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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Ketcham in 1927, reported a high incidence of root resorption among his patients. The orthodontic profession reacted with great concern. Numerous studies have been performed since then, some of them attempting to elucidate the mechanism by which root resorption takes place and some of them striving to clarify the precise etiology.

The understanding of the problem today indicates that orthodontic mechanics are capable of inducing root resorption, particularly when excessive forces are used. The mechanism by which root resorption occurs is quite clear, at the present time. However, the severe generalized incidence of root resorption, which appears in about 10% of orthodontic patients, possesses no definite explanation, and most clinicians "observe these changes, and in silence, blame themselves and their mechanics" as Becks wrote, in 1936. Becks inferred then that the susceptibility to root resorption is controlled by many coexisting factors. The research on the topic indicates that there should be some relationship between idiopathic root resorption and
metabolic and nutritional factors. Nevertheless, that relationship has not yet been definitely shown, and controversy still prevails.

The present investigation will seek to demonstrate how healthy individuals undergoing orthodontic treatment can be distinguished, one from another as a function of the presence or absence of moderate to severe, generalized root resorption. The basis of characterization are measured values of various parameters of calcium and phosphorus metabolism and of certain parameters of the hormonal status, taken individually and collectively for each subject.

The results, seen in this study, if positive, might form a ground upon which a prediction test of susceptibility among orthodontic patients, in regard to root resorption, may be based. This test could be used by clinicians in order to view the problem prospectively, instead of retrospectively.
CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The first reports regarding the resorption of the roots of permanent teeth, as sited by Ketcham\textsuperscript{23}, were made by Bates in 1856, Chase in 1875, Harding 1878, and the first study concerning apical root resorption with orthodontic procedures was reported in the literature by Ottolengui in 1914. However, it was not until the reports on apical root resorption presented by Ketcham in 1927\textsuperscript{23,24} followed by a subsequent report in 1929\textsuperscript{25} that the orthodontic profession reacted with great concern. Ketcham reported that, excluding gross pathological causes, only 1\% of 1037 normal individuals x-rayed showed root resorption. This finding is in accordance with the results of Hemley\textsuperscript{16} and similar to the observations of Rudolph\textsuperscript{55}, who reported a 5\% incident of root resorption, perhaps because the gross pathologic causes were not ruled out from his sample.

For patients who underwent orthodontic treatment, the percentages of root resorption were completely different. Ketcham's final report\textsuperscript{25} covered over 385 cases treated by himself and other orthodontic specialists
in their private practices. He found that 21% of these cases showed root resorption after treatment. At that time Ketcham stated, "apical root loss was so startling, so potent in danger to the orthodontic patient, so prolific of recrimination to the orthodontist himself", that he "made bold" to present his findings to the orthodontic world. Ketcham's dramatic evaluations acted as a catalyst, challenging every orthodontist to review his own experiences.

Becks (1936) found that 20% of orthodontic cases treated at the University of California showed root resorption. Hemley, studying 165 treated cases at the New York University, found 21.5% revealing root resorption. These investigators showed remarkable unanimity in their studies. However, Rudolf of the University of Minnesota reported on the work done at the undergraduate clinic and published these amazing results: "At the end of the first year of treatment, 49% of the 439 patients showed root resorption; and at the end of the two years of treatment, 75% of the remaining 277 patients demonstrated root resorption." In a subsequent study Rudolf reported that the longer the treatment was and the older the patient, the higher the incidence of root resorption.

In 1951, Henry and Weinman performed a histologic study of the morphologic and physiologic characteristics of the cementum in terms of number, size, distribution and types of resorption areas in the permanent
teeth of 15 cadavers. Their findings disclosed that over 90% of the teeth showed evidence of root resorption. The resorption areas were observed more often in the apical third of the roots (76.8%), than in the middle (19%), or gingival third (4%). There were also more instances of resorption on the mesial and buccal surface, which indicated that resorption occurred more readily upon surfaces which are facing toward the direction of physiologic drift. Age, according to Henry and Weinman was a contributing factor in the causation of resorption.

Massler and Malone in 1954, studied 708 sets of x-rays of complete dentitions of normal individuals 12 to 49 years of age and compared them to 81 sets of x-rays of patients 12 to 19 years of age which had undergone orthodontic treatment. It was found that over 80% of the untreated group exhibited root resorption, while in the orthodontic group that number increased to 93.3%. However, the frequency of moderate degrees of root resorption rose from 9.2% in the untreated group to 31.4% in the treated group. The frequency of severe root resorption rose from 0.3% to 10.8% and of the very severe resorption, from .11% to 3.4%. The percentage of teeth which exhibited slight root resorption decreased from 71% to 54.7%.

Concerning the frequency and susceptibility of different teeth to root resorption after orthodontic treatment, there seem to be few disagreements in
epidemiologic studies.\textsuperscript{3,17,36} Overall, there is an agreement that the most susceptible teeth to root resorption are the upper and lower incisors, followed by the upper first molars, upper first and second premolars and canines, while the lower canines, lower first and second premolars and first molars show less susceptibility. There is also evidence\textsuperscript{17} supporting the similarity in the order of susceptibility between the treated and untreated populations; the only difference is the severity of root resorption displayed.

Sex was found not to be a variable related to root resorption\textsuperscript{16,44}. There seems to be some controversy concerning the influence of the age of the patients which underwent orthodontic treatment as well as the length of the treatment. Rudolf\textsuperscript{55,56} found a significant increase of root resorption in older patients and high correlation between root resorption and length of treatment. On the other hand, Phillips\textsuperscript{44} did not find any relationship between root resorption and age of patients or length of treatment. Phillips also refuted the observation of Hemley\textsuperscript{16} according to which root resorption is related to the amount of movement of the apices of the teeth.

Thus, from these epidemiologic studies, concerning root resorption it is well established\textsuperscript{10,16,17,24,25,36,44,55,56} that the orthodontic treatment can significantly increase both the severity and
the number of teeth which exhibit this defect.


Sandstedt\textsuperscript{61,62} was the first to experiment on animals in order to study the mechanism of tooth movement, in 1904 and 1905. Using heavy intermittent forces produced by screws, activated daily, he retracted the anterior teeth of adult dogs. Microscopic observation of the teeth and supporting structures revealed the development of a cell free zone in the periodontal ligament of the teeth at the pressure sites. Sandstedt called these zones the "hyalinization process".

Generally, the term hyaline degeneration refers to a regressive cellular change in which the cytoplasm of the
cells takes on a homogenous, glossy eosinophilic appearance. Although pathologists have objected to the excessive use of the word "hyaline" to describe the histologic appearance of a wide variety of unrelated circumstances, the term hyalinization of the periodontal ligament is used in the current literature. It describes the cell free area of the periodontal ligament (P.D.L.) which develops when an excessive force is applied on a tooth. The area acquires a glassy like appearance in hematoxylin and eosin stained histologic sections.\textsuperscript{53}

Sandstedt also described the undermining resorption i.e. a resorption starting from the marrow spaces around a compressed and hyalinized area of the P.D.L.

