressures, it was not possible to begin coding during the interview process, as some suggest (Miles & Huberman, 1984, p. 63). The original plan was to organize and present the material according to the script questions. That was not found to be feasible because interviewees introduced other topics as they spoke spontaneously. Partial recoding became necessary. Ultimately, the categories emerged from the responses (Patton, 1980, p. 22), guided by a rough conceptual framework provided by the literature review (Miles & Huberman, p. 34).

Data reduction can eliminate valuable data and bias results if not done with caution (Miles & Huberman, 1984, p. 21). Consequently, every word was classified, with the exception of totally extraneous discussion (about Loyola, etc.) and sentences begun over by interviewees. Write-up and coding were done simultaneously, in a somewhat unorthodox fashion. The word processor on which this dissertation was written permits moving blocks of copy readily. The researcher went through the data, interview by interview, recording direct quotes directly onto the screen. This technique proved to be efficient.

Due to the inferential nature of the process, content
analysis is problematic. One's goal is to seek out the covert (symbolic) as well as the overt meanings of words (Krippendorff, 1980, p. 22-23). Since there is no one way to analyze data, one must, to the extent humanly possible, be aware of one's own biases and attributions (Krippendorff, p. 22; Miles & Huberman, 1984, p. 16). To give one example, the analyst may perceive an underlying pattern among data--the message, "I value competence and I perceive myself as competent." Could this perception be just projection of one's own feelings onto the interviewee?

The comparison of qualitatively and quantitatively obtained results, seen in some studies, was never planned for this research design; the hope was that they would substantiate and enhance one another. The qualitative portion of data collection was intended to address two questions (see above, pp. 95-96) which could not be addressed in any other fashion judged to be acceptable. Comparison of perceived behaviors of a principal and level of satisfaction felt in that school (obtained in the interviews) was analyzed via a combination of qualitative and quantitative approaches. Assistance was obtained from a member of the dissertation committee and a format was suggested. The raw score computed for each principal may be imagined as a rough continuum. Each worker was presented with behaviors thought by the panel to produce satisfaction in most social workers, followed by
dissatisfiers, for a total of 25 items. The dissatisfiers were then reverse scored to enable simple totaling of all yes responses. A score of 25 would describe a principal for whom the entire list of behaviors-which-satisfy was seen by the worker as true, and to whom no dissatisfier behaviors applied. The items were then broken down into increments of 5.

The school ratings were 1 through 5, with 5 describing high satisfaction experienced by the social worker while practicing there. To give one example, were strong correspondence to be found between one's satisfaction in a given school and one's perception of that principal, the latter raw score would fall in the 21-25 range, with a school rating of 5. Each principal-school comparison was envisioned as a "case", for a total of 54 cases (after 3 were removed for missing data). In such an analysis solution, magnitude should be considered a rough estimate, although directionality can be established.

It was further suggested that exploration of reasons for cases lying outside of the parameters of expected correlation would be enlightening. That could be done by identifying those cases and returning to the words of the workers. This strategy is recommended by Miles and Huberman (1984): When conversion of some data into numbers or ranks seems like a good strategy, "keep the numbers, and the words used to derive the numbers, together in your ensuing analysis. . . . Essentially, words and
numbers keep one another analytically honest" (p. 21, p. 55). It must be emphasized that this portion of the research is considered a pilot project. The script did not have the benefit of pilotting on a separate group, to enable further refinement.

Summary of Procedures

In all, data collection took about 7 weeks (and the goal of finishing it before the end of the school year was reached). The process consisted, in summary, of five phases: a) a quantitative survey of satisfaction and stress in school social workers via administration of two well-established instruments in meetings, the MSQ and the JSI; b) a follow-up mailing of those two instruments to members of the population who missed the meetings; c) mailing of a measure of burnout to those participants whose previous results (from the MSQ) indicated dissatisfaction; d) concurrently, development of a qualitative interview script with the help of a panel of experts; e) the interviews. All results were then analyzed. The chapter which follows details the quantitative and qualitative findings from the survey and the interviews.
CHAPTER FOUR
RESULTS OF THE STUDY

Findings: The Sample

The sample upon which the results described below are based consisted of 115 respondents, which is 77.8% of the defined population (elementary school social workers with multiple schools). The actual return rate was slightly higher. The opportunity to use meetings to collect data contributed substantially to the success of the project. Had a mailed survey been done, the return rate would probably have been around 50%, based upon the 46.4% rate obtained from the mailed packets.

Regarding usable data, 96 workers (83.5%) responded to the questionnaires during one of the five meetings and 19 (16.5%) returned them by mail. Since the first meeting was held on May 10, 1991, and the last mailed return was received on June 10, the range of time for phase one (the survey) was 32 days.

Sample frequencies reveal that the group was largely female. Of the subjects, 80.9% (n=93) were female and 19.1% (n=22) were male. This is not an unexpected finding in view of the fact that social work has been a predominantly female occupation since its inception. A study of high school social workers might have included more males, since high schools generally have a larger proportion
of male staff. As a basis for comparison, in a 1992 nationwide study of school social workers, 73% were female and 27% were male (Allen-Meares, 1992, p. 9).

Two descriptive independent variables, similar to one another, were incorporated into the demographic questions to determine the length of service of the workers studied. They were "years of experience since obtaining a Master's degree in social work" (required for all Chicago Public Schools social workers) and "years of experience in the present position". Every worker sampled had graduated from social work school at least one year prior to data collection and one had done so 44 years ago. The mean number of years since graduation was 13.1 years (SD=9.6). The least experienced people had a minimum of three full months employed as Chicago school social workers (counted as .5) and the maximum years on the job was 25; the mean was 7 years (SD=7.4).

In the year preceding the study, approximately 100 social workers had been added to the staff in order to improve the student-worker ratio and thereby reduce the time needed to complete case study evaluations. Data showed that 36.5% of the workers studied had two schools, the same percent were assigned to three schools, 25.2% had four schools, and only 1.7% (n=2) were responsible for five. The number of workers who served only one elementary school at the time of the study is not known because they were not included.
Impact of "Method of Administration" on Survey Results

For data analysis, a decision had to be made as to whether to combine the meeting and the mail groups. If the two groups were equivalent, there should not be a significant difference by subgroup for the various scales of the MSQ and the JSI. The two groups were dissimilar in size. One-way ANOVAs revealed a significant difference (p < .05) on only one, the JSI scale "Lack of Feedback", on which the mail group scored higher than the meeting group. A t-test run on this item had a pooled variance of .038. It should also be noted that the reliability coefficient obtained on that scale for the present sample was only .5963. Since there were ten scales in all, with a statistically significant difference on only one, the decision was made to combine the two subgroups.

Findings Related to the Research Questions

Since results of some studies have suggested that both experience in one's career and longevity in one's specific job position are associated with greater job satisfaction, both independent variables were correlated with job satisfaction (as measured by the short-form MSQ) and occupational stress (as assessed by the JSI). These correlations are shown in Table 4 (next page).
Table 4

Comparison of MSQ Scales with Years on the Job and Years Since MSW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MSQ scales:</th>
<th>Yrs. on job:</th>
<th>Yrs. since MSW:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intrinsic Satisfaction</td>
<td>-.0175</td>
<td>-.0301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extrinsic Satisfaction</td>
<td>.0583</td>
<td>.0624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Satisfaction</td>
<td>.0278</td>
<td>.0168</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JSI scales:</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Feedback</td>
<td>-.1823</td>
<td>-.2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Participation</td>
<td>.1285</td>
<td>.1103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Achievement</td>
<td>.0800</td>
<td>.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Insecurity</td>
<td>-.2152</td>
<td>-.2532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Demands and</td>
<td>-.0202</td>
<td>-.0184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Danger</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Interpersonal</td>
<td>-.1134*</td>
<td>-.0853*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skills of Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Competence of</td>
<td>-.0831*</td>
<td>-.1387*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Multiple principals, so responses were averaged

Note. All calculations are Pierson r correlation coefficients.

