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Epigenetics and Social Context: Implications for Disparity in Cardiovascular Disease

Karen L. Saban, 1,2 Herbert L. Mathews, 3 Holli A. DeVon, 4 and Linda W. Janusek 1

Abstract

BACKGROUND:

Although it is well established that African Americans (AA) experience greater social stressors than non-Hispanic Whites (NHW), the extent to which early life adversity and cumulative social stressors such as perceived discrimination, neighborhood violence, subjective social status, and socioeconomic status contribute to disparity in coronary heart disease (CHD) and stroke between AA and NHW are not well understood.

PURPOSE:

The purpose of this paper is to propose a conceptual model based upon McEwen’s Allostatic Load Model suggesting how the relationships among social context, early life adversity, psychological stress, inflammation, adaptation, and epigenetic signature may contribute to the development of CHD and ischemic stroke. We hypothesize that social context and prior life adversity are associated with genome-wide as well as gene-specific epigenetic modifications that confer a proinflammatory epigenetic signature that mediates an enhanced proinflammatory state. Exposure to early life adversity, coupled with an increased
allosatic load places individuals at greater risk for inflammatory based diseases, such as CHD and ischemic stroke.

**RESULTS:**

Based on a review of the literature, we propose a novel model in which social context and psychological stress, particularly during early life, engenders a proinflammatory epigenetic signature, which drives a heightened inflammatory state that increases risk for CHD and stroke. In the proposed model, a proinflammatory epigenetic signature and adaptation serve as mediator variables.

**CONCLUSIONS:**

Understanding the extent to which epigenetic signature bridges the psycho-social environment with inflammation and risk for CHD may yield novel biomarkers that can be used to assess risk, development, and progression of CHD/stroke. Epigenetic biomarkers may be used to inform preventive and treatment strategies that can be targeted to those most vulnerable, or to those with early signs of CHD, such as endothelial dysfunction. Furthermore, epigenetic approaches, including lifestyle modification and stress reduction programs, such as mindfulness-based stress reduction, offer promise to reduce health inequity linked to social disadvantage, as emerging evidence demonstrates that adverse epigenetic marks can be reversed.
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Coronary heart disease (CHD) and ischemic stroke account for more than one third of all U.S. deaths and are estimated to cost $444 billion per year [1]. Although death rates for CHD and ischemic stroke have decreased in recent years, disparities among African Americans (AA) and non-Hispanic Whites (NHW) are striking and remain a growing concern [2]. For example, the death rate for AA from CHD is 37% higher than for Whites and the risk of having a first-time stroke is almost two times greater for AA than for Whites [1,3,4]. Traditional risk factors related to CHD/stroke, such as obesity, hypertension, and diabetes do not fully explain the disparities found between AA and NHW individuals [5,6]. Evidence demonstrates that chronic stress contributes to inflammatory processes leading to CHD/stroke [7,8]. Identification of psychobiological determinants of disease acknowledges the individual as the center of a dynamic environment where multiple social, psychological, biological, and
cultural factors mediate and moderate disease progression and outcomes over the life span [9]. Such a view is consistent with allostatic load, a concept derived from the term allostasis, meaning the maintenance of stability or “homeostasis.” [10]. Allostatic load refers to the fluctuations in health status based on response to stressors and can be thought of as the “wear and tear” on the body that results from acute and chronic stressors. McEwen [10] conceptualized a model of the relationship between perceived stress and physiologic responses and the resulting allostatic load on the individual. Allostatic load is considered to be cumulative exposure to stressors across the life span, including early life adversity (such as childhood maltreatment) and social stressors ranging from exposure to community violence, perceived discrimination and socioeconomic hardship. The three-hit theory (hit 1-genetic predisposition; hit 2-early-life environment; and hit 3-later-life environment) of vulnerability and resilience integrates the allostatic load model stating that “in a given context vulnerability is enhanced when failure to cope with adversity accumulates” [11 p.1859].

Studies demonstrate that social stressors contribute to health status through various biological pathways [12–16]. Furthermore, adverse early life experiences, may “prime” inflammatory pathways predisposing an individual to mount a greater proinflammatory response to future stressors in adulthood [17–19]. In addition, early life adversity, such as exposure to child abuse, emotional and physical neglect may epigenetically alter DNA methylation levels creating a proinflammatory epigenetic signature that influences acute stress reactivity and inflammatory cytokine production. This, in turn, predisposes such individuals to a heightened proinflammatory response to stress as an adult [20,21]. Exposure to early life adversity, coupled with an increased allostatic load (due to multiple social, psychological, biological, and cultural factors) places individuals at greater risk for inflammatory based diseases such as CHD.