Johnson, et al,\textsuperscript{22} in 1926 induced labial movement of juvenile monkey central incisors with partially formed roots. They described a fulcrum of rotation to exist somewhere in the root of the incisors, causing lingual movement of the apices. This apical section was deformed in comparison to the control side, leaving the tip in its neutral position. They also described a resorption area in the section of the root, where deformation had taken place.

During this period, Ketcham reported on a radiographic investigation\textsuperscript{23,24,25} of root resorption among human subjects. His findings revealed that patients treated with lingual appliances with finger springs attached to them, exhibited less root resorption, than the patients
treated with the "pin and ligature appliance". This finding caused discordance between clinicians and investigators, since the first was considered to deliver light continuous forces while the latter intermittent forces.

Schwartz in 1931 and 1932 stated that intermittent forces are dangerous since they produce the phenomenon of "jiggling". This jiggling, which means a repeated change in the direction and in the amount of pressure applied within a short period of time is thought responsible for extensive root resorption. According to Schwartz, the most favorable treatment was that in which forces were continuous and did not exceed the pressure of the blood capillaries. This pressure in men, as well as in most mammals, is 15-20 mm. Hg., and corresponds approximately to 20-26 gr. per 1 cm² of root surface. This pressure is so intensive, that a continuous, rather aggressive resorption takes place in the alveolar bone at the pressure site.

Gottlieb's findings from his experiments in dogs support Schwartz's hypothesis. After creating a traumatic occlusion, he found formation of osteoid tissue at the pressure site of the P.D.L. This finding led him to the conclusion that interruption in the application of forces should be avoided during orthodontic treatment, because each interruption caused the formation of a new alveolar wall at the pressure site by deposition of newly uncalcified bone
(osteoid). He verified that osteoid tissue is more resistant to resorption than the "old" calcified bone, and therefore, if a force is applied again, resorption may attack the tooth surface more easily. Gottlied demonstrated that the teeth of young animals in comparison to adult animals, exhibited considerable resistance to root resorption.

Herzberg\textsuperscript{19} in 1932 was the first to study human subjects. He moved orthodontically the first premolar of a patient and then extracted it together with its surrounding supporting tissue in order to study histologically the changes that occurred. He reported that the reaction of the human alveolar bone was quite similar to that of experimental animals.

One person who contributed significantly to our knowledge and understanding on tooth movement was Albin Oppenheim, an outstanding clinician and investigator. He performed numerous experiments on dogs,\textsuperscript{38} monkeys\textsuperscript{39,40,41,43} and humans\textsuperscript{42}.

Experimenting on young monkeys\textsuperscript{39,40,41} Oppenheim found that when a strong force was used to move a tooth, tipping of the apex towards the opposite direction would occur. The blood vessels and nerves entering the apical foramen appeared to be distorted; a reaction of the bone was lacking, while there appeared numerous resorptions of the cementum. By experimenting with gentle intermittent forces,
he observed comparatively quick recovery of the P.D.L., due to a rapid re-establishment of blood supply from the application of pressure extending over a short period of time. Even if the force was occasionally somewhat stronger, it soon regained its ability to react biologically, to form osteoclasts and to resorb bone. In addition, the cementum quickly regained, through its rapidly re-established normal blood supply, its original resistance against resorption. Oppenheim stated that continuous forces can lead to a compression of the blood vessels and anemia of the P.D.L. more easily than can intermittent forces. This anemia reduces the vitality of the P.D.L. and creates a situation which is responsible, on one hand, for the lack of proper bone reactions, and on the other, for the development of root resorption. He realistically stated, "orthodontic procedures, no matter the kind of forces used, are a violence to nature. We must try to do only the least amount of damage, for we cannot, by any means, avoid or evade some damage". Studying human premolars in histologic sections after being moved orthodontically with light intermittent forces, Oppenheim observed an abundance of large vessels and capillaries close to cementum resorptions at the pressure sites of the P.D.L.. According to his description, these vascular changes suggested an angioma-like formation. His explanation depicts this formation as a protective measure of nature, a sort of cushion, which interposed
between the tooth and alveolar wall and which protects the structures from increased pressure. While studying the angioma-like formation, he observed that the endothelial cells of the capillaries were lying very close to resorption lacunae, on the cementum and on the alveolar wall. He concluded that the endothelial cells of capillaries can exercise osteoclastic or cementoclastic activity, working to relieve pressure by gaining space. During this process, osteoblasts could not be identified.

In a subsequent study\textsuperscript{43} Oppenheim observed the reaction of osteocytes to different degrees of force and described three types of osteoclasts, the primary, secondary and tertiary. This classification was based on the place that they would appear, which would be determined by the intensity of the force applied. Using light forces, he described compression of the P.D.L. on one side and the presence of "primary" osteoclasts resorbing the alveolar wall, while the osteocytes were intact. On the tension side, there was apposition of osteoid tissue in uniform layers. Using heavier forces, he found hemorrhagic sites on the pressure side, hyalinized areas, and "primary" osteoclasts around the hyalinized areas. The osteocytes showed signs of degeneration, and "secondary" osteoclasts appeared in the bone marrow, causing undermining resorption. On the tension side, many periodontal fibers were disrupted, some vessels were torn, hemorrhages were
formed, and osteoid tissue was deposited in such a manner as to form spicules. Using heavy forces, he described complete hyalinization of the P.D.L. and disappearance of the osteocytes on the pressure side. Numerous osteoclasts were present in the bone marrow, resorbing the bone, which he considered to be dead after the disappearance of the osteocytes. On the tension side, most of the periodontal fibers were ruptured, and hemorrhages were found throughout. There was a trace of osteoid tissue formation, while numerous tertiary osteoclasts were observed on the tension side.

Reitan\textsuperscript{48} described two zones of proliferating cells on the tension side. The first was located parallel to the root and close to the alveolar wall. The other zone was located adjacent to the root. Mitotic cell division was observed more often in the zone adjacent to the bone. Concerning the pressure side, Reitan was the first to state that there was great similarity between the nuclei of surrounding fibroblasts and individual nuclei of the osteoclasts. That observation was of prime importance, since it was later verified that one type of multinucleate osteoblast derived from fusion of undifferentiated mesenchymal cells\textsuperscript{60}.

In the early 1950's, several researchers\textsuperscript{31,54,74,75} attempted to develop a new experimental model that would allow study of tissue response to tooth movement in both
qualitative and quantitative ways. That experimental animal was the rat, which being versatile for its size and relatively cheap, allowed investigators to use a larger number of experimental animals. The most important advantage was that genetic and metabolic variations could be overcome by using animals from previously developed pure strains. Tooth movement was usually induced by placing a rubber band, or a piece of rubber dam between the upper first and second molars. Using this type of experimental model, Waldo and Rothblatt found that the tissue response to orthodontic tooth movement was similar to that found in other animals. They found initial compression of the P.D.L., followed by hyalinization and undermining resorption which was obvious three days after the application of the force. Small areas of root resorption were observed in the teeth of the animals in which the stress of the rubber band was allowed to act from five to seven days. Accompanying this root resorption was usually an area of hemorrhage and crushing of the periodontal fibers, suggesting, according to the investigators, that such resorption of cementum may result from excessive stress, even in perfectly normal animals. Another interesting finding was the histologic evidence of reaction to applied stress, as a result of occlusal adjustment, in the lower quadrant corresponding to the experimental side.