As can be seen from Table 4, few significant associations could be identified among the school social workers in the present sample. In fact, the overall weakness of these correlation coefficients was striking. Job satisfaction and years as a Chicago school social worker proved to be unrelated to one another for this group. The same was true of years since obtaining the M. S. W. In general, novices in the system appear to be about as
satisfied as highly experienced social workers.

Years since advanced degree and years in the Chicago system both correlated negatively with most of the stress scales. However, the magnitude was low, ranging from -.0202 (no relationship) to -.2600. The latter low correlation was between years since MSW and Lack of Feedback. It suggests that to some extent, more experienced workers are less dependent upon feedback from others. "Years since graduation" was also negatively associated with Job Insecurity (-.2532), not surprising in a unionized system which provides tenure safeguards. "Years on the job" was found to correlate positively with Lack of (opportunity for) Participation, but again, weakly (+.1285).

The degree of association between job satisfaction and occupational stress was also a topic for investigation. Stress is not the same phenomenon as job dissatisfaction, and stress does not necessarily produce dissatisfaction. Following the decision to combine the meeting and mail groups, Pierson r correlation coefficients for the whole sample were computed between the seven scales of the Job Stress Index and those of the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire. The results are shown in Table 5 (next page).
Table 5

Correlation Coefficients of the MSQ and JSI Scales

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JSI scales:</th>
<th>Intrinsic Satisfaction</th>
<th>Extrinsic Satisfaction</th>
<th>General Satisfaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Feedback</td>
<td>-.3929**</td>
<td>-.4977**</td>
<td>-.4888**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Participation</td>
<td>-.5469**</td>
<td>-.5569**</td>
<td>-.6113**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Achievement</td>
<td>-.5520**</td>
<td>-.5512**</td>
<td>-.6124**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Insecurity</td>
<td>-.3245**</td>
<td>-.3236**</td>
<td>-.3713**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical Demands and Danger</td>
<td>-.4447**</td>
<td>-.4362**</td>
<td>-.5105**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Competence of Supervision</td>
<td>-.4132**</td>
<td>-.4067**</td>
<td>-.4801**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of Interpersonal Skills of Supervisor</td>
<td>-.4037**</td>
<td>-.4255**</td>
<td>-.4680**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** =p < .01

Although it had been believed that there would be numerous social workers who experienced much stress yet felt very satisfied, the results did not bear out this expectation. All of the correlations were negative, signifying that for this sample, higher job satisfaction corresponded with lower levels of stress.

As can be seen by the table above, coefficients ranged from low (-.3236) to modest (-.6124). All were statistically significant at the .01 level. The data presented in Table 5 requires further clarification. Since the MSQ items are positively written and the JSI questions, negatively
phrased, there is an inverse relationship between them. In other words, all the negative correlations in the table actually reflect positive relationships. Therefore, the discussion below reflects the true relationships (and all minus signs were removed in the narrative, to avoid confusion). To illustrate, the strongest association was between General Job Satisfaction and Lack of Achievement, lack of opportunity to use one's abilities on the job and lack of a sense of accomplishment. The correlation was negative, suggesting that these opportunities are found by the more satisfied social workers. The correlation remained moderate when Intrinsic and Extrinsic Satisfaction were considered separately.

A moderate-to-high relationship (.6113) was seen between General Satisfaction and (opportunity for) Participation, or the perceived ability to influence decisions affecting the respondent. General Satisfaction also correlated moderately (.5105) with the belief that the work environment was reasonably safe rather than dangerous. The least significant correspondence (low) was between Job Satisfaction (generally and by subscale) and the stress of Job Insecurity, suggesting that the latter has little impact on satisfaction for this group.

Only modest correlations were seen when Satisfaction was compared with the two scales having to do with perceptions of principals. General Satisfaction was moderately related to both Competence of Supervision (.4801)
and Interpersonal Skills of Supervisor (.4680). Thus, the quantitative data analysis suggested that feelings about principals (at least, those associated with stress) are not as great an influence upon satisfaction at work as one's sense of accomplishment and one's opportunity to influence decisions.

Perceptions of Principals by School Social Workers

The subsample (n=20) of interviewed social workers provided more support for the suspected relationship between job satisfaction and perceptions of principals than had the quantitative data reported above, as well as more detail. Note that the 20 workers discussed their feelings about a total of 54 principals, envisioned as 54 different "cases" or relationships (see chap. 3, pp. 104-105).

Table 6
Tallies of Correspondence Between School and Principal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perception of principal:</th>
<th>Five</th>
<th>Four</th>
<th>Three</th>
<th>Two</th>
<th>One</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 - 20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 - 15</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - 10</td>
<td>1*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 - 5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* = Cases for discussion in narrative (see below)
Table 6 shows that of the 54 cases or relationships, 22 (40.7%) fell into one "cell". Those are instances of social workers experiencing a high degree of job satisfaction in a school, while also having a very positive view of the principal's contribution to that satisfaction. In addition, there were only three instances of social workers having low job satisfaction in an assigned school; that is only 5.6% of the situations explored.

It should be noted that the small representation of fours and twos is an expected result, because the workers were given only three options (high, medium, or low satisfaction). Those few (8) cases occurred when an interviewee responded, medium-to-high or low-to-medium. Had the workers initially been offered a range of five responses, they would probably have used it. The cases marked with an asterisk in the above table will be explored further, in an effort to determine the reasons they lie outside the perimeter of the scattergram.

Two of the three low satisfaction schools had principals who apparently did not contribute to that dissatisfaction. Interview notes helped to clarify why. One worker responded to the question of why she was dissatisfied by specifically mentioning the principal:

I like the principal. Other than that, the morale of the teachers is lousy. There's always fighting. The principal is a nice guy but he'll see something...it takes a lot for him to be pushed-- by that time you have chaos. Screaming, hollering...I actually feel sick on (assigned day). I feel like Alice in Wonderland (Interviewee, June/1991).
The other worker who had a very positive view of a principal but felt dissatisfied in the school said vaguely that there were "a lot of reasons" and seemed reluctant to explain further. Of the 20 workers interviewed, she was the only one who rushed through the session.

Six times, workers felt very satisfied by the attitudes and behaviors of their principals, yet gave the associated schools medium (rather than high) ratings. One worker specifically referred to the principal as the reason for the rating:

Part of it is the principal; she likes social work services but she has her own perception of how to do the work. She evaluates you according to her version of how it should be done. I would like her to leave us alone, let me do my job. I feel a little bit tense (Interviewee, June/1991).

Her evaluation of that principal was quite positive overall, yet this worker had mixed feelings. The principal was seen as being controlling and as not understanding the social work role.

Another interviewee gave a high rating to a principal, but a medium rating to a school, commenting that earlier in the school year, it would have been lower: "I couldn't influence my work setting then. For me, job satisfaction correlates with power, if I can ask for something and get what I need. I do a mother's group and the principal was very supportive" (Interviewee, June, 1991).

Two instances of high-principal, medium-school ratings were for the same reason, problems with case management of evaluations of children with learning problems. One
worker said the new counselor was "lovely, but not a good case manager" (Interviewee, 6/1991). The second explained, "It's not so much that I'm not satisfied . . . I'm frustrated due to the poor case management and the disorganization."

This respondent went on to speak of failure to evaluate and place children in a timely fashion, yet she also saw some positives in her situation: "Despite all the problems, I see it as a building where I can give social work services. I like the team and the people I work with and they have some neat kids, a diverse population" (Interviewee, June/1991). The last two cases in this category came from the same social worker. One of the principals had even expressed an interest in having the worker full time, but had been unable to provide the needed space and privacy.