Although it is well established that, as a group, AA experience greater social stressors than NHW [22], the extent to which early life adversity and cumulative social stressors such as perceived discrimination, neighborhood violence, subjective social status (SSS), and socioeconomic status (SES) contribute to disparity in CHD/stroke disease between AA and NHW are not well understood. Chronic stress doubles the risk of myocardial infarction and contributes to proinflammatory processes implicated in CHD and stroke [8]; and it is critical to clarify the underlying social-biological pathways. A conceptual model may assist in
guiding future research explaining the mechanisms for how social stressors contribute to CHD disparities. Therefore, the purpose of this paper is to propose a conceptual model based upon McEwen’s Allostatic Load Model [10]. We propose social context and psychological stress, particularly during early life, engenders a proinflammatory epigenetic signature, which drives a heightened inflammatory state that increases risk for CHD and stroke.

**Stress, Inflammation and CHD/Ischemic Stroke**

Inflammation plays a key role in the development of CHD and ischemic stroke [23,24]. The most common mechanism for the development of CHD and ischemic stroke is atherogenesis, a complex process by which the artery becomes obstructed with plaque. The process appears to begin early in life with the deposit of small lipoprotein particles in the intima and progresses with leukocyte recruitment, formation of lipid-laden macrophages, and foam cells. Evidence continues to accumulate supporting a state of chronic, low-grade inflammation in the development of atherosclerosis [25]. Endothelial cells and leukocytes within atherosclerotic plaques produce a variety of inflammatory mediators, such as heat shock proteins (HSP) [26] and interleukin-6 (IL-6) [25]. Progression of an atherosclerotic lesion from an early fatty streak to an advanced fibroproliferative atherosclerotic lesion is believed to be related to infiltration of T cells and macrophages into the intimal wall. Activated T-cells present in atherosclerotic lesions can secret interferon (IFN)-γ which induces vascular cellular adhesion molecule (VCAM-1) [25] which is found to be associated with endothelial dysfunction and is a marker of early CHD [27].

Psychosocial stress triggers increases in several circulating proinflammatory cytokines [28,29]. Evidence demonstrates that elevations in circulating proinflammatory cytokines play a causal role in mediating stress-induced vascular inflammation and atherogenesis [30,31]. This may involve alterations in endothelial cell function, as proinflammatory cytokines induce endothelial cell dysfunction [31], likely by down regulating endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and increasing vascular oxidative stress [30,32]. For example, treatment of porcine pulmonary artery endothelial cells with a combination of IFN-gamma, TNF-alpha, and IL-1 reduced eNOS mRNA and protein [33]. Also, TNF-alpha and IL-1 beta down-regulated eNOS mRNA expression in human coronary artery cells [34]. In contrast, the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10, decreased the effect of proinflammatory cytokine induced endothelial dysfunction [35].
Of note, glucocorticoids, may also contribute to stress-triggered endothelial dysfunction, as inhibition of adrenal glucocorticoid secretion was shown to prevent endothelial dysfunction in healthy volunteers exposed to stress [36].

Ample evidence demonstrates that both acute [37] and chronic psychological stress [38], as well as negative emotions, like anger [39], contribute to endothelial dysfunction. Acute psychosocial stress was shown to impair flow mediated endothelial dilation, an index of endothelial dysfunction [40,41]. Impaired endothelial flow mediated vasodilation occurs rapidly and is of long duration. For example, by 10 minutes following a 3-minute mental stress task, healthy subjects exhibited a 50% reduction in flow-mediated endothelium-dependent vasodilation, lasting for 45 minutes [37]. Also, in healthy subjects, a laboratory speech task (preparing and delivering a speech in front of an evaluative panel) produced a significant reduction in flow mediated vasodilation at 30 and 90 minutes post stress, with levels reduced to that comparable to diabetic subjects with chronic endothelial dysfunction [40]. Thus, these findings provide evidence that link acute stress exposure to both inflammation and endothelial dysfunction, an early indicator of CVD. Whether an increased allostatic load and a background of cumulative life stress exaggerate both the inflammatory and endothelial response to stress remains to be determined.