In a subsequent study Waldo and Rothblatt found
that hypophysectomized animals as well as rats on lysine-deficient diets exhibited extremely high osteoclastic and cementoclastic activity, and mechanical stress seemed to produce extreme loss of bone.

Macapanpan et al., 31 in 1954, were the first to attempt to quantify the tissue reaction following tooth movement by measuring the mitotic activity of the fibroblasts of the P.D.L. Their findings showed that the mitotic activity among the fibroblasts of the P.D.L. in the experimentally induced tension area was three to four times higher than that on the control side, and that periods of maximal mitotic activity followed periods of maximum displacement of teeth after 15 to 18 hour intervals. It was concluded that not only osteoblasts and osteoclasts but also fibroblasts play an important role in the repair following tooth movement. It was speculated that the increased mitotic activity of the fibroblasts was part of the process by which the fiber bundles of the widened periodontal spaces are repaired and re-adapted to the altered interface between tooth and bone. Fibroblasts are capable of synthesizing and degrading collagen.69

On the pressure side, Zaki77 found that where hyalinization occurred, hyperplastic mesenchymal tissues entered and reorganized the non-vital tissue. This mesenchymal tissue approached the hyalinized areas from different directions: from the normal P.D.L., apical and
marginal to the hyalinized area and through the medullary alveolar margin bone spaces opening into the P.D.L. This proliferating young connective tissue can, and often does, resorb the cementum of the root surface as well as the alveolar bone margin in the vicinity of the hyalinized P.D.L.

Baumrind\textsuperscript{1} in 1970 using radioisotopes tried to quantify the metabolic activity of the cells of the P.D.L. after inducing tooth movement. It was found that, on the pressure side, cell replication rates increased at statistically significant levels instead of displaying the predicted decline in activity. On the tension side, cell replication increased as predicted. General metabolic activity appeared to increase but collagen synthesis decreased, both being displayed in the tension and pressure sides concomitently. This latter finding can be explained as an initial drop in collagen production below a rather high base line\textsuperscript{9} since it is accepted as fact\textsuperscript{9,4,6} that the turnover of the collagen in the P.D.L. is high.

Several electron microscopy studies during the last decade\textsuperscript{26,27,28,57,58,59,60} on tissue changes following tooth movement have given us a more accurate portrayal of the situation.

The principal findings of those studies indicate that, after application of force, initial dilation of blood vessels and packing of erythrocytes developed, while platelets and floccular material interspersed between the
cellular elements. The vessel walls appeared to be intact, at this stage, and, although the erythrocytes had been pressed against each other, an open lumen in these vessels remained\textsuperscript{58}. During the next stage, part of the endothelial walls had disappeared along with the basement lamina thus allowing communication between the lumen of the blood vessels and the perivascular space\textsuperscript{58}.

Crystalization of the erythrocytes developed in the P.D.L. indicating a local degradation of the erythrocytes as a result of pressure and hemostasis\textsuperscript{59}. Cementoblasts, fibroblasts, and osteoblasts showed no difference in cellular reaction to the orthodontic force\textsuperscript{57} and they all exhibited various stages of disintegration, characterized by intracellular swelling, advanced dilation of the endoplasmic reticulum, moderate swelling of the mitochondria, rupture of the cell membrane followed by separation of the nucleus from the cytoplasm and decomposition of the nucleus\textsuperscript{57}. This process indicated that cell death occurs in the cytoplasm, while the nucleus disintegrated later. Furthermore, it demonstrated that the previous assumption which held that the death of a cell is more prominent in the nucleus then in the cytoplasm, due to the appearance of a pycnotic nucleus, was not correct. This process would proceed until complete hyalinization of the P.D.L. at the pressure sites had occurred. Multinuclear large cells appeared then near the cementum surface at some distance from the hyalinized
tissues. These cells resorb tooth substance. The resorption of the cementum appeared under the E.M. as a resorption from the rear. Once the cementum is resorbed, there is an indication that the resorption continues in the dentin at a greater rate. In other words, the cementum acts as a barrier so that resorption of the entire root of the tooth does not take place. The P.D.L. adjacent to the cementum being resorbed from the rear, was rich in blood vessels and cells. Hyalinized structures disappeared concommitantly with an invasion of cells and blood vessels from the neighboring P.D.L.

These finding are common both in animal and human material and indicate that the formation of hyalinized zones on the P.D.L. due to force application lead to root resorption which occurs during the invasion of new cells from the healthy periodontium and the bone marrow.

It might be concluded that the mechanism by which root resorption occurs is presently clear. However, the sited literature does not contribute substantially to our knowledge as to why individuals respond to orthodontic treatment with varying amounts of root resorption.

The cementum can be considered a tissue having similar morphologic characteristics to bone based on the following facts. It is produced by cementoblasts cells very similar to the osteoblasts and it is calcified the same way bone is and is resorbed by large multinucleate cells which
first remove minerals and then the organic matrix. Never-the-less it has some differences from bone and one should to be aware of these differences in order to understand why cementum is much more resistant to resorption and why resorption can occur to cementum.

Most likely the reasons why cementum is more resistant to resorption than bone, are: 1) Cementum has a higher content of fluoride then bone, 2) Bony tissue has an ample blood supply, whereas cementum is completely void of vascular tissue, 3) The cementum is surrounded by older, more mature collagen, which is more resistant to the actual chemical changes than bone, and 4) The cementum is covered by a layer of unmineralized precementum, called the cementoid, which like the osteoid, is considered as a resorption resistant coating.

It is reasonable to consider that variations of the above factors can greatly influence the resistance of cementum to resorption, particularly when a local stimuli changes the equilibrium of the P.D.L.

Since cementum is considered to be very closely related to bone, it would be logical to speculate that the mechanisms which induce cellular activity in order to form or resorb bone would influence the latter in forming or resorbing cementum.

Many observations have shown that bone is formed from cells that proliferate from the vascular endothelium of
thin-walled sinusoid vessels. Cells that form these vessels are often called osteogenic precursor cells and they are the same as those which some authors refer to as undeferentiated mesenchymal cells. A variety of factors operate to influence the proliferation of these cells, some of which are common to all connective tissue cells and some specific for bone.

Common to all these cells is the need for an adequate supply of energy before cell division can take place. Since energy production is brought about by means of oxidation of certain cellular high energy products, a plentiful supply of oxygen is needed for cell proliferation and activity.

In the P.D.L. the undeferentiated mesenchymal cells, become osteoblasts, which lay down the bone matrix. If a decreased oxygen supply occurs, the amount of osteoid matrix produced decreases, or ceases altogether. Equally important for cell division is the local concentration of carbon dioxide. Too high or too low concentrations of carbon dioxide inhibit cell proliferation. Since local concentrations of oxygen and carbon dioxide are partly regulated by the rate of blood flow, the latter should be an important practical consideration.

Another regulating mechanism for cell division and intercellular matrix production is the balance of anabolic and catabolic hormones.
There are two types of osteoclasts described. Both types are multinucleated cells, and secrete enzymes that hydrolyze and degrade bone tissue, and thus, are able to resorb bone.

One type of osteoclast is formed by the coalescence of undeferentiated mesenchymal cells in the presence of excess cortisol or corticosteroids. Osteoclasts formed in this manner, although showing considerable cytoplasmic activity, remain in one place, still being attached to neighboring cells.