There were two cases of high job satisfaction in a school in spite of lack of comfort with the assigned principals. One worker felt there was a misunderstanding of her role, insufficient access to the principal, inconsistent application of policies, and seeming unwillingness by the principal to take responsibility for mistakes. However, this worker felt very invested in the school and served it three days a week. Examination of the principal ratings in the second instance revealed 13 "I don't know's" which pulled down the score substantially. Actually, this worker did not answer "yes" to any of the dissatisfiers. This case exemplifies the caution with which these results should be viewed. Of
the high rating for the school, the interviewee said, "I'm more independent over there. I've got nine children I'm doing counselling with over there and they're sweeties."

The cases in the high-satisfaction-school, high-satisfaction-principal group are proportionately greater than any other cell, as can be seen from Table 6. This finding corresponds with the high satisfaction level seen in the MSQ results from the entire sample.

What did the social workers have to say about their principals? They provided a wealth of information. At the end of each session, each social worker was asked whether behavior and attitude of principals was among his/her five greatest personal sources of satisfaction or dissatisfaction. Although interviewees had just focussed on feelings about principals at that point, they had also been asked to think about other sources of overall satisfaction/dissatisfaction. Of the 20 workers, 19 (95%) ranked principal behavior as being one of the five greatest influences on their job satisfaction.

All were asked why they answered as they did. Their responses revealed that they view principals as pivotal. Several referred to how principals set the tone for the school. One remarked, "I think the entire attitude of the school towards ancillary services generates from that focal point of the principalship." Another referred to school climate: "The administration affects the atmosphere of the school. Their style, their supervision affects
the staff, the parents, and the students."

Several workers made specific references to the high value they place on the quality of their relationship with the principal. One said, "It colors everything I do. If there is freedom, but some leadership, it is ideal. If I'm stifled or I can't get any help, I'm less effective." Another commented, "I believe the way I relate to my principal has a lot to do with the comfort I feel. Feeling good about the principal gives me the comfort level, the security in my decision-making, because I feel supported by my principal." A third stated, "The things that I stated about the principals are the things I like about this job. When you get along with them you're satisfied with your job; when you don't get along with them, you keep your distance and you want to get out" of that school. Another explained, "That person is my boss—the pacesetter as to how I deal with the rest of the staff. If the principal dislikes me or we have problems it affects my morale but if we have a good relationship, then I want to come in and do the job."

Some of the workers expressed great admiration for their principals:

The principal there is the best I've seen, a tremendous presence in the school, a big father figure. He knows all the staff and kids. He got all the teachers involved in the Padeia Project. His philosophy is to turn the teachers on to learning and get them excited about teaching. . .

The social workers had invested time and energy in building relationships with principals. One had taken
action when she saw the need for it:

One principal seemed like she had a "split personality"—one day, all friendly, the next day, she would pass by you and not speak to you. That was her own fears, it was a discomfort level on her part. She felt isolated, but I didn't know that then. (How did you find out?) I sat and talked to her. She later expressed liking to have me there.

This worker applied her professional skills to building a relationship with her administrator.

Sources of Satisfaction/Dissatisfaction in Workers

In addition to attempting to determine to what extent feelings about principals contribute to job satisfaction, the interviews also explored other sources of satisfaction and dissatisfaction. Material was obtained in two ways. The social workers were asked to elaborate on why they felt satisfied or dissatisfied for each school in which they worked. Then they were requested to cite their two primary overall sources of job satisfaction (continuing, not transitory). The same was asked for job dissatisfaction. The first question generated actual satisfiers and dissatisfiers from the daily lives of the workers. The second, however, seemed to produce a combination of the actual and the ideal. In six instances, double-coding was necessary (Miles & Huberman, 1984, p. 63). Chart 1, on the next page, represents a Matrix which lists the types of satisfiers listed by the interviewees and at least one example of each.
### Chart 1

**Matrix of Sources of Job Satisfaction in the Social Workers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job satisfiers and number of workers expressing them; Examples: (All citations, June/1991)</th>
<th>Possible underlying issues:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SATISIFIER/DIRECT WORK W/ CLIENTS (n=6):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;A lot of opportunity for hands-on, tangible-type work with kids and their parents.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Serving the bilingual, Spanish families, that's why I work for the Board, I like it . . . a sense of mission. I know I could find a higher-paying job.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Two things I enjoy are to have good, on-going relationships with kids, group or individual, and families--very rewarding.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SATISIFIER/EFFECTIVENESS OF INTERVENTION (n=8):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Dealing with children and understanding them at their level--to see them change in response to my caring.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The support I give to the teachers; they seem to appreciate it. I also feel good when I see the kids start getting the services after staffings.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Changes in attitude for the better. The teacher begins to see the child differently, sees that you have an interest in the kid.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;The work itself and being able to have some impact on the children and parents.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SATISIFIER/JOB COMPLEXITY (n=6):</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;Challenging work--being able to work with the kids in prevention and being able to have a part in helping them when it's at a point where I can do something. I feel like I've used every aspect of my social work training. No day is the same, it's never boring. This is so much what I want to be doing.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;I . . . like the intellectual stimulation of working with the team--cases that are kind of hard.&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| "It's difficult here--we kind of
unite; we have this in common, that the parents are difficult. I've had teachers go on home visits with me. I can do just about any kind of thing with the energy and time I have."

"... the atmosphere of being in a stimulating environment. I'm really very satisfied, more with this job than any job I've ever had."

SATISIFIER/CREATIVITY (n=3): "Giving me the flexibility to be creative."

SATISIFIER/RESPECT FOR COMPETENCE (n=5): "I have good rapport with the staff and the students. They call on me to solve problems. I'm looked upon as an important part of the team."

"That my services are valued, that they feel I can really do something. If there's an agency, I'm told about it. I feel that when I take all these things home to write, someone appreciates it."

"My advice is sought-out, valued."

SATISIFIER/MUTUAL RESPECT (n=7): "Social work over there is considered a very valuable service and the staff is just fabulous and they work so well with me and with each other."

"I'm real people-oriented. I like to feel I'm doing well. It makes for a good environment if I like the people I work with."

"Sensitivity--for people to be aware that most of these kids have lots of issues... for people to have feelings towards each other. More than sensitivity, respect that people have for one another."

SATISIFIER/INCLUSION (n=3): "I've been here longer, I feel like its home. There's a level of communication here, professional as well as the friend-level, with the staff. When I'm sitting in a meeting I'm one of them--'What committee are you going to be on; what are you going to be the chairman of?' I've been here so

Need for creativity appears related to job complexity

Need for recognition; sense of self-worth

Feelings of having one's competence valued; optimistic view of worth of others and sense of trust (Eriksonian); feelings of belonging, of inclusion

Desire to be "part of things"; sense of isolation arises from being the sole social worker in the school
long some people have passed away."
"I get along with my colleagues very well. They value my services. They treat me as a professional, include me in activities. I guess I’m more visible now in providing services. I feel good even though I’m drained and I may have to stay a half hour later."
"I have a good relationship with the staff, the principal, the counselor—so I feel relaxed, at ease."

SATISFIER/SCHOOL CLIMATE (n=3)
(X school) "is very small and community-like, almost suburban. There's a real sense of togetherness. Parents and teachers seem very cohesive. . . . Everything seems to work right there, you can really manage things there. The people are just so kind. . . . The PTA is strong and everyone knows their own roles."
"People are flexible, professional . . . a sense of friendliness and comraderie. No 'turf issues,' not territorial."

SATISFIER/AUTONOMY (n=2):
"I like the degree of autonomy afforded to us. I don't need daily or weekly supervision."

SATISFIER/TEAM RELATIONSHIPS (n=2):
"I work with a great team."