Social Context, Stress, and Inflammation

A pervasive feature common to those raised in an adverse environment with social disadvantage is exposure to a greater frequency and intensity of chronic stressors. These chronic stressors include economic hardship, discrimination, neighborhood violence and low perceived social status. It is well known that individuals exposed to chronic stress are vulnerable to anxiety and depression [42,43]. Epidemiological studies document that psychological stress may have a significant deleterious effect on the development and progression of atherosclerosis [44,45]. In fact, some contend that nearly 40% of patients with atherosclerosis have no other known risk factors, other than psychological stress [46]. Furthermore, an individual’s social context, especially during childhood and adolescence, is now recognized to be a powerful predictor of adult health [47] suggesting that the origins of health disparity are rooted in one’s early social environment and life experiences [48]. Provocative findings from the Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) study reveal that in a sample of 17,000 adults, those who were exposed to family violence, abuse, and neglect during childhood had a 1.5–2.0 fold greater rate of
cardiovascular disease, autoimmune disorders, and premature
death than those who did not experience such early life adversity
[49]. Evidence derived from experimental animal models confirm
these findings and suggest causal pathways of adverse early life
experiences affecting adult health outcomes, especially CHD [50].
Such causal pathways in humans remain to be established.

Data substantiate an independent link between early adverse life
events and elevated levels of proinflammatory cytokines
[17, 51–54]. Repeated exposure to psychological stress induces
chronic inflammation that can facilitate atherosclerosis [28].
Moreover, chronic stress is associated with an increased risk of
recurrent acute coronary events and mortality [55, 56]. TNF-alpha
and IL-6 are intimately involved in the pathogenesis of
atherosclerosis and their association with chronic psychological
stress is well-described [30]. Furthermore, evidence suggests that
lower levels of subjective social status in AA are associated with
higher levels of HSP [16]. As such, the association of
psychological stress with low grade inflammation appears to be an
important precursor to a variety of disease states and to reduce
quality of life. However, the precise mechanism(s) by which stress
stimulates low grade inflammation and precipitates or contributes
to disease is unknown. Hence, future research is essential to clarify
the role of interactions between psychological stress and genetic
and epigenetic factors in the development and progression of
cardiovascular disease [57, 58].

Enduring and/or intense life stress, especially during early life,
impacts lifelong emotionality and heightens stress reactivity, as
evidenced by greater anxiety and cortisol response to future
stressors in adulthood [59, 60]. It is theorized that exposure to early
life adverse events during critical windows of development,
including childhood and adolescence, alters neuroendocrine-
immune pathways to result in chronic low grade inflammation
during adulthood that predisposes the individual to inflammatory
disease [40]. Biological embedding posits that early life adversities
and cumulative disadvantages “recalibrates” the physiological
response to stress in a manner that results in a dysfunctional stress
response pattern during adulthood that increases risk for disease
[61, 62]. Evidence demonstrates that adults exposed to early life
adversities respond to acute stress with an exaggerated
inflammatory response [17, 63] and a reduced cortisol response
[64], furthering their risk for developing inflammatory disease,
such as CHD or stroke [17]. Although there is evidence
demonstrating that individuals with CHD mount a greater response
to an acute laboratory stress challenge than those without CHD
no studies were found that examined the influence of chronic and cumulative stress on the acute stress response in individuals at risk for CHD/stroke. A recent study demonstrated that adverse prior life events are associated with heightened inflammatory markers, such as IL-6, in AA but not for Whites [66]. It is well established that AAs experience significantly greater early adverse events compared to Whites [22]. Future research examining the link between adverse life events and inflammation in adulthood may shed light on health disparities found among AA.