The second type of osteoclast is freely-moving, and is formed from macrophages. These cells are formed in the bone marrow and have phagocytic capability. Before the macrophages can coalesce, the cells enlarge. This is prevented by cortisol or corticosteroids so that these catabolic agents inhibit the production of the freely-moving osteoclast. The presence of parathyroid hormone is required before macrophages can coalesce to form osteoclasts. With an excessive amount of parathyroid hormone present, these osteoclasts display a correspondingly exuberant activity. The presence of thyroid hormones seems to be necessary for parathyroid hormone activity.

When the rate of flow of the blood diminishes, as on the pressure side of the P.D.L., the available oxygen is decreased and at the same time, the rate of removal of carbon dioxide is decreased. With a diminished blood flow,
therefore, fewer osteoblasts and less osseous tissue are formed and, at the same time, the osteoclasts which are formed from the undifferentiated mesenchymal cells are also reduced in number. However, it has been demonstrated that as the rate of flow of blood through bone is reduced, macrophages increase in size and coalesce to form phagocytic osteoclasts. This mode of osteoclastic activity and bone removal is therefore enhanced.

The different hormones regulate bone metabolism in order to maintain a constant level of extracellular calcium. Calcium is an important substance and is required: 1) as calcium phosphate and calcium carbonate in order to form the principal chemical constituents of bone, cementum and enamel, 2) for the coagulation of blood (clotting formation), and 3) for the regulation of neural function by keeping the excitability of nerve endings at a normal level.

It is believed that the maintenance of a constant extracellular calcium concentration depends mainly on the dual reciprocal control of bone resorption by parathyroid hormone (PTH) and calcitonin (CT). Other important factors controlling calcium homeostasis and bone resorption are: the level of extracellular phosphorus (P\textsubscript{4}), the presence of vitamin D, the thyroid hormones (T\textsubscript{3}, T\textsubscript{4}), and the corticosteroids, while some secondary factors are: sucrose, fatty acids, heparin, serum
proteins, male and female hormones, etc. The PTH has both a regulatory and a permissive role in bone resorption. The overall resorption rate is maintained by continuous secretion of PTH, which can be increased or decreased in response to calcium concentration. The hormone must also be present for changes in bone resorption to occur locally in response to immobilization. The PTH raises the calcium blood level by causing osteoclastic resorption of bone, and by increasing the excretion of $\text{PO}_4$ from the kidneys causing inhibition of reabsorption of $\text{PO}_4$ from the tubules and by inhibiting calcification of newly formed bone.

Two types of hyperparathyroidism are distinguished. The primary hyperparathyroidism is usually caused by a tumor of the parathyroid gland and the secondary, which can be caused by calcium deficient diets, vitamin D deficiency and kidney insufficiency.

Experimentally, it was reported that repeated injections of phosphates can lead to one type of secondary hyperparathyroidism, as the organism tries to increase the excretion of phosphates through the kidneys.

Weinman and Sicher stated that latent hyperparathyroidism which is developed through moderate renal insufficiency, causes sometimes localized and minute bone changes in areas which have suffered a mechanical or infectious injury. They hypothesized that these localized
changes were the response of the sensitized skeleton to a localized injury.

Vitamin D deficiency primarily causes the reduction of absorption of calcium and secondarily of the PO₄, from the intestinal tract. This function occurs because calcium in the gastrointestinal lumen combines with the PO₄ to form insoluble Ca₃(PO₄)₂. This results in a secondary hyperparathyroidism in order to maintain the blood level of calcium normal. The PO₄ deficiency is increased by the hyperactivity of the parathyroids.

Vitamin D is found to be permissive for P.T.H. stimulated bone resorption. They are considered to be physiologic synergists that act not at the same receptor site in bone-resorbing cells, but at separate sites linked so that the effects of one can enhance the response of the other. When lack of vitamin D occurs, experimental animals become unresponsive to all but extraordinarily large doses of PTH, while large doses of vitamin D has hypercalcemic effects.

Calcitonin together with the PO₄ blood concentration are considered to be the two physiologic inhibitors for bone resorption. These factors work synergistically. Calcitonin mainly causes inhibition of mineral resorption in vivo, while PO₄ concentration primarily causes inhibition of matrix resorption by increasing the deposition of mineral on collagen and
therefore blocking its resorption. Another way by which \( \text{PO}_4 \) can inhibit bone resorption, is by enhancing increased deposition of calcium minerals on bone surfaces which are less mineralized.\(^{13}\) In contrast to the parathyroid hormone which causes bone resorption along with release of calcium by stimulating collagenolytic and proteolytic enzymatic activity, the calcitonin and \( \text{PO}_4 \) block calcium release without inhibiting enzyme release.\(^{73}\) This indicates that while PTH acts directly on the cells, the CT does not do so.

Thyroid hormones regulate calcium homeostasis by controlling the renal secretion of calcium and phosphates. Consequently, the changes in bones are expected to be a response to depletion of calcium. Reduced bone apposition and increased bone resorption in cases of hyperthyroidism are to be understood as an attempt of the organism to mobilize enough calcium and \( \text{PO}_4 \) to compensate for the increase loss of these elements.\(^{76}\) In addition to that, thyroid hormones seem to be necessary so that PTH can act on the cells.\(^{28}\)

The complicated interplay of hormones, which can be influenced by local or generalized factors (primary endocrine malfunction, diet, renal insufficiency, gastointestinal problems, etc.), influences the cellular activity in forming or resorbing bone. Based on the similarity of cementum and bone, it has been
Orthodontists have speculated for a number of years about hormonal and dietetic influences on root resorption and several researchers have attempted to study these relationships. Marshall (1931, 32, 33, 34) experimenting on monkeys, concluded that independent of the type of appliance used, "absorption" on either the lateral or apical aspect of the root occurred under conditions where unusual force was applied. This process seemed to develop more rapidly and proceed farther when the diets of the experimental animals were deficient in ingredients containing vitamin A. Furthermore, when experimental animals were maintained on diets adequate in protein, vitamins, mineral salts, fats and carbohydrates, new tissue was always found in the areas where slight absorption had previously occurred, thus restoring the original contour.

Becks and Weber, in 1931, reported that diets with normal salt mixtures, as well as those with low calcium salt mixture, both being devoid of cod liver oil (vitamin D) given to a series of twenty-five dogs for a period of seven to twelve months, led to atrophic and dystrophic bone changes of the active skeleton. These changes were accompanied by marked root resorption.

In a subsequent study, in 1936, Becks examined 100 patients who exhibited root resorption. Half of them
had undergone orthodontic treatment previously. Based on a complete physical examination, urinalysis, differential blood count, dietary survey, clinical and roentgenographic examination of the teeth and jaws, determination of basal metabolic rate and determination of the serum and saliva calcium and $\text{PO}_4$, it was reported that 60% of the orthodontic group and 40% of the non-orthodontic group exhibited a definite hypothyroidism. Hypothyroidism together with other endocrinopathies accounted for another 20% in the orthodontic group and 26% in the non-orthodontic group. Hyperpituitarism was also noted in his study and correlated with root resorption as well as intestinal problems, the latter causing disturbances in mineral metabolism and therefore leading to pathologic bone formation.