SATISFIER/GUIDANCE COUNSELOR (n=2):
"The counselor is respectful of what I do. There are clear boundries there."

The thoughts of the social workers about their personal sources of satisfaction seem to fall primarily into the intrinsic realm. Close in importance to such facets of work as efficacy and challenge were interpersonal relationships, on which workers placed a high value.
### Chart 2

**Matrix of Sources of Job Dissatisfaction in Social Workers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job dissatisfiers and number of workers expressing them; Examples: (All citations, June, 1991)</th>
<th>Possible underlying issues:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **DISSATISFIER/HIGH CASELOAD (n=6):** "There are roadblocks. Any one of my schools could use me full time. I feel like I'm scratching the surface--things keep coming up over and over. I feel this way generally."
"There's frustrations. You can't do everything, can't solve every child's problem . . . So many cultures, cultures I've never worked with before."
"I have lots of work, intensive work. The poverty level in this area is high. . . . sometimes the impact I have is minimal." | Feelings of being overwhelmed while having insufficient time to deal with the many unmet needs; frustration |
| **DISSATISFIER/TIME PRESSURES (n=10):** "Intense roadblocks--the school has tremendous needs and service only one day a week, plus a definite decision . . . to have me only do special ed. evaluations. . . . The situation is grim."
"I'm constantly doing social assessments, so I'm not covering all the bases. The school needs a full-time social worker."
"Having to establish priorities when they (tasks) seem to be of equal weight. You may have a certain agenda, but some clients have one which may be legitimate also--so you have to satisfy part of yours and part of theirs."
"I don't get to do the counselling I would like to do. If I did, I wouldn't be able to do the evaluations for special ed."
"Any dissatisfaction I would have has to do with not having enough time."
". . . a feeling of not having enough time to work with the kids." | Feelings of being "torn" by conflicting needs; related to "high caseload", above. If the two were combined, n=16 |
DISSATISFIER/LACK OF SPACE/PRIVACY (n=9):
"My nice space got taken away. I used to love that school."
"The overall working conditions, not having adequate space to do your job, that's the thing that stands out...The work environment is not conducive to having groups--too much traffic."
"...there's a rumor there's gonna be a space problem, but I'm satisfied now."
"I have to service four E. D. classrooms and two B. D. rooms, a total of 60 kids, and I do not have a room to do counselling, as well as general services. I had conflict over using someone else's room; now I've taken to using the lunchroom and the teacher's lounge."
"If I came from an outside agency, I'd get a lot more respect and not have to see the kids on the stairs."

DISSATISFIER/LACK OF RESOURCES (n=3):
"I would like to have a 'phone always available...rather than having to hike--so much running around."
"Not a chair, not a telephone, not a desk for my clients."

DISSATISFIER/DIFFICULT CLIENTS/LACK OF IMPACT (n=2):
"I can't engage the parents on a consistent basis...I realize the limits of my work, that the child's problem is the parent's problem. If the parent could get it together...but they don't (always) want to."
"Dealing with inner-city children with tremendous need, you are set up to fail."

DISSATISFIER/NEGATIVE CLIMATE (n=3):
"We're losing control. Kids plan to be sent to sit on the bench--I hear 'em--I don't think it's appropriate. There's a breakdown somewhere. The Assistant Principal..."
is too nice to suspend. He believes in the kids so much but it doesn't work."
"That school has a 'personality disorder.' There's an on-going fight between the principal and the assistant principal, the assistant and the counselor . . . tension, bad feelings. The whole school has taken sides--nasty comments. But I stay out of it; I enjoy the kids."

DISSATISFIER/BUREAUCRACY (n=2):
"The political environment of the schools--the instability, tremendous mismanagement, continual changes, tremendously frustrating."

DISSATISFIER/LACK OF AUTONOMY (n=4):
"If I didn't have autonomy, I'd hate it. If someone didn't rely on my judgment or questioned what I do I'd be very upset."
"I hate people looking over my shoulder and checking everything I do."

DISSATISFIER/ROLE AMBIGUITY (n=4):
"Everybody has their own idea of what the social worker does. You are constantly redefining your own boundaries--diplomatically."
"There aren't any real clear policies at the school as to what my role is. I have to talk to the principal about that frequently."

DISSATISFIER/ROLE CONFLICT (n=3):
"I have to advocate for the children and it contradicts the school's agenda."
"Parochialism-- 'You're encroaching upon my area of expertise.' People stake out certain territories and get defensive."

DISSATISFIER/ACCOUNTABILITY (n=2):
"I have to write down . . . what I do. I feel like a kid."
"Paperwork and statistics."

Feelings of powerlessness and anomie

Related to above

Need for a clear understanding of one's tasks and role boundaries to be able to convey them to staff and administration

Related to above; possible professional jealousy

A "parent-child transaction", in transactional analysis terms
For this group, the greatest sources of job dissatisfaction were the related dilemmas of time pressures and high caseloads. None of the other dissatisfiers mentioned had as great an impact on the workers interviewed.

Assessment of the Interviews

The generally positive response of the social workers to being interviewed was a pleasant surprise. This writer began the sessions feeling some discomfort over the risk of imposing on the leisure time of colleagues, but found Fowler correct: "People like to have an opportunity to talk about themselves to a good listener" (1988, p. 53). One factor which probably contributed to the willingness of the workers to share is the special nature of this job. School social workers, who work in a host setting, may feel isolated. Initiative is necessary to get any support from colleagues, yet the newer workers who most need it may not know anyone to contact.

Use of a single interviewer, while offering consistency, also has disadvantages. One is difficulty avoiding one's preconceptions (Patton, 1980, p. 28). Another is the temptation by subjects to make statements of which the interviewer will approve (Gruneberg, 1979, p. 4), but which do not reflect their true feelings.

One step which would have been beneficial, but which time did not allow, would have been a series of pretests
(Fowler, 1988, p. 104). For example, when the workers were asked to rate their level of job satisfaction in each school served as low, medium, or high, a three-point scale, they "created" their own five-point scale by offering such assessments as medium-to-high. Therefore, a five-point scale was created at time of analysis. The drawback was that all the interviewees should have had the opportunity to use the greater range of responses from the beginning. The decision not to use a tape recorder was a good one; the interviewer felt relaxed and was able to focus.

The responses to the open-ended questions proved to be invaluable and enriched the results. Queries of that sort are better offered via personal interview, rather than in writing; less effort is required of respondents and interviewers can probe incomplete responses (Patton, 1980, p. 29). The workers really liked the chance to use their own words. Social workers are talkative people who seem especially attuned to attitudes and expression of feelings. In summary, the interviewing process proved personally satisfying, as well as offering a fine learning experience with a new type of interview.

Assessment of the Burnout Question

The sole purpose for administering the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was to ascertain whether or not any of the sampled social workers were experiencing burnout.
Anonymity was believed to be critical to reliable results, and recipients of the MBI might have been uncomfortable to have a colleague (this writer) know their true feelings on such a sensitive topic. Since the returned questionnaires were not identified, correlations with any of the demographic or descriptive variables were not possible.

The return rate for the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) was lower (45%) than for the two questionnaires which had already been given. It is very possible that the true numbers of burned out social workers were underestimated. First, 11 dissatisfied workers failed to return their MBI's. Second, workers who had not completed the MSQ and the JSI, and so were not part of the study, may have felt so negatively about work that they were reluctant to participate in research about it. The selected subsample was so small (n=9) that these data are of limited usefulness. Even had the return rate been 100%, the sample would still have been small, due to the method by which recipients of the Maslach were chosen.