**Epigenetic Signature, Social Context, Stress, and Inflammation**

Epigenetics signature refers to a variety of processes that affect gene expression independent of actual DNA sequence [59]. Epigenetic information provides instruction on how, where, and when genetic information will be used. Hence, the importance of epigenetic information is that it regulates gene expression. Epigenetics can refer to heritable effects on gene expression, or to the stable long-term alteration of the transcriptional potential of a cell, which may not necessarily be heritable. Most importantly, epigenetic information is susceptible to change, and represents an excellent target to understand how the environment may impact physiological function. The impact could be manifest as long as the environmental factor is present or could persist in its absence [20,67]. The effect could be transient (during the duration) or extended (subsequent) to the environmental impact and although not necessarily transmittable (mitotically and/or meiotically), could exert significant influence. While epigenetics refers to effects on single and/or sets of genes, epigenomics refers to global epigenetic modifications that encompass the entire genome. As such, genetic information provides the blueprint for the manufacture of the proteins necessary to cellular function; whereas, epigenetic information provides instruction for the use of that blueprint, permitting an ordered and regulated gene expression pattern.

Epigenetically regulated gene expression is a consequence of small covalent chemical modifications, which mark the genome and play a role in turning genes on or off [68]. DNA methylation is one such a mark. In this process, methyl groups attach to the backbone of the DNA molecule at cytosine rings found at CpG dinucleotides [69]. These methyl groups typically turn genes off by affecting the accessibility of DNA. Another type of mark, known as histone modifications, indirectly affects the accessibility of DNA. There are a variety of such chemical marks that modify the amino terminal tails of histones (e.g. acetylation, methylation, phosphorylation), changing how tightly or loosely DNA is
packaged. If the wrapping is tight, a gene may be hidden from the cell’s transcription machinery, consequently less accessible and hence switched off. In contrast, if the wrapping is loosened, a gene that was formerly inaccessible can become accessible. For example, histone deacetylation results in transcriptional repression. Conversely, histone acetylation, which involves the covalent addition of acetyl groups to the lysine moieties in the amino terminal histone tails, results in an increase in gene expression. Other relevant epigenetic regulators of gene expression are non-coding RNAs (ncRNAs, i.e., transcripts that are not translated into protein), which can range in size from a few nucleotides to several kilobase. These ncRNAs can mediate both transcriptional and post-transcriptional gene silencing as well as activation [70].

One of the best characterized epigenetic processes is DNA methylation. Within recent years, the impact of epigenetic mechanisms in cardiovascular pathophysiology has become increasingly recognized as a major factor in the interface between genotype and environment, which is largely responsible for phenotype variability. Compelling evidence demonstrates that the epigenome dynamically responds to changes in environment throughout an individual’s life, controlling normal development, homeostasis, aging, and mediating responses to environmental stimuli [71]. One’s epigenetic signature can be modulated by one’s environment over the lifespan, and thus the epigenome serves as an important bridge linking life experiences, phenotypic expression, and disease risk (Figure 1). Emerging evidence demonstrates that an individual’s behavior, stress response, disease susceptibility, and even longevity are influenced by their epigenome and their epigenetic signature. Importantly, both are potentially malleable and thus, potentially reversible, through lifestyle environmental factors, such as nutrition, exercise, behavior modification and stress reduction (e.g. mindfulness-based stress reduction [72–75]. Such behavioral modifications have the capacity to impact complex, multifactorial diseases such as those affecting the cardiovascular system.

Figure 1:
Epigenetics over the lifespan. Source: Figure is used with permission and is from “Prospects for Epigenetic Epidemiology” by D.L. Foley, J.M. Craig, R. Morely,
Mathews and Janusek [60] demonstrated that epigenetic pattern and immune dysregulation are associated with psychosocial distress. Their work suggests that this may be mediated by exposure to cortisol [76]. The role of epigenetics in the development and progression of complex diseases, such as cancer [77], atherosclerosis [78], and stroke [71] continues to be elucidated through ongoing research. Animal studies provide causal evidence that stress modifies epigenetic signatures and plays a role in stress adaptation [79,80]. In primates, increased global DNA methylation was found to be associated with heightened behavioral stress reactivity following early life stress. Convincing evidence indicates that prior life adversity, such as low SES, contributes to DNA methylation levels [67,81,82] and may influence the regulation of the proinflammatory response to stress [79,80]. McGuinness et al. [67] demonstrated low SES to be associated with global DNA hypomethylation and IL-6 levels in a population-based study of men and women living in deprived areas of Glasgow. Results from animal studies, suggest that alterations in DNA methylation due to maternal maltreatment may exert lifelong and even trans-generational effects [20]. Our recent findings demonstrate both childhood emotional neglect and exposure to neighborhood violence in urban AA men (mean age 21 yrs) to result in a greater IL-6 response and a lower cortisol response to a laboratory paradigm of social evaluative stress. Moreover, the extent of DNA methylation of the IL-6 promoter in peripheral blood mononuclear cells moderated the association of childhood emotional neglect and exposure to violence. That is, AA men with lower DNA methylation and higher levels of emotional neglect or violence exposure produced the greatest amount of IL-6 in response to social evaluative stress [83]. Given that AA experience greater prior life adversity [22], alteration in the proinflammatory response may underlie CHD/stroke disparities among minorities and may relate to global DNA methylation levels. Understanding the linkages among social stressors, CVD risk, and epigenetic signature may yield novel biomarkers with clinical utility to assess risk, development, and progression of CHD/stroke disease [71].