In 1951, Tager searched for medical evidences of endocrine and metabolic disease in 100 children, presenting special orthodontic problems, among them, root resorption. Basal metabolic rate, calcium and $\text{PO}_4$ serum concentration, sugar tolerance, protein bound iodine (P.B.I.) and serum cholesterol were measured. It was concluded that only 10% of the children revealed a "frank endocrinopathy". One case presented hypopituitarism and the remainder of the 10 cases were hypothyroid. The greatest proportion of these cases revealed neither specific endocrine disease nor congenital anomalies. What did appear significant was evidence that
certain types of orthodontic defects, more specifically root resorption and architectural abnormalities of the bone, repeated themselves in a particular type of accelerated growth pattern connected with puberty. This observation led Tager to the notion of a "relative metabolic insufficiency", meaning insufficiency of a hormone or nutrients in the bone, not in an absolute sense, but insufficient in respect for the greater demands made by the accelerated growth pattern. Pinsker in 1962, 45 studied the influence of hydrocortisone on the development of root resorption on monkeys. His finding was that in the hypercorticosteroid group, some of the animals exhibited mild root resorption. Finally, Newman in 1975, 37 attempted to screen 47 orthodontic patients exhibiting moderate to severe root resorption on a minimum of three teeth, from the genetic endocrine and nutritional aspect. His conclusions concerning the genetic aspect was, that no definite relationship could be drawn, and the metabolic aspect was, not at all related to root resorption.

In the sited literature, there are indications that a probable relationship between metabolism and root resorption, particularly in patients which exhibit tendency towards generalized root resorption should exist. Unfortunately, the research findings, to date, have not been able to demonstrate that relationship in a convincing manner. Therefore, additional research in the field is
needed in order to clearly demonstrate the relationship between hormonal function, metabolic status and root resorption.
The subjects in this study consisted of 12 individuals, six of whom were undergoing root resorption with the other six as control. The sample was selected from the patients of the Orthodontic Department of Loyola University, who were under active orthodontic treatment. Of the patients undergoing root resorption, three were male and three female, while of the control patients, four were male and the rest female. All subjects appeared to be healthy with essentially negative medical histories.

Three criteria for the selection of patients who displayed root resorption were used: (1) The first was the amount and degree of root resorption presented. All patients had to exhibit roentgenographic evidence of moderate to severe root shortening of the incisors, premolar and canines in both upper and lower arch. A tooth was considered "severely" shortened if the root loss was greater than, or equal to, one third of the normal root length. A "moderately" shortened root was designated if more than 2 mm., but less than one third, of the root was resorbed. In
order to evaluate the amount of root resorption exhibited, recently exposed intraoral or panoramic radiographs were reviewed from more than 500 patients who were currently under orthodontic treatment. These radiographs were compared to the ones taken prior to treatment and determinations were made concerning the conditions of the roots. These x-rays had been taken routinely from all patients as a method of monitoring the progress of their treatment. (2) The second was the age of the patients. The patients selected were between 17 and 22 years of age in order to secure a homogenous population in regard to their metabolic status. (3) The third was the length of treatment. One principal criterion of selection was that patients exhibiting root resorption had to be in treatment for less than 18 months. The control patients could be in treatment for a longer time. Thus, it was attempted to exclude patients whose root resorption could be readily attributed to excessive and prolonged trauma of the supporting tissues of the dentition. Sex was not considered as a necessary criterion of case selection. Consent forms were signed by each patient.

Blood specimens were drawn pre-prandially before 10:00 a.m. by venal puncture in non-heparinized vacu-tubes. Approximately 15 ml. of blood was drawn and the serum was immediately separated by centrifusion. Half of the serum obtained was used for routine determination in SMAC, to
determine the levels of: (1) serum calcium (Ca), (2) serum phosphorus (PO₄), (3) alkaline phosphatase, (4) serum creatinine. The remaining portion of the serum was frozen to be used later for the determination of certain hormones. Using the double antibody radio-immuno assay method the following assays were carried out: (1) triiodothyronine (T₃), (2) tetraiodothyronine (T₄), (3) cortisol, (4) parathyroid hormone (PTH), and (5) calcitonin (CT).

In addition, the patients were asked to collect total volume urine samples over two separate 24 hour periods. Special containers were furnished. These urine samples were used for the determination of the 24 hour output of: (1) calcium (Ca), (2) phosphorus (PO₄) and (3) creatinine.

Collectively for every patient, the following clinical values were obtained:

1. serum Ca level,
2. serum PO₄ level,
3. 24 hour urine Ca,
4. 24 hour urine PO₄,
5. tubular resorption of PO₄,
6. blood level of alkaline phosphatase,
7. blood level of T₃,
8. blood level of T₄,
9. blood level of cortisol,
10. blood level of PTH,
11. blood level of calcitonin.
The tubular resorption of \( P_\text{O}_4 \) was determined using the following mathematical formula:

\[
\text{TRP} = 100 \left( 1 - \frac{\text{urine } P_\text{O}_4 \times \text{serum creatinine}}{\text{serum } P_\text{O}_4 \times \text{urine creatinine}} \right)
\]

The T.R.P. value reveals the percentage of filtered phosphate that is reabsorbed by the renal tubules. The value is used as an aid for the determination of parathyroid and renal function.

The blood level of alkaline phosphatase was observed because it relates to the metabolic status of bones. Alkaline phosphatase plays an important role in bone formation, and it increases in rickets, bone atrophy, osteoporosis, while moderately increased values have been found in hyperparathyroidism.

Based on these data, it would be possible to investigate the relationship between these urine and serum values, individually and collectively, and root resorption.

In order to investigate the significance between the two groups, two-sample \( t \) tests will be run on each variable by subject and group.

Further, the possible relationship of all the variables, taken as a biochemical mosaic, to root resorption, will be noted using direct and step-wise discriminant statistical analysis.

The direct discriminant analysis weighs, and linearly combines, the discriminating variables in such a
fashion, so that the groups are forced to be as statistically distinct as possible. The stepwise discriminant analysis begins by selecting the best discriminating variable. Subsequent variables are then selected according to their ability to contribute to further discrimination. The significance of the separation of these patients in two groups, as well as the combination of each variable in achieving that separation can be demonstrated by means of histograms, as will be shown subsequently.

In addition to these analytical procedures, the probability for each patient to belong in one or the other group will be shown.

These statistical analyses are used widely in medicine for the classification of microorganisms and mental disorders. Although it was not possible to find applications of these analyses on human studies, it is believed, that use of these analyses can healp the classification of desease and the development of prediction tests which will determine the predisposition of individuals to them. The discriminant analyses used in this study can be found under the S.A.S. (Statistical Analysis System) and the S.P.S.S. (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences).

In order to present each patient as a biochemical composite, or mosaic, the ranges of each laboratory test,
furnished by the laboratory where the tests were performed, will be interconnected, one with another as a function of each test listed systematically in a vertical dimension. The result of such a composite presentation is shown in Fig. 1. The actual observed biochemical values for each variable will then be plotted with respect to the normal range and interconnected in the same fashion as the normal range pattern. Thus, each patient will possess a "fingerprint" to be discriminated statistically and placed into a group of his own kind.
FIGURE 1

Graphic representation of the normal ranges of biochemical tests interconnected one with another in a vertical dimension.