The MBI depicts burnout as a continuous rather than a dichotomous variable. It consists of three subscales, which are not to be summed. On the Emotional Exhaustion subscale, a score of 17-26 suggests a moderate degree of burnout and the present sample fell into the moderate to high range (Mean=23; SD=14.3). Depersonalization measures development of an unfeeling, impersonal response to clients. A score of 0-8 is considered low. On this
A subscale, a mean of 5.6 was obtained (SD=7.2), indicative of a low degree of burnout in this domain. A low score on the Personal Accomplishment subscale signifies greater burnout, because this subscale assesses one's feelings of competence. A score of 39 or more is considered low. The subsample had a mean of 40.3 (SD=4.9), which suggests little sense of accomplishment and high burnout in that area. One might say that the small sample evaluated, while experiencing a moderate degree of emotional exhaustion and little sense of accomplishment, resists depersonalizing clients, which is to their credit. No statement about overall burnout can be made, since no formula for computing it is available at the present time.

What is the meaning of these findings? Further analysis and some interpretation is offered in the chapter which follows.
Further Analysis of the Sample

What was learned about the sample from analysis?

One central question is whether the subjects who participated were truly representative of the population (elementary school social workers with multiple schools). What is known about those who did not participate? It is sometimes difficult to learn enough about non-respondents to determine their effect on the data. That effect "depends on the percentage not responding and the extent to which those not responding are biased--that is, systematically different from the whole population" (Fowler, 1988, p. 48). The percentage of the total population not included in this study was 18.3% prior to analysis and 22.2% after four subjects were eliminated for various reasons. The mailing of packets to workers who failed to attend the meetings had the intended effect of making the results more truly representative. The 46.4% return was an acceptable rate for use of the mail. A good mailed return rate has been shown to correspond with greater education and with interest in the topic being studied (Fowler, 1988, p. 49). Both were characteristic of the present population. However, one step which should have been taken was to follow up the mailed returns not received (Fowler, 1988, p. 67),
perhaps with personal telephone calls. The rate for those returns would then have been higher.

The researcher happened to talk with two of the non-respondents about the research project before the end of the school year. Both pleaded that they had been too busy to complete their surveys; one used the word, "overwhelmed". This incident raises the possibility that social workers experiencing more than moderate job stress might have been well-represented among non-respondents. Whether their inclusion might have meant findings of greater stress and/or less satisfaction cannot be known with certainty.

The proportion of school social workers studied attached to two or three schools (36.5% in each category) was a somewhat surprising finding. The researcher, at the outset of her own career in 1972, had been assigned ten schools. In 1991, fewer than 2% of of the respondents reported having five, so the question arose whether they were typical of the population. Consequently, the number of assigned schools for those who returned the questionnaires was compared with that of the population (see Table 7).

### Table 7

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Two schools</th>
<th>Three schools</th>
<th>Four schools</th>
<th>Five schools</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sample (N=121)</strong></td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>36.5%</td>
<td>25.2%</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Population (N=148)</strong></td>
<td>33.3%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>31.3%</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although other factors may be operating in the distribution of the discrepancies revealed by Table 7, it does appear that social workers having four and five schools were slightly underrepresented in the study. The interview quotations from the subsample reveal that a main source of job dissatisfaction was the overwhelmed feelings arising from high caseloads of students and parents. The difficulty assigning priorities to various tasks as a result of having too many to do was a related interview finding. Another piece of collaborative data to account for the discrepancies reported in Table 7 comes from the comparison of meeting and mail findings. On one subscale of the stress instrument (JSI), those unable to attend the meeting were seen to be under greater stress than those who did, but they somehow found time to complete the mailed packet (probably at home). In summary, analysis of non-respondents suggests that had the return rate been 100%, results might have shown more job stress and/or dissatisfaction.

The worker-school ratios reported above can be placed in historical context by noting that in 1966, four school social workers carried total citywide responsibility for the Chicago Public Schools (personal communication, Dr. Sung Ok Kim, 3/1992). As of March, 1992, almost a year after data collection, there are about 320 school social workers assigned to approximately 600 elementary, high, and special schools. This increase is due primarily to
the "sense of mission" of successive heads of the Department of Social Work and their skilled advocacy on behalf of the field.

The fact that four-fifths of the respondents were female, while not specifically addressed in any of the original research questions, does appear to have implications. Historically, social work, now a profession, began as a charitable endeavor in which committed women were able to engage voluntarily due to their stations in life. Recent theories of women's psychology have examined how women became caretakers. "From childhood on, women's moral sense emphasizes caring for others" (Belle, 1982, p. 497). Self-other distinctions are blurred in women, so they feel connections to and responsibility for others. Nurturing and mutual support are strengths, but the risk exists that a woman will experience a "loss of self" (Belle, p. 497). One study suggests that it is not so much the holding of multiple roles that is experienced as stressful as the perception of those roles, the qualitative experiences. Barling (1990) reviewed a series of prior studies of the effects upon women of juggling multiple roles. The conclusion was that all findings reviewed showed negative effects; "individuals have a finite amount of time and resources at their disposal . . ." (p. 118). It is possible that had the survey sample included more males, one source of stress (multiple roles) might have been lessened.
Interpretation of Findings: The Research Questions

One question this study sought to address was whether number of years since obtaining the M.S.W. and years in the present position might be related to levels of job satisfaction and/or stress experienced by the social workers. As can be seen from Table 4 (p. 110), the two variables having to do with level of experience bore little or no relationship to job satisfaction or stress, an unexpected finding. One would think that the development of competence and coping skills associated with greater longevity would enhance job satisfaction and help one better manage stress. We can only speculate as to the reason for this finding. It may be that social workers who join the staff of the Chicago Public Schools only to discover that inner city school social work is not a "good fit" resign. In the years preceding this research, there have been ample opportunities for Chicago M.S.W.'s to move into other settings. It may also be that the system "sifts out" or "counsels out" the most dysfunctional social workers. Since the main "tool" one has in this field is oneself, those whose personal problems impede their ability to successfully intervene with clients have difficulty performing on the job.

Variables related to job satisfaction (expressed in the MSQ) and to occupational stress (the JSI) were correlated. The results are found in Table 5 (p. 112). The sampled social workers not only may have felt justified
leaving the system, but also felt secure when they stayed, according to the findings. Job security increased with years of experience and was only slightly related to job satisfaction. This appears to be reflective of the seniority provisions in the contracts negotiated by the Chicago Teacher's Union. Should a given social worker prove unsatisfactory to a principal, the worker's assignment is changed so as to provide the principal with a new worker. The social worker may also request a change. Either way, the worker continues in the position. It is only unproductive workers who risk job loss and the process for termination has been somewhat cumbersome. Provisions in the union contract serve as a deterrent to principals.

The finding that more experienced workers are less dependent upon feedback from others seems logical. As people practice their professions, their feelings of mastery increase. They grow more personally secure with their competence and less reliant on others for assurances. Feedback, as Glicken (1984) points out, comes from three sources: The job itself, one's co-workers, and one's supervisor(s). Employees need direct, clear information about the effectiveness of their performance (p. 68).

As has been discussed, school social work is somewhat unique, in that each social worker is surrounded while practicing with people from other professions (primarily, by educators). It may well be that the field of school social work attracts independent workers who prefer not
to have the supervision which is found in social service agencies. Glicken proposes that the trend towards accountability (seen in the form of monthly statistics required of Chicago school social workers) be used as "a way to enhance one's positive feelings towards one's work" (p. 69) rather than simply a monitoring device.

The strongest association (.6124) in Table 5 was found between satisfaction and opportunity to use one's abilities on the job, a sense of accomplishment. This relationship is supported by the eight interviewees who spoke of efficacy, six others who mentioned challenge and stimulation, and five workers who felt their competence was valued. Clearly, intrinsic reinforcers mean more to these school social workers than extrinsic ones. For all the criticisms of Maslow (p 14), his focus on the "higher order needs" clearly seems applicable to the values of this group of helpers.