As noted above, prior research demonstrates that early life abuse results in a life long burden of behavioral and pathophysiological problems [84,85] including an increased proinflammatory tone 20 years later [54]. Evidence in animal models suggests that such
effects may emerge as a result of epigenetic modification. For example, findings derived using animal models demonstrate that early life stress or maltreatment result in DNA methylation modification of genes expressed in the brain, shaping HPA stress reactivity and behavioral stress responsivity throughout life [86,87,88]. In humans, epigenetic modifications for the regulatory regions of NR3C1 (i.e., glucocorticoid receptor) have been observed in post-mortem brains of human suicide victims with a positive history of childhood abuse and likely relate to dysregulation of the HPA axis [89]. Moreover, such epigenetic modification are not limited to the brain in that prior life adversity was shown to produce epigenetic modifications in peripheral blood cells and those modifications related to increased inflammation [67,90,91]. Changes in global DNA methylation may be some of the earliest cellular events in disease onset [92] and such aberrant changes have been linked to a broad range of diseases, including CVD. Based on our review of the literature, we propose a conceptual model in which we hypothesize that social context and psychological stress engenders a proinflammatory epigenetic signature, which confers an enhanced proinflammatory status and increased CHD and ischemic stroke risk (Figure 2).

**Figure 2**

The Figure depicts the proposed conceptual model.

The model is based on allostatic load theory, which posits that cumulative life stress results in an altered calibration of the physiological response to acute stress, which predisposes individuals to...

**IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH**

In the last decade, increasing knowledge in the field of epigenetics has dramatically changed our understanding of how one’s environment, including one’s social environment, affects gene regulation to influence one’s health over the lifespan and even the health of future generations. It is very likely that epigenetic processes are the missing link that explicates how one’s social context and early-life adverse experiences alter the stress-related inflammatory responses over the lifespan, which, in turn, contributes to social inequalities in risk for CHD/stroke. In the
future, epigenetic biomarkers may be used to identify CHD/stroke risk, informing clinical decision making so that preventive and treatment strategies can be targeted to those most vulnerable. Furthermore, epigenetic approaches, including lifestyle modification offer promise to reduce health inequities linked to social disadvantage, as evidence demonstrates that adverse epigenetic marks attributed to early life adversity can be reversed \[93\]. Mind-body approaches, such as mindfulness based stress reduction, meditation, and yoga are emerging as highly promising interventions to reduce stress underlying pathophysiological pathways leading to CHD/stroke. Such innovative therapeutic approaches are only beginning to be investigated.

Advances in research that investigates linkages among social context, epigenetics, and inflammation in those at risk for CHD/stroke have potential to support health policies aimed at the social roots of disparity in CVD. For example, the recognition of aspects of the family and community that increase the risk of epigenetic modification may reveal aspects of the early environment that can be modified as part of community-level efforts to improve well being in those at risk. As well, the identification of protective factors (i.e., coping strategies, social support, resiliency) will yield information about malleable processes that can be targeted to maximize the efficacy of preventive and treatment strategies. This may include providing prenatal care and early childhood enrichment programs or interventions that may more effectively reduce risk for health disparity in CHD/stroke.

Research examining the interaction among social stressors, epigenetic mechanisms, and CHD/ischemic stroke is in its infancy. The continued development of new techniques to examine epigenetic mechanisms, including gene-epigene interactions, as well as epigenome-wide associations studies (EWAS) \[94\] will no doubt carry us into a new age of understanding how individuals adapt to their environments, ultimately leading to evidenced-based interventions that will eliminate health disparities in CHD/stroke.
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