*Normal ranges were furnished by the clinical laboratories which performed the biochemical tests.
CHAPTER IV

RESULTS

Table I shows the initials of the name of each patient, their corresponding sex and age, and the group in which they were placed, according to the root condition. Twelve patients participated in the study. The control group consisted of four male and two female patients, with an age range from 17 to 22 years. The root resorption group consisted of three male and three female patients, with an age range from 17 to 23 years.

The results of the laboratory tests for all the control and root resorption patients are displayed in Tables II and III. On the bottom of these charts, the mean and standard deviation of all the variables and the normal range of each was included. These normal ranges were furnished by the clinical laboratories, which performed the tests.

Figures 2 to 13 display, graphically, the results of the laboratory tests, for each patient separately, as they relate to the normal ranges, given by the clinical laboratories. These graphs are separated in two parts: the upper one, which includes the results given by the S.M.A.C.
and the urinalyses, and the lower one, which includes the results of the hormonal analyses. The continuous line, which forms the periphery of the diagram, represents the normal range of the variables, interconnected one with another vertically. The dotted line, represents the result of laboratory tests, also interconnected one with another. With these diagrams an attempt was made to present each patient as a separate biochemical unit and graphically illustrate the results of the laboratory tests as they relate one to another, and as they relate to their normal ranges.

From the Tables II and III which display the raw data and from the Figures 2 to 13 the following findings could be demonstrated: (1) Within the control group, the values of all the variables fell within their normal ranges, with the only exception being the T.R.P. of patient L.D., which exhibited a low value of 64.6% and of patient F.G., which exhibited a low value of 76.1%. (2) Within the root resorption group, only patient S.K. exhibited the values of all the laboratory tests falling within their normal ranges. The remaining five patients exhibited one or more of their tests to fall outside their normal ranges. Patient W.R. exhibited a high amount of \( PO_4 \) in his 24 hour urinary collection. Patient M.R. exhibited low values of serum Ca, 24 hour urine Ca, T.R.P. and high values of \( T_3 \) and cortisol. Patient V.L. showed low value of the 24 hour

Table IV is a tabulation of the mean values and standard deviations of all the variables of the control and root resorption group. It also displays the t values and the significance of the difference of each variable between the two groups, as they resulted after two-sample t tests were performed. No variable displayed any significant difference at P = .10, between the control and root resorption patients.

Figures 13 to 24, are the histograms displaying the results of the S.P.S.S. discriminant analysis.

The application of the S.P.S.S. discriminant analysis to the data, produced a set of coefficients which determine a line. The best separation between the groups occur when the values, which represent each patient are plotted along this line⁶⁶. In the histograms, the x-axis is the line of best separation. Point zero is the point of separation of the two groups, and lies midway between their centroids, which are the average positions of all the patients in a group. The position of each patient along the x-axis is computed from the sum of the products of the z-scores and the standard coefficients for each variable⁶⁶. The height of each bar indicates the number of patients in that position.
Figure 14 is the histogram which displays the result of the S.P.S.S. direct discriminant analysis when all the variables, except CT, were used. Separation of the groups is observed, without overlap. However, one of the control cases is classified into the root resorption group.

The S.A.S. discriminant analysis (Table V) indicates that with the exception of the control patient F.D., which was classified as a root resorption patient with a probability of 90%, all the patients were assigned to the correct group with a probability ranging from 93% to 100%.

Figures 15 to 24 are histograms showing the progressive separation achieved by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis.

According to the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis, the best separating variable is the $T_3$. The sequence by which the rest of the variables are added to the $T_3$ according to their significance in contributing to further separation is: $T_4$, 24 hour urine Ca, serum $PO_4$, T.R.P., Serum Ca, Alkaline Phosphatase, 24 hour urine $PO_4$, P.T.H., and Cortisol.

Examining these histograms, we observe that a minimum of four or five variables is required for the visible separation of the groups.
TABLE I

Tabulations of the patients according to their sex, age, grouped according to root condition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Group*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F.D.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.D.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.G.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.M.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.J.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.R.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.L.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.M.</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.M.</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Group I represents the control patients. Group II represents the root resorption patients.
TABLE II

Serum and urine analyses in patients without root resorption subsequent to orthodontic treatment (Group 1, Control).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F.D.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>261, 188</td>
<td>1.18, 1</td>
<td>82.4%</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>25, 102</td>
<td>0.61, 1.15</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.D.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>170, 172</td>
<td>1.1, 1.2</td>
<td>64.6%</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.G.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>132, 185</td>
<td>1.17, 0.89</td>
<td>76.1%</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.M.</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>318, 174</td>
<td>1.1, 1</td>
<td>79.9%</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.J.</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>45, 90</td>
<td>0.68, 0.72</td>
<td>83.7%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean | 9.4  | 3.05 | 154.3 | 1 | 77.8% | 77.3 | 118 | 7.7 | 18.8 | 782 | <50 |
S.D. | .19  | .64  | .778  | .17 | 6.9 | 24.5 | 9.6 | .9 | 5.1 | 123 | <50 |

Norm**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9-11</td>
<td>2.5-4.2</td>
<td>55-400</td>
<td>0.34-1.30</td>
<td>85%+5.4</td>
<td>30-110</td>
<td>80-160</td>
<td>5-12</td>
<td>6-25</td>
<td>430-1860</td>
<td>20-50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These numbers represent the values of two separate 24 hour urine collections.

**Normal values were furnished by the clinical laboratories where the analyses were performed.
TABLE III

Serum and urine analyses in patients with root resorption subsequent to orthodontic treatment (Group 2, Root Resorption).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pat.</th>
<th>Serum Ca</th>
<th>Serum PO₄</th>
<th>24 hour Urine Ca*</th>
<th>24 hour Urine PO₄*</th>
<th>T.R.P.</th>
<th>Alkaline Phosph.</th>
<th>T3</th>
<th>T4</th>
<th>Cort.</th>
<th>P.T.H.</th>
<th>CT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W.R.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>241, 372</td>
<td>1.28, 1.52</td>
<td>81%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>950</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R.</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>48, 56</td>
<td>0.6, 0.5</td>
<td>77.6%</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>915</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K.</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>170, 150</td>
<td>0.64, 0.52</td>
<td>84.4%</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>605</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.L.</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>353, 185</td>
<td>1.62, 1.81</td>
<td>70.5%</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.M.</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>157, 249</td>
<td>1.03, 1.13</td>
<td>75.5%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1970</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.M.</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>87, 160</td>
<td>0.73, 1.12</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>715</td>
<td>&lt;50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Mean | 9.48     | 3.28      | 185.5            | 1.04             | 77.7% | 71              | 130.5| 7.9 | 18.8 | 981   | <50 |
| S.D. | .43      | .16       | 93.9             | .45              | 4.7   | 26.1            | 23.8 | 2.1 | 10.5 | 501   | <50 |

Norm**

Range | 9-11 | 2.5-4.2 | 55-400 | 0.34-1.30 | 85%-5.4 | 30-110 | 80-160 | 5-12 | 6-25 | 430-1860 | 20-50 |
|-------|------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|------|------|-----------|-------|

*These numbers represent the values of two separate 24 hour urine collections.