The case might be made that the findings about feedback and accomplishment are related. Glicken, after reviewing a series of studies, concludes that both dimensions are related to internal control, or the overall belief that events in one's life are the result of one's talents and efforts (rather than being guided by fate or by the actions of others). Since internally oriented individuals tend to see hard work as instrumental, they are driven by the desire for accomplishment and they seek knowledge of results. This reinforces their sense that personal efforts have
led to desired outcomes (1980, p. 67).

The social workers practicing in situations which permitted greater participation were more satisfied than others. "Research has shown repeatedly that people are more deeply committed to a course of action if they have had a voice in planning it" (Rush, 1978, p. 7). The participatory style of management has been emphasized in both educational and corporate management literature. Principals and CEO's alike are urged to include their employees in decisions which affect them. Like the findings concerning feedback and achievement, the participation factor suggests that the workers who responded tend overall towards an internal locus of control, believing that they can and should exert effort and influence.

A word should be said about the satisfiers and dissatisfiers which emerged from the interviews. The fact that they were different from one another (rather than being polar opposites) bears out Herzberg's thinking. However, his theory appears not to recognize individual differences in satisfiers and dissatisfiers. For this group, effectiveness of intervention and job complexity were the primary intrinsic sources of job satisfaction. These social workers found direct work with parents and children central to their satisfaction, as had the participants in Costin's (1969) study. The intrinsic nature of the satisfiers cited by this group shows similarities with findings from other helping professionals,
summerized by Eisenstat and Felner in 1983 (see chap. 1, p. 47). Work is valued for its own sake.

As for dissatisfiers, the chronic work overload of which this group complained appeared both quantitative and qualitative, analogous to 1987 findings by Matteson and Ivancevich (see above, p. 33). The strong feelings about lack of privacy suggest the high regard these social workers have for their clients.

Implications of Findings: Burnout

Although the results of the administration of the Maslach are of limited usefulness, due to the very small sample size, some discussion is still in order. The findings were that the nine subjects felt little sense of accomplishment and experienced a moderate amount of emotional exhaustion. Those two findings are linked. Burnout is an extreme (and relentless) form of occupational stress, in which a depleted energy level is experienced. That makes functioning on the job difficult, which would, in turn, make fewer accomplishments possible. Results of the third subscale were particularly interesting. The burned out social workers had little inclination to depersonalize the students and parents with whom they work, in spite of their negative feelings about their jobs. The regard for clients implicit in this finding is borne out by the interview material.
Review of the literature on burnout, described in chapter one, reveals a difference of opinion among the foremost authorities on burnout on this point. Depersonalization was first depicted as part of the burnout syndrome by Maslach. However, Freudenberger, another pioneer, reported that his subjects tried even harder and became even more dedicated when feeling depleted. It seems, then, that the aspect of burnout termed by Maslach "depersonalization" occurs in conjunction with other factors. Possible examples might include environmental ones (e.g., a stressful setting, lack of access to social supports) and personality factors, such as cynicism, depression, and so forth.

Another possibility to consider is that these respondents, more familiar than some other professions with psychological instruments, were influenced by social desirability factors when responding to the items comprising the MBI scales. In other words, they may not have expressed their true feelings. Still another explanation is that the reluctance to depersonalize clients is linked with the dominant gender of this sample. This group was predominantly female and Maslach's findings suggest that women are less likely to experience depersonalization because they tend to become more emotionally involved. (Matteson & Ivancevich, 1987, p. 247).
Implications of Findings: The Principals

The quantitative and qualitative findings both support the impact of relationships with principals upon the work functioning of social workers, but the interview results seem to make a stronger case for this association. It should be stressed that the results of the two methodological approaches cannot be compared, since they do not assess the same constructs. Because it became necessary to drop the two supervision items in the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire, the only data available about supervision from the survey is from the Job Stress Index. The interview data pertains to the impact of principal perceptions upon job satisfaction.

Due to the strong relationship found in the interviews between schools offering high satisfaction and principals who did so, it might be justifiable to conclude that the variable "perceptions of principals" was more related to job satisfaction than to stress. This writer is reluctant to be definitive on that point. The Job Stress Index was more recently developed than the Minnesota Satisfaction Questionnaire, so has had less opportunity for refinement. Also, the interview script would have benefited from a pilot test.

Virtually all the job satisfaction studies reviewed by Kahn (1972) agreed that supervision has an important impact on worker job satisfaction (Hopkins, 1983). An ideal relationship between a principal and a social worker
is probably not much different than the principal-teacher relationship of which one author wrote. It begins with rapport, a harmonious relationship characterized by mutually positive feelings. "Authorities tend to agree that, along with trust and rapport, cooperation, collaboration, honesty, open communication, and a general sense of colleagueship are necessary for developing a working relationship" (Roberts, 1984, p. 178). One way principals have long set the tone for positive relationships with staff is via use of language which reflects respect for and confidence in employees, while at the same time conveying high expectations (Glicken, 1980, p. 72). The workers who participated in the interviews spoke of being able to go in and talk with principals, of being really listened to, of feeling understood.

On the other hand, five workers felt dissatisfied due to what they perceived as authoritarian, controlling tendencies in their principals. "A principal told me I had better just agree" (even if I disagree), one said. Another indicated that one principal selected, not only her cases, but which students should go in her groups. That is a therapeutic decision. A third worker described one school as being "run like a dictatorship, not a school."

Interviewees also made repeated references to the principal as the "key person" in the school. They spoke of the principal as "the pacesetter as to how I deal with the rest of the staff," "so important", "the educational
leader", the person who "holds the power". They also spoke frankly of wanting the principal's approval, esteem, and support for their efforts. These results are supportive of the value the workers place on feedback and are similar to the findings of Livingston and Rock:

The working relationship between the school social worker and the principal is critical to both the success and improvement of the program and the worker's own survival. The principal is in the key position to translate the theory of school social work service into effective action. Through his or her organization, the principal provides the facilities and determines the policies necessary to implement the program in the school (Livingston & Rock, 1985, p. 136).

The dissatisfaction expressed by nine workers over scarcity of space and lack of privacy for interviews appears to be multicausal. As the authors quoted above point out, it is the principal who allocates the space; assignments reflect his/her educational priorities. Giving employees control over their work environment enhances their motivation (Glicken, 1984, p. 64), so withholding it would logically create dissatisfaction. However, it should not be forgotten that in this particular instance, principals themselves have limited control over their facilities, since many school buildings were built for smaller populations and are now overcrowded.

Even before they come to know and understand one another personally, principals and social workers need to be clear as to one another's roles. Social workers have to understand that principals must sometimes put the good of the school or of a group of children before
the needs of a single child. Principals, having once been teachers, may regret having to do that. If they hide those feelings for professional reasons, they may be accused of insensitivity. One of the strengths of social workers is their ability to assess other people. We need to apply this skill to supervisors and colleagues as well as to children and parents.

Principals, in turn, need to understand better the nature of social work; the interviews provided ample evidence of that. Four workers spoke of role ambiguity and three others reported outright role conflict. These social workers reported instructions from principals which directly contradict the official written job description and state law. Two workers were told to do only social assessments for evaluations, which shortchanged students in the regular program in need of social work services. A third was criticized for reporting a neglect case. Still another described how one principal took over a therapeutic decision, the selection of her counselling group members. The need for clarification of the social worker's role was also "a common theme" of the responses to the Livingston and Rock study (1985, p. 139):

If the principal does not understand the function of the school social worker and fails to interpret the role to the teaching and other staff, the worker may feel isolated from the mainstream of education in the school with few positive, close, professional relationships with teachers or the administrator (Livingston & Rock, 1985, p. 136).