**Normal values were furnished by the clinical laboratories where the analyses were performed.
TABLE IV

Means, standard deviations, t values and level of significance for between group comparisons of each variable.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>CONTROL GROUP</th>
<th>ROOT RESORPTION GROUP</th>
<th>t values</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum Ca</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.48</td>
<td>0.417</td>
<td>.10*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum PO₄</td>
<td>3.05</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h. Urine Ca</td>
<td>154.3</td>
<td>185.5</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 h. Urine PO₄</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.R.P.</td>
<td>77.8%</td>
<td>77.7%</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkaline Phosph.</td>
<td>77.3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₃</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>1.117</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₄</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortisol</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.T.H.</td>
<td>782</td>
<td>981</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Calcitonin was not included because no absolute values of that variable were furnished by the laboratory.

*The difference between the groups is not significant at \( P = 0.1 \)
TABLE V

Probability of classification of the sample in two groups on the basis of biochemical results according to the S.A.S. discriminant analysis*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Group**</th>
<th>Probability of membership, according to the S.A.S. discriminant analysis in:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Group 1</strong>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.S.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.D.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.G.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.M.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K.J.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W.R.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.R.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.K.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.L.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.M.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.M.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All variables used, except calcitonin.

**Actual placement of the subjects according to root condition.

***Group 1: Control group

****Group 2: Root resorption group
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: F.D., Sex: M, Age: 18, Control

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 3

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: F.S., Sex: F, Age: 18, Control

Serum Calcium

Serum Phosphorus

24 h. Urine Calcium

24 h. Urine Phosphorus

T.R.P.

Alk. Phosphatase

T₃

T₄

Cortisol

P.T.H.

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 4

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: L.D., Sex: M, Age: 22, Control

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 5

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: F.G., Sex: M, Age: 22, Control

Serum Calcium
Serum Phosphorus
24 h. Urine Calcium
24 h. Urine Phosphorus
T.R.P.
Alk. Phosphatase
T₃
T₄
Cortisol
P.T.H.

1. The ranges used for the variables were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: H.M., Sex: M, Age: 18, Control

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: K.J., Sex: F, Age: 17, Control

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: W.R., Sex: M, Age: 23, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: M.R., Sex: F, Age: 21, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 10

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: S.K., Sex: F, Age: 17, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 11

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: V.L., Sex: M, Age: 18, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
FIGURE 12

Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: M.M., Sex: M, Age: 18, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 PG/ML).
Graphic representation of all variables, resulting from the biochemical tests, as they relate one to another, and to their normal ranges. (Dotted line represents the observed values connected to each other.)

Patient: D.M., Sex: F, Age: 18, Root Resorption

1. The ranges used for the variables, were furnished by the clinical laboratories, where these tests were performed.

2. Calcitonin was not included, because no absolute values of that variable were given by the clinical laboratory (reported as less than 50 FG/ML).
FIGURE 14

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. direct discriminant analysis (all variables included except Calcitonin).

Symbol † represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol ‡ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
FIGURE 15

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 1. Variable used: $T_3$)

**Group 1**: Control group

**Group 2**: Root resorption group

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.
FIGURE 16

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 2. Variables used: \(T_3, T_4\)).

Symbol \(\uparrow\) represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol \(\updownarrow\) represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 3. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 hour urine Ca).

**Symbol** represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

**Symbol** represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 4. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum PO$_4$).

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\downarrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
FIGURE 19

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 5. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum $P_{4}$, T.R.P.).

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\uparrow\uparrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
FIGURE 20

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 6. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum PO$_4$, T.R.P., serum Ca).

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\downarrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
FIGURE 21

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 7. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum $P_4$, T.R.P., serum Ca, Alk. Phosph.).

Centroid of group 1

Centroid of group 2

GROUP 1*

GROUP 2**

Symbol $\dagger$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\ddagger$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 8. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum $P0_4$, T.R.P., serum Ca, Alk. Phosph., 24 h. urine $P0_4$).

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\downarrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
FIGURE 23

Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 9. Variables used: $T_3$, $T_4$, 24 h. urine Ca, serum PO$_4$, T.R.P., serum Ca, Alk. Phosph., 24 h. urine PO$_4$, PTH).

Symbol $\uparrow$ represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol $\downarrow$ represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
Histogram of the classification of the sample in two groups by the S.P.S.S. step-wise discriminant analysis (Step 10. Variables used: T3, T4, 24 h. urine Ca, serum PO4, T.R.P., serum Ca, Alk. Phosph., 24 h. urine PO4, PTH, cortisol).

Symbol • represents: one root-resorption patient, as selected according to the root condition.

Symbol I represents: one control patient, as selected according to the root condition.

*Group 1: Control group
**Group 2: Root resorption group
CHAPTER V

DISCUSSION

The purpose of this study was to demonstrate how normal patients undergoing orthodontic treatment could be adequately distinguished, one from another, based on their metabolic fingerprint, when some of them exhibited generalized root resorption and some not. This was in contrast to previous studies $^3$, $^{37}$, $^{68}$ which attempted to establish a metabolic characteristic of the root resorption patient by comparing the results of several laboratory tests to their normal ranges.

The results of this study demonstrate a strong relationship between the overall metabolic picture and generalized root resorption which appears in patients during the first year and a half after the initiation of orthodontic treatment.

Since it has been shown that orthodontic treatment can cause root resorption, very strict criteria for the sample selection were placed. Orthodontic patients, in order to qualify for the root resorption group, had to exhibit moderate to severe generalized root resorption and
be in treatment for a relatively short period of time. In these patients, the observed root resorption could not be attributed readily to the applied orthodontic mechanics and the characteristic term, "idiopathic", could be used in describing that condition. Furthermore, these resorption cases constitute a source of concern to both orthodontist and patient. The prognosis in these cases, for both the orthodontic treatment and the future health of the dentition is poor. Orthodontic patients, in order to qualify for the control group in this study, did not exhibit any radiographic evidence of root resorption.

The sample was highly selected. It is obvious that comparisons between two extreme situations were attempted. The percentage of orthodontic patients exhibiting idiopathic root resorption is not more than 10%, while the large majority of the orthodontic patient population exhibits some minor degree of root resorption.

A noteworthy result of this study is that, in order to demonstrate the relationship between metabolism and root resorption, the metabolic fingerprint of each patient had to be used rather than individual metabolic variables. An attempt to relate, statistically, individual metabolic variables to root resorption failed, although some greater variability among the values of some metabolic factors can be observed. Also, occasional, values outside their normal ranges could be seen in the root resorption group. If the
study was ended at that point, the conclusions drawn would had been similar to those of Newman. Newman, in 1975, after examining the relationship of $T_4$, PBI, serum Ca, serum $P_{O_4}$ and Alk. Phosph. to root resorption, came to the conclusion that systemic factors and metabolic problems were not at all related to root resorption.