The above statement certainly tallies with one worker's
comment in the present research that "the entire attitude of the school towards ancillary services generates from . . . [the] focal point of the principalship" (June, 1991). Another study of job satisfaction in school social workers concluded:

Building principals should have a better understanding of what social work methods are and how they apply to solving school problems. . . . Without support from administration, ambiguity and conflicting role expectations will continue to deter innovative planning . . . and result in job burnout (Pamperin, 1987, p. 68).

Relationships With School Staff

Principals were not the only school employees who affected the job satisfaction of the social workers studied. Relationships with team members and case managers (who direct progress of evaluations) also concerned the workers. Radin, reviewing the changes school social work has seen, concludes that the current situation, participation on an interdisciplinary team, represents the fourth significant role change since the job began. School social workers have gone from being family-school liaisons ("visiting teachers") to being clinicians to a more systems-oriented approach to team membership. Until this last shift, occasioned by implementation of The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), workers functioned autonomously, usually in isolation. Working with other disciplines is more time-consuming than operating independently (Radin, 1989, pp. 217-218). It is also
a mixed blessing; note that team membership was both a satisfier and a dissatisfier for the workers interviewed. If a team shares good rapport and functions well, it can offer not only emotional support, but new learning to its members. If not, membership can be a stressor.

Research Possibilities

What are some of the personality variables which might contribute to job satisfaction and stress management in social workers? Since the practice of social work places so much importance on the conscious use of self, Pamperin (1987) proposes that research be done into qualities such as creativity and flexibility (p. 61). This writer's continuing interest in locus of control provides another possible framework; some research has suggested that professionals who tend to be more "internal" in orientation attribute their successes to their own efforts, so are reinforced by those successes. Measures of satisfaction, occupational stress, and locus of control could be given and relationships sought among those variables.

Should certain personality characteristics be found to correspond with dissatisfaction, stress, or burnout, can they be modified? It might be profitable to replicate Book's (1989) use of the Process of Change Scale (developed by Prochaska and DiClemente in 1982) with school social workers. Book asked psychologists and clinical social workers...
workers to rate their success with various self-change efforts such as self reevaluation, helping relationships, and stimulus control. Book's comparison of perceived success of these techniques with a measure of burnout revealed significant differences in their efficacy (Book, 1989). The benefit of such a study is that its results offer very concrete guidance to those desirous of avoiding personal stress and burnout, as well as to administrators and others interested in prevention.

Burnout might also be studied in relation to job satisfaction. Although they appear to be polar opposites, the present study does not address this issue. One potential biasing factor researchers of burnout face is that respondents may not always answer truthfully. Defense mechanisms such as denial and feelings such as shame affect their responses. It is difficult to imagine circumstances in which comparison of self-report of burnout with appraisal by significant others would not be harmful, even unethical, but such a design would certainly enhance validity. It might be possible to do a study of this nature on a voluntary basis in an Employee Assistance Program, before and after therapeutic intervention. Maslach did so when validating her items. We need to be able to predict who will burn out and learn more about the circumstances which promote it if we are to begin preventing this destructive process. Life stages may well play a role in burnout (Freudenberger, 1989, p. 7) and this relationship warrants further study.
In the school setting, the interrelationships of principals and social workers also merit further investigation. One study (Livingston & Rock, 1985) took the approach of saying to social workers, "Rate your relationship with administrators." The respondents were offered a scale of one to five. Possible answers ranged from accepted/respectful (5) to tolerated (1). However, those options do not permit one to respond, "uncomfortable" or "intolerable". With possible modification of the scale, this design appears to have good potential for replication. Comparison of paired responses (principal's appraisal of worker and vice versa) would also be enlightening.

Another possible area for exploration might be reasons for attitudes of social workers towards principals. Causal attribution theory is suggested by Locke (1976, p. 1302) as a promising method of assessment of employee attitudes towards supervisors. In schools, for example, such factors as degree of trust, attitude towards authority figures, and childhood school experiences of social workers might be found to contribute to their appraisals of principals. An alternative approach might be to compare adult developmental stages of social workers to perceptions of principals.

Finally, not enough is known about the effects on school social workers of moving from school to school, which is necessary in metropolitan school systems with budgetary problems. A related matter is the effect on
How Social Workers can Help

Assessment of a principal by staff involves learning more about the needs of that principal. What is the value system of the leader of a school and how does it translate into the mission he/she envisions for that school? That mission has implications, in turn, for the principal's concept of the worker's role. Social workers are most successful if they find ways of blending assigned tasks (such as social assessments for evaluation) with programs which meet the needs of the principal and staff. Direct services, consultation, and staff development are among possible vehicles. One school may desire a student group which attempts to deal with the unmet needs "solved" by gang membership. Another might ask that teachers be given training in how to spot child abuse, requirements of the law, and so forth.

Isadora Hare urges that social workers develop models which involve various school staff in both prevention of problems and creation of a more desirable school climate (1988, p. 230). One of the toughest lessons a school social worker must learn is that it is not enough to "do a good job" as we ourselves conceive of it. We cannot rely upon self-appraisal alone. In a secondary setting, we need for our values and methods to be better understood.
if we are to be perceived as competent. As role ambiguity is lessened, social workers should experience less stress (Pamperin, 1987, p. 68). We need to take care of ourselves if we are to be of real help to the children.

Alleviation and Prevention of Stress: The Person

A wealth of advice is available for those wishing to diminish personal and occupational stress. People are urged to eat a balanced diet, exercise regularly, get sufficient sleep, practice preventive medicine, develop social supports and seek mentors. Philosophical belief systems such as yoga and transcendental meditation offer relief, as do techniques like relaxation training, imagery, and cognitive restructuring. We do not actually know "whether these tactics work by affecting physiological response patterns, psychological response patterns, or both" (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984, p. 335). What can be said generally is that a positive attitude towards life in general is essential for stress management (Eskridge & Coker, 1985, p. 389).

Since stress is so indisputably a perceptual phenomenon, effective coping strategies become essential. Coping behaviors constitute a range of learned behaviors upon which the individual can call to deal with life circumstances (Swanson, 1986, p. 18). Lazarus and Folkman define "coping" as "constantly changing cognitive and behavioral efforts
to manage specific external and/or internal demands that are appraised as taxing or exceeding the resources of the person” (1984, p. 141, italics removed). One reason we lack solid data which would enable us to understand coping processes is the difficulty of laboratory research. To induce high levels of stress in human beings is unethical, so most of our data come from naturalistic studies (Cameron & Meichenbaum, 1982, p. 696).

Cameron and Meichenbaum, writing from a transactional perspective, offer a useful framework for looking at this process. The first prerequisite for effective coping, say the authors, is accurate appraisal of events. Since "life is fraught with ambiguity" (p. 698), transactions and events can always be interpreted in more than one way, based on unique, implicit assumptions about oneself and the nature of the world. For maximally effective coping, an individual needs to approach each appraisal as would a scientist, by making interpretations of events and experiences which "are valid to the extent that they are supported (and not contradicted) by convincing data" (p. 698). Unnecessary, self-inflicted stress comes of misinterpreting a benign situation as threatening or failing to take self-protective action by underestimating a realistic threat.

Secondly, an adequate repertoire of responses is necessary. The authors cite as examples communication skills, assertive behavior, and self-relaxation techniques.
However, having these skills is not enough; they must also be deployed. Some instances of inadequate coping are due to failure to employ strategies of which the individual is capable. Finally, when the stressful situation ends, people "unwind" at different rates (Cameron & Meichenbaum, 1982, pp. 698-702). It was these theorists who developed stress inoculation training, a program which has since been utilized individually and for groups, in a variety of settings. Participants learn to develop coping skills they can call on in high stress situations via a three-phase program. Attendees learn about coping with stress, acquire the skills to do so, then rehearse their newly acquired skills (Cameron & Meichenbaum, p. 702). Lazarus and Folkman caution that one problem with stress management programs is that they are geared toward people in general, rather than being tailored to individual needs. They are "ineffective for people whose troubles stem from conflicts or personal agendas that lurk below the surface, because they leave the underlying difficulty untouched" (1984, p. 362). These writers also object to trivial or simplistic gimmicks and assumptions that there is only one acceptable way to interact with others at work (p. 363).

Wertkin (1981) studied the effects of stress inoculation training on coping skills of nine first and second year students in a graduate school of social work. A pre-program interview, self-monitoring forms completed
throughout 10 weeks of 2-hour sessions, and post-program interviews comprised the design. Four of the nine subjects were seen to demonstrate a substantial increase in coping efforts and three others, a moderate change. Self-dialogue was ranked by the workers as most effective, with deep breathing second.

During their graduate training, two successive internships are required for all social work students. They begin seeing clients at the outset of the first year. The discomfort over lack of competence (Cherniss, 1980a) described in chapter one applies to students even more than to novices, yet graduate schools of social work probably do not address this issue adequately.

For those beginning practice, workshops are needed which present information about realistic expectations for social work intervention. Replacement of the quest for an unattainable ideal with commitment to a vision guided by possibilities is desirable. (Selder & Paustian, 1989, p. 75) When professional organizations, such as the National Association of Social Workers, offer workshops on stress and burnout at conferences, they are quickly filled.

Prevention of Stress: Organizational Implications

Large metropolitan school systems can more effectively intervene with staff under stress (teachers as well as
pupil services personnel and career service employees) by establishing Employee Assistance Programs. Helping professionals deserve help themselves. Unions which have established such programs have found employees willing consumers of the service; some feel more comfortable exposing their personal problems to union personnel than to employers. Prevention and alleviation of stress and burnout is also possible on the local school level. Due to the importance of confidentiality, an educational (rather than therapeutic) approach is most appropriate.

The gradual, though painful, move towards participatory management represented by school reform offers the potential for alleviation of stress if fairly and properly implemented. In the system in which the present study was done, Chicago, the central bureaucracy is gradually being reduced. Local School Councils (LSCs) have staff representatives as well as parents and community members. Principals who have been authoritarian in management style will need to change or leave the Chicago system if they are unable to tolerate community intervention.

On the local school level, alleviation of stress in staff might be begun by adaptation of a sound programatic idea offered by Blase. Although he was writing of teachers, social workers can also benefit. They observe differences in climate and morale as they move from school to school. Blase (1984) proposes that staff “with some of the requisite psychological, social, and technical skills develop and
implement effective organizational problem-solving strategies themselves" (p. 186, italics removed). Interested staff should become knowledgeable about organizational behavior, theory, and change strategies (e.g., survey feedback, team building, conflict management, and problem solving). A series of training sessions on those topics could enable the staff, administrators and LSC members "to acquire the competencies to diagnose organizational problems and implement collaborative solutions for site-specific problems" (Blase, p. 187). School improvement programs could then be developed. This approach is already available in Chicago with the assistance of outside consultants such as the S.A.G.E. Foundation.

There is no doubt that organizational changes are more difficult to implement than personal ones, particularly in a large bureaucracy. School reform opens up new possibilities for the creation of a climate in every school which honors individual goals, provides mutual respect, and values learning for its own sake. To accept stress and burnout as inevitable is to diminish the capacity of individuals and organizations to change.
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APPENDIX

Script

I really want to thank you for answering the questionnaires I gave you about your work in May. Today I want to ask you how you feel about your job as a school social worker. Your identity will be kept in the strictest confidence. We will not be talking at all about your personal life.

S1 What month and year did you begin doing social work for the Chicago Public Schools?

One purpose of this research is to study job satisfaction in Chicago school social workers. JOB SATISFACTION is defined here as your appraisal of the extent to which your work environment fulfills your vocational needs. I'm especially interested in whether your level or degree of job satisfaction changes depending upon the school you serve on a given day. You may find that you feel pretty much the same degree of satisfaction as you move from school to school; then again, you may feel more satisfied by one school and less in another.

S2 What schools do you serve?

S3 Fine. I'd like you to try to describe your overall feeling of job satisfaction in school (the first the R listed above) as high, medium, or low.

S4 Why?
S5 Fine. In __________ school, would you describe your job satisfaction as high, medium, or low?

S6 Why?

S7 Good. In __________ school, would you describe your job satisfaction as high, medium, or low?

S8 Why?

S9 O.K. In __________ school, would you describe your job satisfaction as high, medium, or low?

S10 Why?

S11 O.K. Now I'd like you to take a minute to think about some sources of job satisfaction for you personally. These should be continuing sources of job satisfaction rather than just temporary or transient ones. Try to think of your two or more primary sources of job satisfaction and name them for me:

S12 Good. What about job dissatisfaction? Again, I'd like you to think in terms of continuing rather than transitory. What would be your two or more most significant sources of dissatisfaction in your work?

Now I'd like you to tell me about the principals for whom you work; I would just like to remind you again that your identity will be kept strictly confidential. Furthermore, I am not interested in the names of the principals we are discussing.

P1 What month and year did you begin working for the person who is now principal of __________ school? (Note: less than 3 months, elim.)
P2 O.K. Now try to think only about this principal. Let me read to you some personality characteristics and behaviors which are felt to contribute to job satisfaction in social workers. As I read each one, think about whether it applies to the principal of _______. Then tell me YES or NO:

Mutual trust; you can share confidential information knowing it will not be misused

A clear understanding of your role & function . . . and its boundaries

Respect for your professional judgment

Grants you autonomy and freedom to decide how you want to do your job

Willingness to be supportive or even be a troubleshooter if tensions arise within the team or with other staff

Enthusiasm about the job of principal

Empathy for the needs of children & parents

Knowledge of special education

Willingness to delegate tasks appropriately

A vision of the school as IN the community; allows you to leave the school & network

Interest in your professional growth; lets you attend conf's/inservices

Allows you access & is willing to listen to you

Appreciation for your contributions

Inclusion; views you as part of the staff, professionally & socially

Provision of the space & facilities you need to do your work
There may be some qualities in this principal which make you feel dissatisfied at work. I'll read to you some behaviors that were thought to contribute to job dissatisfaction. Again, please think about whether they apply to the principal of ______ and say YES or NO:

Difficulty making decisions; easily immobilized, tends to call central or district offices for consultation

Rigidity & inflexibility

Lack of appreciation for the services you can provide

No direct communication of dissatisfaction with your performance until too late in the year to permit you to change

Unreasonable, inappropriate requests, due to misunderstanding of your role

Excessively controlling; need to check everything you do, create roadblocks, or even sabotage you

A "know-it-all"; for example, a desire to make the diagnosis

More interested in self-advancement than staff needs; too ambitious

Unfairness; inconsistent application of policies

Unwillingness to take responsibility for mistakes

(This section, P1 through P3, will be repeated until all principals for whom R works have been discussed.)

Very good. We are almost finished.

Can you think of any behaviors in principals generally which would positively affect your job satisfaction but which I did not mention?
P5 Can you think of any behaviors in your principals which would make you dissatisfied with your work?

P6 Now let me read you a statement; please tell me whether you agree or disagree: "The behavior of the principal and our relationship when I am working in that school is one of the five most significant sources of job satisfaction/dissatisfaction for me."

(Regardless of response, ask)

P7 "Why?"

P8 We talked earlier about sources of job satisfaction and dissatisfaction in your work. You did/did not mention the behavior of your principals then. Now we have done some thinking about it and you have had a chance to consider it. (Record any comments.)

Great. I really want to thank you for all the cooperation you gave me. I know that the social workers are going to be very interested in the results of this research. Let me again assure you that your identity will be kept confidential. Thank you so much.

(NOTE: This structured interview takes approximately 25 minutes if the respondent is assigned to three schools. Length depends upon how long R elaborates in response to open-ended questions.)
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