Use of direct discriminant analysis allowed the measurements of each patient to be taken as a biochemical unit. By using this metabolic fingerprint, we attempted to determine the significance of the separation of those patients in two groups. The result of that statistical analysis demonstrated that the control and root resorption patients were classified in two separate groups with a certainty ranging between 93 to 100%, with the exception of one control patient which was classified as a root resorption case. This divergent datum indicates that, in addition to the chosen variables, there should be others also influencing root resorption. It can be suggested that some of these additional variables should be related to the anatomy of the root and the P.D.L. and to the chemical composition of the cementum. Another possible explanation for divergence could be that the chemical analyses give a metabolic fingerprint at a particular time. Perhaps that patient would have been classified as a control, if the tests were performed at an earlier and perhaps that patient will develop root resorption as the treatment progresses.
Becks\textsuperscript{3} in 1936, after studying 100 patients with root resorption, stated that 66\% of the orthodontic patients and 86\% of the nonorthodontic patients exhibited definite hypothyroidism. The findings of Becks were based mainly on readings of their basal metabolic rate. The present study, using more precise methods for the determination of thyroid function, does not support this finding. On the contrary, it is shown that in the root resorption group, there was one patient exhibiting a high value of $T_3$ and also the mean values for the $T_3$ and $T_4$ were greater, although statistically, not significant, when compared to the mean values exhibited in the control group.

The results of Tager\textsuperscript{68} could not be evaluated and compared to this study, because his study sample included patients with several problems, i.e. delayed tooth eruption, tooth deformities and root resorption.

The step-wise discriminant analysis, shows that the single most important separating variable is the $T_3$, while the factor which enhances best the separating action of $T_3$, is $T_4$. This result indicated that thyroid function is closely related to root resorption. Nevertheless, it is apparent that the separation of the sample in two groups is insignificant and only after five variables are included in the discriminant analysis, can one observe the sample separation (Figures 15 to 20). The sequence by which the variables were included one after another in the step-wise
analysis, is based on the potential of each variable to increase the separating action of the previously added variables and does not necessarily imply any biological mechanism, nor does the sequence follow any biological pertinency. An impression was gained that the sequence by which the variables were included in the step-wise discriminant analysis do not express a particular pattern or syndrome, not detectable in the graphical two dimensional representation of each case. On the contrary, it is tempting to speculate, based on the small differences between the mean values and the large standard deviations, shown in Table IV, that there could be several biological mechanisms involved, some of them possibly related to nutritional status, as Marshall and Becks have shown, and some of them to renal and gastrointestinal problems, as Sisher and Weinman suggested, and some of them to primary endocrinal problems.

This study described clearly a definite relationship between the metabolic picture of the patients, as given by the tests which were selected, and generalized root resorption. Furthermore, it is suggested that the procedure applied in this study could be use prospectively as a prediction test concerning the behavior of the roots of the dentition of future orthodontic patients.

It is further suggested that the following studies should follow this preliminary study.
1. Replication of the same study while using a large number of patients, selected with the same strict selection criteria used in this study. The results of this study will enhance the calibration of the discriminant analysis.

2. Addition of orthodontic patients exhibiting less than moderate degree of root resorption. This study will determine if these patients can be classified, based on their metabolic fingerprint, in a way corresponding to their root condition.

3. Application of the derived prediction test prospectively, to future orthodontic patients.

These studies are needed for the development of an accurate and cost effective prediction test, which could be applied in the Orthodontic profession.
CHAPTER VI

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

This study was designed to test: (1) The significance of the variation of individually selected metabolic variables (test values) between orthodontic patients exhibiting moderate to severe generalized root resorption and others exhibiting no radiographic evidence of that condition. (2) The possibility of classifying these patients in two separate groups on the basis of their metabolic fingerprint.

The sample consisted of 12 orthodontic patients, six of whom exhibited root resorption; the other six none.

The selected metabolic variables which were also used to compose and describe the metabolic fingerprint of each patient, were as follows: serum calcium, serum phosphorus, 24 hour urine calcium, 24 hour urine phosphorus, tubular reabsorption of phosphorous, triiodothyronine, tetraiodothyronine, cortisol and parathormone.

The two-sample t test showed no significant differences between individual test values between groups. The S.P.S.S. direct discriminant analysis was performed and
it was possible to classify the patients into two groups with a probability of group membership better than 90%. One control case was classified incorrectly.

The results of this study indicates that it is possible to separate healthy orthodontic patients, exhibiting generalized root resorption, from patients without root resorption. The success relates to each patient's metabolic fingerprint and the application of the S.P.S.S. discriminant analysis in characterization of the fingerprint.

On the basis of our findings, it is suggested that the described method: (1) could be used as a prediction test for moderate to severe generalized root resorption in prospective orthodontic patients, and (2) should be used as a basis for further research, so that an even more accurate and more versatile prediction test may be developed.
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APPENDIX A
APPENDIX A

Copy of consent form which patients were requested to sign, prior to participation in this study.

IRB Number: 1/80-5c

LOYOLA UNIVERSITY MEDICAL CENTER
MAYWOOD, ILLINOIS
SCHOOL OF DENTISTRY
Orthodontics and Preventive Dentistry and Community Health

INFORMED CONSENT

Patient's name: ___________________________ Date: ____________

Project title: Some Metabolic Aspects of Root Resorption, A Preliminary Study in Normal, and Orthodontically Treated Patients

PATIENT INFORMATION

This study is an attempt to relate some minerals of the blood and hormones controlling the minerals, to root resorption, which appears in some orthodontically treated patients.

Description and explanation of procedure:

1. Blood will be drawn to perform complete biochemical screening of the patient. The results will indicate physiologic function of various organ systems including enzymes and hormones that may vary within normal limits as the function of mineral metabolism.

2. Twenty-four hour urine tests which will allow us to determine renal function concerning some minerals.

Risks and discomforts:

1. Not to have breakfast before the drawing of the blood which has to be taken in the morning between 8 a.m. and 10 a.m.

2. The collection of 24 hour urine.
Potential benefits:

As a result of this study, it might be possible to predict the degree of root resorption which may occur in a patient, normally or when certain orthodontic procedures are done.

Alternatives: There are no alternatives, except no participation.

CONSENT

I have fully explained to (patient, parent, legal representative) the nature and purpose of the above-described procedure and the risks that are involved in its performance. I have answered and will answer all questions to the best of my ability.

(principal investigator)

I have been fully informed of the above-described procedure with its possible benefits and risks. I give my permission for my/my child's participation in this study. I know that (principal investigator) or his/her associates will be available to answer any questions I may have. If at any time, I feel my questions have not been adequately answered, I may request to speak with a member of the Medical Center Institutional Review Board. I understand that I am free to withdraw this consent and discontinue participation in this project at any time without prejudice to my/my child's medical care. I have received a copy of this informed consent document.

I understand that biomedical or behavioral research such as that in which I have agreed to participate, by its nature, involves risk of injury. In the event of physical injury resulting from these research procedures, emergency medical treatment will be provided at no cost, in accordance with the policy of Loyola University Medical Center. No additional free medical treatment or compensation will be provided except as required by Illinois law.
In the event you believe that you have suffered any physical injury as the result of participation in the research program please contact Dr. R.J. Blumenthal, Chairman, Institutional Review Board for Protection of Human Subjects at the Medical Center, telephone (312) 531-3384.

(Signature: patient/parent/legal representative)

(Signature: witness to signatures)
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